# *Faster than light:* GPU and DL dose calculations for keV photons (INTRABEAM)



### Paula Ibáñez García

Grupo de Física Nuclear & IPARCOS

Universidad Complutense de Madrid



Technique that involves precise delivery of a large dose of ionizing radiation to the tumor or tumor bed during the surgery.

### Advantages:

- Reduction of secondary tumors.
- Better definition of the treatment area.
- Maximization of the radiobiological effect with a high and localized dose.
- Less secondary effects than in conventional radiotherapy.



Beddar *et al.* 2006. Med. Phys. **33** 1476-89



Wenz *et al.* 2020. Rad. Oncol. **5** 11



### Low-energy X-rays Intraoperative Radiotherapy (XIORT)

Miniature X-ray source

Grupo de Física Nuc

- 50 kV electron beam hitting a gold target
- Low-energy X-rays (Up to 50 keV)

### Applicators attached to the source

-Most common: Spherical applicator





Intrabeam (Carl Zeiss Meditec)

IPARCOS Workshop, Madrid, 17 June 2022

### Decission of the treatment in situ



Radiance







# GPU (Graphics Processing Unit) Programming



### GPU (Graphics Processing Units) Programming

Grupo de Fisica



"A CPU consists of a few cores optimized for sequential serial processing while a GPU has a massively parallel architecture consisting of thousands of smaller, more efficient cores designed for handling multiple tasks simultaneously."





### Common ingredients in both calculations

•Photoelectric, Rayleigh and Compton effects for photons up to 1 MeV.

- •Attenuation coefficients extracted from PENELOPE database.
- Local electron absorption: variance reduction
- •Woodcock tracking algorithm: very efficient on GPU

P. Ibáñez et al. 2021. Med Phys 48(12), 8089-8106.



### Some examples

Grupo de

## Comparison with penEasy. Same level of statistical noise

100 %			•	GPU-HMC	Treatment type	Code	Simulation time (s)	Speed factor	7%-0.5 mm gamma
	A DY		1 sec		Sarcoma	GPU-MC	0.8	3.8·10 <sup>4</sup>	99.9
	1.					GPU-HMC	0.2	1.7·10 <sup>5</sup>	99.1
50%	(a)	(c) (e)			Breast	GPU-MC	4.3	2.1·10 <sup>4</sup>	99.6
	( - marine	No.				GPU-HMC	0.5	1.6·10 <sup>5</sup>	99.4
				penEasy	Brain	GPU-MC	0.04	2.0·10 <sup>4</sup>	99.2
			22			GPU-HMC	0.02	4.3·10 <sup>4</sup>	99.0
0%	14. 1				GPU: NVIDIA GeForce RTX 3090				
	(b)	(d)	(d) (f) CPU: Intel Xeon W-2155						

P. Ibáñez et al. 2021. Med Phys 48(12), 8089-8106.

### GPU- based dose computation algorithms

### In all cases:

- > 10.000 speed factor compared to penEasy
- > 99% gamma passing rate
- Simulation time with GPU-HMC in less than 1 s
- Simulation time with the GPU-MC in less than 5 s

# Comparison with penEasy. Same level of statistical noise

Treatment type	Code	Simulation time (s)	Speed factor	7%-0.5 mm gamma
Sarcoma	GPU-MC	0.8	3.8·10 <sup>4</sup>	99.9
	GPU-HMC	0.2	1.7·10 <sup>5</sup>	99.1
Breast	GPU-MC	4.3	2.1·10 <sup>4</sup>	99.6
	GPU-HMC	0.5	1.6·10 <sup>5</sup>	99.4
Brain	GPU-MC	0.04	2.0·10 <sup>4</sup>	99.2
	GPU-HMC	0.02	4.3·10 <sup>4</sup>	99.0

GPU: NVIDIA GeForce RTX 3090 CPU: Intel Xeon W-2155



P. Ibáñez et al. 2021. Med Phys 48(12), 8089-8106.





### **Objectives**

- Train a deep learning model to predict the dose distribution in a sub-second time scale.
- Evaluate different neural networks to do the task.
- Examine the possibility of using data augmentation to improve the results of the neural network.

### Databases from the HMC

- Fast
- Accurate
- No statistical noise



Grupo de Física Nuclear



# 3D dataset. Kyphoplasty operation dataset and training

- The applicator used is the needle.
- The datasets used in training consisted of 48 images as a training set, 5 images as a validation set.
- The dataset was generated with the HMC.
- MultiResUnet with mask
- The image size was 64X64X64 with a voxel size of 0.5mmX0.5mmX0.5mm.
- The training took 2.5 hours.
- The number of epochs was 500.
- Batch size was 2.



A. Sethi et al.2018. Front. Oncol., 26







# 3D site agnostic dataset and training with data augmentation



Intrabeam (Carl Zeiss Meditec)

- The applicator is spherical
- The datasets used in training consisted of 720 images as a training set and 80 images as a validation set.
- The dataset was calculated with the HMC.
- MultiResUnet with mask
- The image size was 128X128X64 with a voxel size of 0.5mmX0.5mmX0.5mm.
- The training took 24 hours.
- The number of epochs was 200.
- Batch size was 2.



### **Data augmentation**

- Image augmentation techniques is proven to improve the results of deep learning.
- but conventional image augmentation is not feasible for our case.
- This image was split into 16 parts and each part was taken from a different image in the dataset.
- The dose is then calculated with the HMC code.



Grupo de Física Nuclea





HU

Normalized Dose

10-1

Normalized Dose

100

- Accurate dose calculation for the INTRABEAM in real time is possible.
- Realistic dose distributions can be obtained with the GPU-HMC in less than 1 second for any INTRABEAM applicator.
- The ultra-fast GPU simulations allow the creation of realistic datasets free of statistical noise in a short time.
- Deep learning approaches can be used for the INTRABEAM 2D and 3D dose calculation.
- Other type of applicators will be tested with DL approaches as well.

## Thank you for your attention



# *Faster than light:* GPU and DL dose calculations for keV photons (INTRABEAM)



Paula Ibáñez García

Nuclear Physics Group

Universidad Complutense de Madrid



### **Meta-Histories**

1 meta-history = N photons.

Read and extracted from a condensed PHSP.

After each interaction the weight of the meta-history is updated.

Dose calculated from the reduction of the weight of each meta-history.

Constant number of meta-histories along the volume.







### Interaction forced and condensed interactions

After every step the meta-history is forced to interact.

Effect of the interaction on the weight of the meta-history.

#### Secondary particles

If Prob<sub>compton or Rayleigh</sub> > Threshold.

N secondary particles with weight  $\omega/N$ .

Woodcock tracking algorithm

Electrons locally absorbed



### GPU- Hybrid MC

### **Dose Normalization**

If too few meta-histories: Dose patterns due to subsampling.

Meta-histories never die →A priori knowledge of the number of meta-histories and interactions in each voxel. Fluency: Source geometry (Weight: Phantom materials)
Normalization: Correction by the estimated number of interactions in each voxel.

$$norm\_dose(x, y, z) = \frac{dose(x, y, z)}{norm(x, y, z)} fluency(x, y, z)$$





### **U-Net**

- U-Net is a deep convolutional neural network.
- It has 31.5 million parameters for 2D model and more than 60 million for 3D model.
- It requires large video memory.





## **MultiResUnet**

- MultiResUnet is a more advanced U-Net based network.
- It has 7.1 million parameters for 2D model and 10.2 for the 3D model.
- It is lighter than the U-Net







### **2D Dataset**

- The applicator used is the spherical.
- The dataset was randomly cropped from a thorax CT scan.
- The dataset include 1118 as training set and 124 validation set.
- The dataset was calculated with the HMC.
- The image size is 128X128 with a pixel size of 0.5mmX0.5mm.
- The training of the 2D models took 30 minutes in average.
- The number of epochs was 200.
- Batch size was 2.



Image extracted from the AAPM webpage



Grupo de Física Nuclea

### **Input of the Neural Network**

