

ON SHARP REVERSE HARDY-TYPE INEQUALITIES FOR OSCILLATION OPERATORS IN THE DISCRETE AND CONTINUOUS SETTINGS

ACHRAF BEN SAID*, SANTIAGO BOZA**, AND JAVIER SORIA†

ABSTRACT. Recently, several authors have studied the problem of determining optimal constants in L^p -norm for Hardy-type inequalities. In this work, we continue this investigation by establishing sharp lower bounds for the norm of the difference between the Hardy operator and the identity when acting on various cones in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$, with $1 < p < \infty$. This operator quantifies the oscillation of a function relative to its average. We also obtain optimal lower bounds for its adjoint. In the discrete setting, we conduct a similar analysis for the difference between the Cesàro and the identity, the difference between the Cesàro and the left-shift operators, as well as for its adjoint. As an application, we identify norms on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and on $\ell^p(\mathbb{N})$ that are equivalent to their standard p -norm, and we provide the exact optimal constants for these equivalences.

1. INTRODUCTION

For a sequence $\{x(n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ of real numbers, the Cesàro operator C , the left-shift operator S , and their transposes are defined by

$$Cx(n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n x(k), \quad C^*x(n) = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{x(k)}{k},$$

$$Sx(n) = x(n+1), \quad \text{and} \quad S^*x(n) = x(n-1),$$

where we set $S^*x(1) = 0$.

The continuous counterparts of the C and C^* operators are the classical Hardy averaging operator H and its adjoint H^* . Both operators are defined by

$$Hf(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f(s) ds \quad \text{and} \quad H^*f(t) = \int_t^{\infty} \frac{f(s)}{s} ds,$$

provided that the integrals make sense for a function f on $(0, \infty)$.

It is well known that these operators play a fundamental role in Analysis. For instance, the operator H is of great importance in the study of weighted norm inequalities for classical maximal operators arising in Harmonic Analysis. The boundedness of H on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$, where $1 < p \leq \infty$, follows from Hardy's inequality (cf. [12,

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 26D15, 47B37, 46E30.

Key words and phrases. Cesàro operator, Copson operator, Hardy operator minus identity, Optimal constants, Reverse inequality.

* The author was partially supported by grants PID2020-113048GB-I00 and PID2024-155917NB-I00, funded by MCIN/AEI/ 10.13039/501100011033.

** The author was partially supported by grants PID2020-113048GB-I00 and PID2024-155917NB-I00, funded by MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033, and by grant 2021SGR 00087.

† The author was partially supported by grants PID2020-113048GB-I00, PID2024-155917NB-I00, and CEX-2023-001347-S, funded by MCIN/AEI/ 10.13039/501100011033, and Grupo UCM-970966.

p. 240]):

$$\|Hf\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)} \leq p' \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)}, \quad (1)$$

where $p' = \frac{p}{p-1}$ if $1 < p < \infty$, and $p' = 1$ if $p = \infty$. Moreover, p' is the best possible constant in (1).

It is unfortunate that this operator is not invertible on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$, and therefore it is not possible to find a constant $c(p)$, depending only on p , such that a reverse $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$ -Hardy inequality

$$c(p) \|f\|_p \leq \|Hf\|_p, \quad (2)$$

holds in general, even for nonnegative functions. Nevertheless, if f is positive and nonincreasing on \mathbb{R}^+ , then the inequality (2) is valid with $c(p) = (p')^{1/p}$, where p' is defined as before. Moreover, the constant $c(p)$ is sharp (cf. [20] and [21]). An analogue result was obtained by Xiao [25], who proved that inequality (2) still holds for nonnegative, nonincreasing functions f when the L^p -norm is replaced by the BMO-norm. Further generalizations of reverse inequalities for the classical averaging Hardy operator H are available in [4].

A similar situation arises with the Cesàro operator C : there is no constant $k(p)$, depending only on p , such that

$$k(p) \|x\|_p \leq \|Cx\|_p,$$

holds for all sequences x . However, when x is positive and nonincreasing, the inequality holds with $k(p) = \zeta(p)^{1/p}$, for $1 < p < \infty$, and $k(p) = 1$ when $p = \infty$, where $\zeta(p)$ denotes the Riemann zeta function evaluated at p . Furthermore, these constants $k(p)$ are optimal (cf. [7], [20], and [21]). It is worth noting that the constants differ between the discrete and continuous cases, whereas for the classical inequalities they coincide: $\|H\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)} = p'$ (cf. [12, p. 240]) and $\|C\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{N})} = p'$ (see [19]).

The situation changes entirely, as we will show in this work, when we consider $H - I$ instead of H , since in this case there exists a constant $C(p)$ such that

$$C(p) \|f\|_p \leq \|(H - I)f\|_p, \quad (3)$$

where $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$, with $1 < p < \infty$.

The operator $H - I$ arises naturally in other, widely separated contexts, for example, the complex Beurling-Ahlfors transform reduces to $H - I$ when restricted to radial functions, see [1] and [23]. When $p = 2$, $H - I$ is a Hilbert space isomorphism that is unitarily equivalent to the unilateral shift, see [11]. It has been linked to Laguerre polynomials in [18]. In the theory of interpolation of operators, it acts on rearrangements of functions (nonnegative, nonincreasing functions) to give equivalent norm in Lorentz spaces, see [5, p. 384].

Recent work on $H - I$, $H^* - I$, and their discrete analogues can be found, for instance, in [2, 3, 9, 10, 16, 22].

In particular, Kolyada [16] completed the work of [18] and [8] by determining the optimal constants in the L^p -norm equivalence between Hf and H^*f for nonnegative functions. A key idea in this work connects it with finding optimal upper and lower bounds for the operator $H - I$ restricted to nonnegative, nonincreasing functions.

Theorem 1.1. [16, Theorem 1.2] *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and let f be a nonnegative, nonincreasing function. If $1 < p \leq 2$, then*

$$(p-1)^{-1/p} \|f\|_p \leq \|(H-I)f\|_p \leq (p-1)^{-1} \|f\|_p, \quad (4)$$

and if $2 \leq p < \infty$, then

$$(p-1)^{-1} \|f\|_p \leq \|(H-I)f\|_p \leq (p-1)^{-1/p} \|f\|_p. \quad (5)$$

The constants $p-1$ and $(p-1)^{1/p}$ in (4) and (5) are the best possible.

Regarding the operator $H^* - I$, the authors in [3] finalized the investigation initiated in [2] by proving the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. [3, Theorem 1.2] *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and let f be a nonnegative, nonincreasing function. If $1 < p \leq 2$ then,*

$$(p-1) \|f\|_p \leq \|(H^* - I)f\|_p \leq C_p^{1/p} \|f\|_p, \quad (6)$$

and if $2 \leq p < \infty$ then,

$$C_p^{1/p} \|f\|_p \leq \|(H^* - I)f\|_p \leq (p-1) \|f\|_p. \quad (7)$$

The constants $(p-1)$ and $C_p^{1/p}$ are optimal in both (6) and (7), where the constant $C_p = \int_0^1 |1 + \ln x|^p dx$.

In the discrete setting the situation is completely different, as there is no constant $K(p) > 0$, with $1 < p < \infty$, such that

$$K(p) \|x\|_p \leq \|(C^* - I)x\|_p,$$

for all $x \in \ell^p(\mathbb{N})$. In fact, no such constant exists even when x is restricted to the cone of nonnegative, nonincreasing sequences in $\ell^p(\mathbb{N})$. This failure stems from the non-injectivity of the operator $C^* - I$. Indeed, if a constant $K(p) > 0$ existed, then

$$0 < K(p) = K(p) \|e_1\|_p \leq \|(C^* - I)e_1\|_p = 0,$$

a contradiction.

With regard to sharp reverse inequalities for the operator $C - I$, we have the following result due to G. J. O. Jameson:

Theorem 1.3. [13, Corollary 5] *Let x be an arbitrary sequence. Then the following inequality is sharp:*

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \|x\|_2 \leq \|(C - I)x\|_2. \quad (8)$$

Sharp inequalities associated with the operator $C - S$ and its transpose have also been widely studied in the literature. In particular, G. Bennett [6], and S. Boza and J. Soria [10], obtained the following result.

Theorem 1.4. [6, 10] *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and let x be a nonnegative, nonincreasing sequence. If $1 < p \leq 2$, then*

$$(p-1) \|(C - I)x\|_p \leq \|x\|_p \leq (p-1)^{1/p} \|(C - S)x\|_p. \quad (9)$$

If $2 \leq p < \infty$, then

$$(p-1)^{1/p} \|(C - I)x\|_p \leq \|x\|_p. \quad (10)$$

Furthermore, the constants obtained in (9) and in (10) are sharp.

V. Kolyada also contributed to this line of research by establishing the next theorem.

Theorem 1.5. [17, Theorem 3.1] *Let $2 \leq p < \infty$ and let x be a nonnegative sequence. Then*

$$\|C^*x\|_p \leq (p-1)\|Cx\|_p.$$

The constant is optimal.

By using the following identity (see [6, 10])

$$C \circ C^* = C + SC^*,$$

and taking into account that, for a positive sequence x , the sequence C^*x is positive and nonincreasing, it follows that Theorem 1.5 is equivalent to the result below.

Theorem 1.6. *Let $2 \leq p < \infty$ and let x be a nonnegative, nonincreasing sequence. Then*

$$(p-1)^{-1}\|x\|_p \leq \|(C-S)x\|_p. \quad (11)$$

The constant is the best possible.

The case $p = 2$ in (11) was obtained independently by G. Jameson in [13].

A key observation here is that Theorem 1.4 together with Theorem 1.6 serves as the analogue of Theorem 1.1 in the continuous setting, where we have the same constants. A closer examination of inequalities (4) and (5) and their discrete counterparts, reveal the presence of $C - S$ instead of $C - I$. This contrast arises because, in the continuous case, we have the identity

$$H \circ H^* = H^* \circ H = H + H^*, \quad (12)$$

(see [15]) whereas in the discrete case, the corresponding relation is

$$C \circ C^* = C + SC^* = CS^* + C^* \neq C + C^*. \quad (13)$$

The goal of this paper is to determine the remaining optimal constants in (3) for all $1 < p < \infty$, in the settings where f is an arbitrary, nonnegative, or nonnegative and nonincreasing function. Moreover, we establish these results not only for the operator $H - I$, but also for $C - S$ and their corresponding transposes. We also carry out a similar study for the operator $C - I$.

An interesting observation central to this work is that the sharp constant $A(p)$ for $\|(H - I)f\|_p \leq A(p)\|f\|_p$ matches the sharp constant for $\|(C - I)x\|_p \leq A(p)\|x\|_p$, regardless of whether the inputs (f and x) are arbitrary, nonnegative, or both nonnegative and nonincreasing. A similar equivalence holds for $H^* - I$ and $C^* - I$ (see [2]). Conversely, the optimal constants for the reverse inequalities do not match: the best constant $B(p)$ in $B(p)\|f\|_p \leq \|(H - I)f\|_p$ for arbitrary functions differs from the best constant $K(p)$ in $K(p)\|x\|_p \leq \|(C - I)x\|_p$ for arbitrary sequences. This disparity is even more pronounced when comparing $H^* - I$ and $C^* - I$, where the former is bounded from below while the latter is not. To achieve identical sharp constants between the continuous and discrete settings, one must instead compare the operators $H - I$ and $C - S$ (see, e.g., Theorems 1.1, 1.4, and 1.5). A parallel symmetry arises when comparing $H^* - I$ and $(C - S)^*$.

In Section 2, we introduce the notations that will be used throughout the paper and present several auxiliary results needed for establishing the main theorems of this work. Section 3 is devoted to studying sharp lower bounds for $H - I$ on different

cones in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$, with $1 < p < \infty$. In Section 4, we carry out a similar analysis for the adjoint operator $H^* - I$. Section 5 turns to the discrete setting, where we investigate the operator $C - S$ on $\ell^p(\mathbb{N})$, again for $1 < p < \infty$, following the approach developed in the continuous case. Section 6 addresses the best lower bounds for the transpose operator $(C - S)^*$. Section 7 provides sharp lower bounds for the operator $C - I$ on $\ell^p(\mathbb{N})$, for $1 < p \leq 2$. In the last section we do some comments and we propose a conjecture.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we fix the notations used throughout the paper and state several auxiliary results that will be useful for its development.

In what follows, we let X denote either $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$ or $\ell^p(\mathbb{N})$, with $1 < p < \infty$. The subsets X_+ and X_{dec} of X are defined by

$$X_+ = \{x \in X : x \text{ is nonnegative}\},$$

and

$$X_{\text{dec}} = \{x \in X : x \text{ is nonnegative and decreasing}\}.$$

In several of the arguments below, it is important that the power functions involved be defined on all of \mathbb{R} . For this purpose, we let

$$\mathbb{F} = \left\{ \frac{2i}{2j+1} : i, j \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \cap (1, 2),$$

and

$$\mathbb{E} = \left\{ \frac{2i}{2j+1} : i, j \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \cap (2, \infty).$$

The sets \mathbb{F} and \mathbb{E} are a dense subsets of $(1, 2]$ and $[2, \infty)$ respectively. The set \mathbb{F} was first introduced in [2], while \mathbb{E} was defined in [22]. If $p \in \mathbb{F} \cup \mathbb{E}$, it is easy to see that the function $t \mapsto t^p$ is well defined and continuously differentiable on \mathbb{R} . Its derivative is strictly increasing and

$$pa^{p-1}(b-a) \leq b^p - a^p \leq pb^{p-1}(b-a) \quad (14)$$

holds for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.

Given $p \in (1, \infty)$, we use p' to denote its conjugate exponent, defined by $p' = \frac{p}{p-1}$.

Let $1 < p < \infty$, and consider the function $f_p : [0, 1/2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$f_p(t) = pt^{p-1} + (1-t)^p - t^p. \quad (15)$$

Observe that if $p \in \mathbb{F} \cup \mathbb{E}$, then f_p can be extended to the entire real line \mathbb{R} . This function plays an important role in the development of this work. The following two lemmas related to the function f_p are of importance.

Lemma 2.1. [2, Lemma 3.1] *Let $1 < p < 2$. Consider the function f_p defined as in (15). Then, f_p has a unique critical point t_p in $(0, \frac{1}{2})$, $f_p(t_p) = M_p$, and M_p is a continuous function of p . If, in addition, $p \in \mathbb{F}$, we have that t_p is the unique critical point of f_p on \mathbb{R} and $f_p(t) \leq M_p$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Lemma 2.2. [22, Lemma 7] *Let $2 < p < \infty$. Consider the function f_p defined as in (15). Then, f_p has a unique critical point t_p in $(0, \frac{1}{2})$, $f_p(t_p) = m_p$, and m_p is a continuous function of p . If, in addition, $p \in \mathbb{E}$, we have that t_p is the unique critical point of f_p on \mathbb{R} and $f_p(t) \geq m_p$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.*

An important property of the constants $m_p^{-1/p}$, which we will use later, is that

$$m_{p'}^{-1/p'} = \max_{t \in [0, 1/2]} f_{p'}(t)^{-1/p'} = \max_{t \in [0, 1/2]} f_p(t)^{1/p} = M_p^{1/p}, \quad (16)$$

where $1 < p \leq 2$ and f_p is defined as in (15). The proof of the identity (16) can be found in [2].

The following result is an immediate consequence of standard monotonicity arguments from differential calculus.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $1 < p < 2$. Then,*

$$F_p(t) = (p-1)t^p - pt^{p-1} - (t-1)^p + 1 \leq 0, \quad t \in [1, \infty),$$

and if $p \in \mathbb{F}$, then

$$F_p(t) = (p-1)t^p - pt^{p-1} - (t-1)^p + 1 \leq 0, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

The identity (12) can equivalently be written as

$$(H - I)(H^* - I) = (H^* - I)(H - I) = I. \quad (17)$$

While a direct analogue of (17) does not hold in the discrete setting due to (13), closely related equalities remain valid, as stated in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4. *The following identities hold:*

$$(C^* - I)S^*(C - S) = I \quad (18)$$

and

$$(C - I)(C - S)^* = S^*. \quad (19)$$

Proof. Identity (18) follows directly from the relation $C^* = (C^* - I)S^*C$ (see [6]) and the fact that $(C^* - I)S^*S = (C^* - I)$.

Using (13), we can obtain identity (19). This completes the proof. \square

3. OPTIMAL LOWER BOUNDS RELATED TO $H - I$

The aim of this section is to determine the optimal constants $B(p)$ in the inequality

$$B(p)\|f\|_p \leq \|(H - I)f\|_p, \quad (20)$$

where f belongs to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$ or $L_+^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$, and for the range $1 < p < \infty$. The best lower bounds for $H - I$ on nonnegative, nonincreasing functions in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$, with $1 < p < \infty$, are given in [16].

We begin with the general case. The corresponding sharp upper bound was obtained by M. Strzelecki in [23]. In [24] the same author investigated the inequality in various cones of Lebesgue spaces, including weighted cases associated with power weights. Later, and independently, G. Sinnamon derived the same constant in [22], where the discrete setting was also considered. In these works, it was shown that the optimal constant $A(p)$ in the inequality

$$\|(H - I)f\|_p \leq A(p)\|f\|_p$$

is given by

$$A(p) = \|H - I\|_p = \begin{cases} (p-1)^{-1}, & \text{if } 1 < p \leq 2, \\ m_p^{-1/p}, & \text{if } 2 \leq p < \infty, \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

where $m_p = \min_{t \in [0, 1/2]} f_p(t)$ and f_p is defined as in (15).

The result below gives the exact value of $B(p)$ in (20) for a general function f .

Theorem 3.1. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and let f be an arbitrary function. If $1 < p \leq 2$, then*

$$M_p^{-1/p} \|f\|_p \leq \|(H - I)f\|_p, \quad (22)$$

whereas if $2 \leq p < \infty$, then

$$(p - 1)^{-1} \|f\|_p \leq \|(H - I)f\|_p. \quad (23)$$

Moreover, all the constants in (22) and (23) are the best possible.

Proof. Let $1 < p < \infty$. We have seen in (21) that

$$\|H - I\|_p = \begin{cases} (p - 1)^{-1}, & \text{if } 1 < p \leq 2, \\ m_p^{-1/p}, & \text{if } 2 \leq p < \infty. \end{cases} \quad (24)$$

Taking duality into account and using (16), we obtain that

$$\|H^* - I\|_p = \|H - I\|_{p'} = \begin{cases} M_p^{1/p}, & \text{if } 1 < p \leq 2, \\ p - 1, & \text{if } 2 \leq p < \infty. \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

Let $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$ be an arbitrary function. If $(H - I)f \notin L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$, then the result is trivial: (22) holds if $1 < p \leq 2$, and (23) holds if $2 \leq p < \infty$. Otherwise, assuming $(H - I)f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$, taking (17) and (25) into account yields

$$\|f\|_p = \|(H^* - I)(H - I)f\|_p \leq \|H^* - I\|_p \|(H - I)f\|_p,$$

which proves both inequalities in (22) and (23).

Let us now prove the optimality of the constant $M_p^{1/p}$ in (22). By taking into account Lemma 2.1, let $t_p \in (0, 1/2)$ such that $f_p(t_p) = M_p$, where f_p is defined as in (15). Let $r = 1/t_p$ and let g be the function given by

$$g(x) = -\chi_{(0,1)}(x) + (r - 1)x^{-r}\chi_{[1,\infty)}(x).$$

Then

$$Hg(x) = -\chi_{(0,1)}(x) - x^{-r}\chi_{[1,\infty)}(x).$$

Therefore,

$$|(H - I)g(x)| = rx^{-r}\chi_{[1,\infty)}(x).$$

Hence,

$$\frac{\|g\|_p^p}{\|(H - I)g\|_p^p} = \frac{rp - 1 + (r - 1)^p}{r^p} = pt_p^{p-1} - t_p + (1 - t_p^p) = M_p.$$

For the optimality of the constant $(p - 1)$ in (23), note that the right-hand side of inequality (5) implies the existence of a sequence of nonnegative, nonincreasing functions $\{g_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|g_m\|_p}{\|(H - I)g_m\|_p} = (p - 1).$$

This concludes the proof. \square

Remark 3.2. Note that (21), together with (22) and (23), implies the existence of optimal constants $c(p), C(p) > 0$ such that

$$c(p) \|(H - I)f\|_p \leq \|f\|_p \leq C(p) \|(H - I)f\|_p,$$

for all $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$, with $1 < p < \infty$. It is now easy to see that $\|(H - I)f\|_p$ is an equivalent norm in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$.

Let us now identify the best constant $B(p)$ in (20) in the case of nonnegative functions. The corresponding upper bounds can be found in [2, 9], where the authors proved that the best constant $A(p)$ in

$$\|(H - I)f\|_p \leq A(p) \|f\|_p,$$

for nonnegative function $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$, is given by

$$A(p) = \begin{cases} (p-1)^{-1}, & \text{if } 1 < p \leq 2, \\ 1, & \text{if } 2 \leq p < \infty. \end{cases}$$

The following result gives the best constant $B(p)$ in (20) for $1 < p \leq 2$ when f is a nonnegative function.

Theorem 3.3. *If $1 < p \leq 2$ and f is a nonnegative function, then the following sharp estimate holds:*

$$\|f\|_p \leq \|(H - I)f\|_p. \quad (26)$$

Proof. Let $1 < p \leq 2$. We start by assuming that $p \in \mathbb{F}$. It suffices to establish the inequality in (26) for functions f in a dense subset of $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$. Thus, we may assume without loss of generality that f is continuous and compactly supported on \mathbb{R}^+ . Then $Hf(x)$ satisfies

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} x(Hf(x))^p = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x(Hf(x))^p = 0,$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dx} x(Hf(x))^p = (1-p)(Hf(x))^p + p(Hf(x))^{p-1}f(x).$$

Thus,

$$\int_0^\infty \left((1-p)(Hf(x))^p + p(Hf(x))^{p-1}f(x) \right) dx = \int_0^\infty \frac{d}{dx} x(Hf(x))^p dx = 0.$$

Let $x > 0$ be such that $f(x) \neq 0$, and set $t = Hf(x)/f(x)$. Then, by Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (p-1)(Hf(x))^p - p(Hf(x))^{p-1}f(x) &= f(x)^p \left((p-1)t^p - pt^{p-1} \right) \\ &\leq f(x)^p \left((t-1)^p - 1 \right) \\ &= (Hf(x) - f(x))^p - f(x)^p, \end{aligned}$$

which also holds when $f(x) = 0$. Integrating over \mathbb{R}^+ then yields

$$\int_0^\infty f(x)^p dx \leq \int_0^\infty (Hf(x) - f(x))^p dx. \quad (27)$$

We now turn to the general case. Let $1 < p \leq 2$ and let f be a nonnegative function. If $(H - I)f \notin L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$, then (26) holds trivially. Otherwise, by a standard density argument, we may assume without loss of generality that f is continuous,

nonnegative, and compactly supported in \mathbb{R}^+ . Let $1 < p_0 < p$. We have that $f \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^+)$ for all $q \in (p_0, 2)$ and $q \mapsto \|f\|_q$ is a continuous function in $(p_0, 2)$. By applying (21) and Hölder's inequality, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(H - I)f\|_q &\leq \frac{1}{q-1} \|f\|_q \leq \frac{1}{p_0-1} |\text{supp}(f)|^{\frac{2-q}{q}} \|f\|_2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p_0-1} \max\{1, |\text{supp}(f)|\} \|f\|_2 < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

for all $q \in (p_0, 2)$. Hence $(H - I)f \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^+)$ for all $q \in (p_0, 2)$, uniformly in q . Let $\{p(n)\}_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathbb{F} \cap (p_0, 2)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(n) = p$. Then, by taking (27) into account, we finally obtain

$$\|f\|_p = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f\|_{p(n)} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(H - I)f\|_{p(n)} = \|(H - I)f\|_p.$$

Let us now prove the optimality of the constant 1 in (26). To this end, consider the function

$$f_\varepsilon = \chi_{[1, 1+\varepsilon]}, \quad \varepsilon > 0.$$

It is clear that $f_\varepsilon \geq 0$ and that

$$\|f_\varepsilon\|_p^p = \varepsilon,$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$. On the other hand, we have

$$Hf_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{x-1}{x} \chi_{[1, 1+\varepsilon]}(x) + \frac{\varepsilon}{x} \chi_{[1+\varepsilon, \infty)}(x).$$

Therefore,

$$|(H - I)f_\varepsilon(x)| = \frac{1}{x} \chi_{[1, 1+\varepsilon]}(x) + \frac{\varepsilon}{x} \chi_{[1+\varepsilon, \infty)}(x).$$

Thus,

$$\frac{\|f_\varepsilon\|_p^p}{\|(H - I)f_\varepsilon\|_p^p} = \frac{(p-1)\varepsilon}{1 - \frac{1}{(1+\varepsilon)^{p-1}} + \frac{\varepsilon^p}{(1+\varepsilon)^{p-1}}} = \frac{(p-1)\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)^{p-1}}{(1+\varepsilon)^{p-1} - 1 + \varepsilon^p},$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Applying l'Hôpital's rule, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\|f_\varepsilon\|_p^p}{\|(H - I)f_\varepsilon\|_p^p} &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(p-1)\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)^{p-1}}{(1+\varepsilon)^{p-1} - 1 + \varepsilon^p} \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (p-1) \frac{(1+\varepsilon)^{p-1} + (p-1)(1+\varepsilon)^{p-2}\varepsilon}{(p-1)(1+\varepsilon)^{p-2} + p\varepsilon^{p-1}} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the constant 1 in (26) is optimal, and the proof is complete. \square

The next result provides the optimal constant $B(p)$ in (20) when $2 \leq p < \infty$ and f is a nonnegative function.

Theorem 3.4. *If $2 \leq p < \infty$ and f is a nonnegative function, then the following sharp estimate holds:*

$$(p-1)^{-1} \|f\|_p \leq \|(H - I)f\|_p. \quad (28)$$

Proof. The inequality (28) is a consequence of (23), and the optimality of the constant $(p-1)^{-1}$ in (28) is established by considering the same functions used to prove its optimality in (23). \square

4. OPTIMAL LOWER BOUNDS RELATED TO $H^* - I$

The aim of this section is to determine the optimal constants $B(p)$ in the inequality

$$B(p)\|f\|_p \leq \|(H^* - I)f\|_p, \quad (29)$$

where f belongs to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$ or $L^p_+(\mathbb{R}^+)$, and for the range $1 < p < \infty$. The best lower bounds for $H^* - I$ on nonnegative, nonincreasing functions in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$, with $1 < p < \infty$, are given in [3].

We begin with the general case. We have seen in (25) that the corresponding upper bound $A(p)$ in

$$\|(H^* - I)f\|_p \leq A(p)\|f\|_p,$$

is given by

$$A(p) = \|H^* - I\|_p = \begin{cases} M_p^{1/p}, & \text{if } 1 < p \leq 2, \\ p - 1, & \text{if } 2 \leq p < \infty. \end{cases} \quad (30)$$

The result below gives the exact value of $B(p)$ in (29) for a general function f .

Theorem 4.1. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and let f be an arbitrary function. If $1 < p \leq 2$, then*

$$(p - 1)\|f\|_p \leq \|(H^* - I)f\|_p, \quad (31)$$

whereas if $2 \leq p < \infty$, then

$$m_p^{1/p}\|f\|_p \leq \|(H^* - I)f\|_p. \quad (32)$$

Furthermore, all the constants in (31) and (32) are the best possible.

Proof. Let $1 < p < \infty$. Let $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$ be an arbitrary function. If the function $(H^* - I)f \notin L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$, then the result is trivial: (31) holds if $1 < p \leq 2$, and (32) holds if $2 \leq p < \infty$. Otherwise, assuming $(H - I)f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$, taking (17) and (24) into account yields

$$\|f\|_p = \|(H - I)(H^* - I)f\|_p \leq \|H - I\|_p \|(H^* - I)f\|_p,$$

which proves both inequalities in (31) and (32).

We now establish the optimality of the constants in (31) and (32). We start with the case $1 < p \leq 2$. Note that the right-hand side of inequality (6) implies the existence of a sequence of nonnegative, nonincreasing functions $\{g_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|g_m\|_p}{\|(H^* - I)g_m\|_p} = (p - 1)^{-1}.$$

This shows that the constant $(p - 1)$ in (31) is optimal.

We next establish that the constant $m_p^{1/p}$ in (32) is sharp. By taking into account Lemma 2.2, let $t_p \in (0, 1/2)$ such that $f_p(t_p) = m_p$, where f_p is defined as in (15). Let $r = 1/t_p$ and let g be the function given by

$$g(s) = r s^{-r} \chi_{(1, \infty)}(s).$$

We have that

$$\|g\|_p^p = r^p \int_1^\infty s^{-rp} ds = \frac{r^p}{rp - 1},$$

and

$$H^*g(s) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } 0 < s < 1, \\ s^{-r}, & \text{if } s \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

So

$$|H^*g(s) - g(s)| = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } 0 < s < 1, \\ (r-1)s^{-r}, & \text{if } s \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Therefore

$$\frac{\|H^*g - g\|_p^p}{\|g\|_p^p} = \frac{1 + \frac{(r-1)^p}{rp-1}}{\frac{r^p}{rp-1}} = \frac{rp-1 + (r-1)^p}{r^p} = m_p,$$

and this concludes the proof. \square

Remark 4.2. Note that (30), together with (31) and (32), implies the existence of optimal constants $c(p), C(p) > 0$ such that

$$c(p) \|(H^* - I)f\|_p \leq \|f\|_p \leq C(p) \|(H^* - I)f\|_p,$$

for all $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$, with $1 < p < \infty$. It is now easy to see that $\|(H^* - I)f\|_p$ is an equivalent norm in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$.

Let us now identify the best constant $B(p)$ in (29) in the case of nonnegative functions. The corresponding upper bounds can be found in [2, 9], where the authors proved that the best constant $A(p)$ in

$$\|(H^* - I)f\|_p \leq A(p) \|f\|_p,$$

for nonnegative function $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$, is given by

$$A(p) = \begin{cases} M^{1/p}, & \text{if } 1 < p \leq 2, \\ p-1, & \text{if } 2 \leq p < \infty. \end{cases}$$

The result below provides us the exact value of $B(p)$ in (29) for function $f \in L_+^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$, with $1 < p < \infty$.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and let f be an nonnegative function. If $1 < p \leq 2$, then*

$$(p-1)\|f\|_p \leq \|(H^* - I)f\|_p, \quad (33)$$

and if $2 \leq p < \infty$, then

$$m_p^{1/p}\|f\|_p \leq \|(H^* - I)f\|_p. \quad (34)$$

Furthermore, all the constants in (33) and (34) are the best possible.

Proof. Since the inequalities in (31) and (32) hold for arbitrary functions, they hold, in particular, for all nonnegative functions, thereby establishing (33) and (34). To see that the constants in (33) and (34) are optimal, it suffices to note that the test functions used to prove the sharpness of the constants in (31) and (32) were already nonnegative. This completes the proof. \square

5. OPTIMAL LOWER BOUNDS RELATED TO $C - S$

The aim of this section is to determine the optimal constants $B(p)$ in the inequality

$$B(p)\|x\|_p \leq \|(C - S)x\|_p, \quad (35)$$

where x belongs to $\ell^p(\mathbb{N})$ or $\ell_+^p(\mathbb{N})$, and for the range $1 < p < \infty$. The best lower bounds for $C - S$ on nonnegative, nonincreasing sequences in $\ell^p(\mathbb{N})$, with $1 < p < \infty$, are given in (9) and (11).

We begin with the general case. On the one hand, the authors in [14, 22] proved that the best constant $A(p)$ in

$$\|(C - I)x\|_p \leq A(p) \|x\|_p, \quad (36)$$

valid for all $x \in \ell^p(\mathbb{N})$ and $1 < p < \infty$, coincides with that in the continuous setting; thus, $A(p)$ is given by (21). On the other hand, the author in [22] showed that the inequality

$$\|(C^* - I)x\|_p \leq \|H^* - I\|_p \|x\|_p \quad (37)$$

is sharp for all $x \in \ell^p(\mathbb{N})$ and $1 < p < \infty$, where $\|H^* - I\|_p$ is given by (25).

The result below gives the exact value of $B(p)$ in (35) for a general sequence x .

Theorem 5.1. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and let x be an arbitrary sequence. If $1 < p \leq 2$, then*

$$M_p^{-1/p} \|x\|_p \leq \|(C - S)x\|_p, \quad (38)$$

and if $2 \leq p < \infty$, then

$$(p - 1)^{-1} \|x\|_p \leq \|(C - S)x\|_p. \quad (39)$$

Moreover, all the constants in (38) and (39) are the best possible.

Proof. Let $1 < p < \infty$. Let x be an arbitrary sequence. If $(C - S)x \notin \ell^p(\mathbb{N})$, then the result is trivial: (38) holds if $1 < p \leq 2$, and (39) holds if $2 \leq p < \infty$. Otherwise, assuming $(C - S)x \in \ell^p(\mathbb{N})$, taking (18) and (37) into account yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_p &= \|(C^* - I)S^*(C - S)x\|_p \\ &\leq \|C^* - I\|_p \|S^*\|_p \|(C - S)x\|_p \\ &= \|H^* - I\|_p \|(C - S)x\|_p, \end{aligned}$$

which proves both inequalities in (38) and (39).

What remains is to verify the optimality of the constants in (38) and (39). We start with the optimality of $M_p^{1/p}$ in (38). To show that (38) is sharp, we can simply use the exactness of the value from [22]. Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and let y such that

$$\|(C^* - I)y\|_p > (1 - \varepsilon)M_p^{1/p} \|y\|_p.$$

This y can be chosen to be finitely non-zero, since such sequences are dense in $\ell^p(\mathbb{N})$, where $m \geq 1$ is a natural number. Let $e_m = (0, \dots, 0, \overset{m}{1}, 0, \dots)$. Note that we can also assume that $y(1) = 0$, since $(C^* - I)e_1 = 0$. If we can find x such that $S^*(C - S)x = y$, then $x = (C^* - I)y$ and $\|y\|_p = \|(C - S)x\|_p$, so

$$\|x\|_p \geq (1 - \varepsilon)M_p^{1/p} \|(C - S)x\|_p.$$

This will follow if for each $m \geq 1$, there exists x_m such that $(C - S)x_m = e_m$, hence $S^*(C - S)x_m = e_{m+1}$. This is achieved by

$$x_m(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{m+1}, & \text{if } 1 \leq n \leq m, \\ -\frac{m}{m+1}, & \text{if } n = m + 1, \\ 0, & \text{if } n > m + 1. \end{cases}$$

Regarding the sharpness of the constant $(p - 1)$ in (39), observe that since the same constant $(p - 1)$ is optimal in (11), there exists a sequence $\{x_m\}_{m \geq 1} \subset \ell^p(\mathbb{N})$ of nonnegative, nonincreasing sequences such that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|x_m\|_p}{\|(C - S)x_m\|_p} = p - 1,$$

for all $2 \leq p < \infty$. □

Let us now identify the best constant $B(p)$ in (35) in the case of nonnegative sequences. It was proved in [2] that the best constant $A(p)$ in

$$\|(C - I)x\|_p \leq A(p) \|x\|_p,$$

for all nonnegative sequences $x \in \ell^p(\mathbb{N})$, is given by

$$A(p) = \begin{cases} (p - 1)^{-1}, & \text{if } 1 < p \leq 2, \\ 1, & \text{if } 2 \leq p < \infty. \end{cases}$$

Now, we proceed to find out the best constant $B(p)$ in (35) for nonnegative sequences and $p \in (1, \infty)$, but before we are going to state two useful lemmas. The first one is the following.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $x = \{x(n)\}_{n \geq 1} \in \ell^p(\mathbb{N})$ be a real sequence. Then,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n|Cx(n)|^p = 0.$$

Proof. Firstly, we are going to take a sequence u with finite support. Let $u \in \ell^p(\mathbb{N})$ with $\text{Card}(\text{supp}(u)) < \infty$. There is $M \geq 1$ such that

$$u(n) = 0, \quad \forall n > M.$$

Then,

$$nC_u(n) = u(1) + u(2) + \cdots + u(M), \quad \forall n > M.$$

Therefore,

$$n|Cu(n)| \leq |u(1)| + |u(2)| + \cdots + |u(M)| = K, \quad \forall n > M.$$

Thus,

$$n|Cu(n)|^p \leq \frac{K^p}{n^{p-1}} \rightarrow 0, \tag{40}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Now let $x = \{x(n)\}_{n \geq 1} \in \ell^p(\mathbb{N})$ be a general real sequence and $\epsilon > 0$. We must show that there is $N \geq 1$ such that

$$n|Cx(n)|^p < \epsilon, \quad \forall n \geq N.$$

On the one hand, there is $u \in \ell^p(\mathbb{N})$ with finite support such that

$$\|x - u\|_p < \frac{\epsilon^{1/p}}{2^{1+1/p}}. \tag{41}$$

By applying Hölder's inequality we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
|C(x-u)(n)| &= \frac{1}{n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n (x-u)(k) \right| \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |(x-u)(k)| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |(x-u)(k)|^p \right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n 1 \right)^{1/q} \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |(x-u)(k)|^p \right)^{1/p} n^{1/q} \\
&= \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |(x-u)(k)|^p \right)^{1/p} n^{-1/p}, \quad \forall n \geq 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Equivalently,

$$n^{1/p} |C(x-u)(n)| \leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |(x-u)(k)|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \|(x-u)\|_p, \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

Therefore,

$$n |C(x-u)(n)|^p \leq \|x-u\|_p^p < \frac{\epsilon}{2^{p2}}, \quad \forall n \geq 1, \quad (42)$$

where we have used (41) in the last inequality. On the other hand, since u is a sequence with finite support, by using (40), there is $N_0 \geq 1$ such that

$$n |Cu(n)|^p < \frac{\epsilon}{2^{p2}}, \quad \forall n \geq N_0. \quad (43)$$

Now, let $n \geq 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
|Cx(n)| &= |Cu(n) + C(x-u)(n)| \leq |Cu(n)| + |C(x-u)(n)| \\
&\leq 2 \max\{|Cu(n)|, |C(x-u)(n)|\},
\end{aligned}$$

and then,

$$|Cx(n)|^p \leq 2^p \max\{|Cu(n)|, |C(x-u)(n)|\}^p \leq 2^p (|Cu(n)|^p + |C(x-u)(n)|^p).$$

Thus,

$$n |Cx(n)|^p \leq 2^p n |Cu(n)|^p + 2^p n |C(x-u)(n)|^p, \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

Let us take $N = N_0$, and let $n \geq N_0$. We have by (42) and (43) that

$$n |Cx(n)|^p \leq 2^p n |Cu(n)|^p + 2^p n |C(x-u)(n)|^p \leq 2^p \frac{\epsilon}{2^{p2}} + 2^p \frac{\epsilon}{2^{p2}} = \epsilon,$$

which concludes our proof. \square

The following result gives the sharp constant $B(p)$ in (35) for a nonnegative sequence $x \in \ell_+^p(\mathbb{N})$, where $1 < p < \infty$.

Theorem 5.3. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and let x be a nonnegative sequence. Then, the following inequalities are sharp:*

$$\|x\|_p \leq \|(C-S)x\|_p, \quad (44)$$

if $1 < p \leq 2$, and

$$(p-1)^{-1} \|x\|_p \leq \|(C-S)x\|_p, \quad (45)$$

if $2 \leq p < \infty$.

Proof. We begin with the proof of (44), assuming that $p \in \mathbb{F}$. Let $x \in \ell^p(\mathbb{N})$ and let y be defined by $y = Cx$. Clearly,

$$Sx(n) = x(n+1) = (n+1)y(n+1) - ny(n), \quad \forall n \geq 1,$$

and,

$$z(n) = y(n) - Sx(n) = (n+1)(y(n) - y(n+1)), \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by applying (14) we get that,

$$(n+1)(y(n)^p - y(n+1)^p) \leq (n+1)py(n)^{p-1}(y(n) - y(n+1)).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} ny(n)^p - (n+1)y(n+1)^p &\leq (n+1)py(n)^{p-1}(y(n) - y(n+1)) - y(n)^p \\ &= py(n)^{p-1}z(n) - y(n)^p \\ &= py(n)^{p-1}(y(n) - Sx(n)) - y(n)^p \\ &= py(n)^p - py(n)^{p-1}Sx(n) - y(n)^p \\ &= (p-1)y(n)^p - py(n)^{p-1}Sx(n). \end{aligned}$$

If $Sx(n) \neq 0$ we may let $t = y(n)/Sx(n)$ and apply the Lemma 2.3 in the form,

$$(p-1)t^p - pt^{p-1} \leq (t-1)^p - 1,$$

to get,

$$\begin{aligned} (p-1)y(n)^p - py(n)^{p-1}Sx(n) &= Sx(n)^p((p-1)t^p - pt^{p-1}) \\ &\leq Sx(n)^p((t-1)^p - 1) \\ &= (y(n) - Sx(n))^p - Sx(n)^p. \end{aligned}$$

If $Sx(n) = 0$, the same inequality holds as $(p-1)y(n)^p \leq y(n)^p$. Therefore,

$$(p-1)y(n)^p - py(n)^{p-1}Sx(n) \leq (y(n) - Sx(n))^p - Sx(n)^p, \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

Summing over n , we have,

$$\begin{aligned} y(1)^p - (N+1)y(N+1)^p &= \sum_{n=1}^N ny(n)^p - (n+1)y(n+1)^p \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^N (y(n) - Sx(n))^p - Sx(n)^p. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $N \rightarrow \infty$ and using the Lemma 5.2, we have,

$$0 \leq y(1)^p \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (y(n) - Sx(n))^p - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Sx(n)^p.$$

Equivalently,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x(n)^p \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (Cx(n) - Sx(n))^p. \quad (46)$$

We now turn to the general case. Let $1 < p \leq 2$ and let x be a nonnegative function. If $(C-S)x \notin \ell^p(\mathbb{N})$ then the inequality in (44) holds trivially. Otherwise, by a density argument, we can restrict ourselves to prove the inequality for nonnegative sequences with finite support in $\ell^p(\mathbb{N})$. Let x be such a sequence. Let $1 < p_0 < p$. We have that $x \in \ell^q(\mathbb{N})$, for all $p_0 < q < 2$ and $\|x\|_{\ell^q(\mathbb{N})}$ is a continuous function in

the interval $(p_0, 2)$ with respect to q . Let y be the sequence given by $y = (C - S)x$. Since $C - S$ is a bounded operator from $\ell^{p_0}(\mathbb{N})$ to $\ell^{p_0}(\mathbb{N})$, we have that

$$\|y\|_q \leq \|y\|_{p_0} = \|(C - S)x\|_{p_0} \leq M_{p_0} \|x\|_{p_0} < \infty,$$

for all $p_0 < q < 2$, where $M_{p_0} > 0$. Thus the map $q \mapsto \|y\|_q$ is well defined and continuous in $(p_0, 2)$. Let $\{p(n)\}_{n \geq 1} \subset (p_0, 2) \cap \mathbb{F}$ be a sequence such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(n) = p$. Then, by using (46), we get

$$\|Cx - x\|_p = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Cx - x\|_{p(n)} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x\|_{p(n)} = \|x\|_p.$$

It remains to show that the constant in (44) is optimal. By considering the sequence

$$y_m(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = m, \\ 0, & \text{if } n \neq m, \end{cases}$$

where $m \geq 1$. A straightforward calculation shows that:

$$\frac{\|y_m\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{N})}^p}{\|(C - S)y_m\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{N})}^p} = \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^p}} \rightarrow 1,$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$, and this concludes our proof.

The inequality (45) is a direct consequence of the general estimate (39). To establish the sharpness of the constant, we showed in the proof of (39) the existence of a sequence $\{x_m\}_{m \geq 1}$, where each x_m is nonnegative and nonincreasing, such that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|x_m\|_p}{\|(C - S)x_m\|_p} = p - 1,$$

for all $2 \leq p < \infty$. This ends the proof. \square

6. OPTIMAL LOWER BOUNDS RELATED TO $(C - S)^*$

The main goal of this section is to determine the optimal constants $B(p)$ in the inequality

$$B(p)\|x\|_p \leq \|(C - S)^*x\|_p, \quad (47)$$

where x belongs to $\ell^p(\mathbb{N})$, $\ell_+^p(\mathbb{N})$, or $\ell_{\text{dec}}^p(\mathbb{N})$, and for the range $1 < p < \infty$. We begin with the general case. It was proved in [22] that the best constant $A(p)$ in

$$\|(C^* - I)x\|_p \leq A(p) \|x\|_p,$$

valid for all $x \in \ell^p(\mathbb{N})$ and $1 < p < \infty$, coincides with that in the continuous setting; thus, $A(p) = \|H^* - I\|_p$ is given by (25).

We are now ready to present one of the main results of this section, which provides the exact value of $B(p)$ in (47) for a general sequence x and $1 < p < \infty$.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and let x be an arbitrary sequence. If $1 < p \leq 2$, then*

$$(p - 1)\|x\|_p \leq \|(C - S)^*x\|_p, \quad (48)$$

and if $2 \leq p < \infty$, then

$$m_p^{1/p}\|x\|_p \leq \|(C - S)^*x\|_p. \quad (49)$$

Furthermore, all the constants in (48) and (49) are the best possible.

Proof. Let $1 < p < \infty$. Let x be an arbitrary sequence. If $(C - S)^*x \notin \ell^p(\mathbb{N})$, then the result is trivial: (48) holds if $1 < p \leq 2$, and (49) holds if $2 \leq p < \infty$. Otherwise, assuming $(C - S)^*x \in \ell^p(\mathbb{N})$, taking (19) and (36) into account yields

$$\|x\|_p = \|S^*x\|_p = \|(C - I)(C - S)^*x\|_p \leq \|H - I\|_p \|(C - S)^*x\|_p,$$

which proves both inequalities in (48) and (49).

It remains to show that the constants in (48) and in (49) are optimal. We start with the optimality of $(p - 1)^{-1}$ in (48). Let $m \geq 1$ be a natural number and $s = s(\epsilon) = 1/p' - \epsilon$, where $p' = p/(p - 1)$ and $0 < \epsilon < 1/p'$. Consider the sequence $x_{\epsilon, m} = \{x_{\epsilon, m}(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ given by

$$x_{\epsilon, m}(n) = x(n) = \begin{cases} (m + 1)^{s-1}, & \text{if } 1 \leq n \leq m, \\ n(n^{s-1} - (n + 1)^{s-1}), & \text{if } n > m. \end{cases}$$

Let us now estimate the ℓ^p -norm of x from below. Let $n > m$. We have that

$$n^{s-1} - (n + 1)^{s-1} = (1 - s) \int_n^{n+1} t^{s-2} dt \geq (1 - s)(n + 1)^{s-2}.$$

So,

$$n(n^{s-1} - (n + 1)^{s-1}) \geq (1 - s) \frac{n}{n + 1} (n + 1)^{s-1} \geq (1 - s) \frac{m}{m + 1} (n + 1)^{s-1}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} x(n)^p &\geq (1 - s)^p \left(\frac{m}{m + 1} \right)^p \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n + 1)^{p(1-s)}} \\ &= (1 - s)^p \left(\frac{m}{m + 1} \right)^p \sum_{n=m+2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{p(1-s)}} \\ &\geq (1 - s)^p \left(\frac{m}{m + 1} \right)^p \sum_{n=m+2}^{\infty} \int_n^{n+1} \frac{dx}{x^{p(1-s)}} \\ &= (1 - s)^p \left(\frac{m}{m + 1} \right)^p \int_{m+2}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^{p(1-s)}} \\ &= (1 - s)^p \left(\frac{m}{m + 1} \right)^p \frac{1}{p(1-s) - 1} \frac{1}{(m + 2)^{p(1-s)-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|x\|_p^p \geq m(m + 1)^{p(s-1)} + (1 - s)^p \left(\frac{m}{m + 1} \right)^p \frac{1}{p(1-s) - 1} \frac{1}{(m + 2)^{p(1-s)-1}}.$$

Now, let $n > m$. We have that,

$$C^*x(n) = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{x(k)}{k} = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} (k^{s-1} - (k + 1)^{s-1}) = n^{s-1}.$$

So, if $n > m + 1$, we get

$$(C - S)^*x(n) = n^{s-1} - (n - 1)^s + (n - 1)n^{s-1} = n^s - (n - 1)^s.$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} (C - S)^*x(1) &= C^*x(1) = \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{x(k)}{k} + \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{x(k)}{k} \\ &= (m+1)^{s-1} \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{k} + (m+1)^{s-1} = (m+1)^{s-1} \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{k} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (C - S)^*x(n) &= \sum_{k=n}^m \frac{x(k)}{k} + \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{x(k)}{k} - (m+1)^{s-1} \\ &= (m+1)^{s-1} \sum_{k=n}^m \frac{1}{k} + (m+1)^{s-1} - (m+1)^{s-1} \\ &= (m+1)^{s-1} \left(\sum_{k=n}^m \frac{1}{k} \right), \end{aligned}$$

for all $2 \leq n \leq m$. Finally,

$$C^*x(m+1) - S^*x(m+1) = (m+1)^{s-1} - x(m) = (m+1)^{s-1} - (m+1)^{s-1} = 0.$$

Thus,

$$|(C - S)^*x(n)| = \begin{cases} (m+1)^{s-1} \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{k} \right), & \text{if } n = 1, \\ (m+1)^{s-1} \left(\sum_{k=n}^m \frac{1}{k} \right), & \text{if } 2 \leq n \leq m, \\ 0, & \text{if } n = m+1, \\ n^s - (n-1)^s, & \text{if } n > m+1. \end{cases}$$

Let $n > m+1$. Then,

$$n^s - (n-1)^s = s \int_{n-1}^n t^{s-1} dt \leq s(n-1)^{s-1}.$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=m+2}^{\infty} |(C - S)^*x(n)|^p &\leq s^p \sum_{n=m+2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n-1)^{p(1-s)}} = s^p \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{p(1-s)}} \\ &\leq s^p \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \int_{n-1}^n \frac{dx}{x^{p(1-s)}} = s^p \int_m^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^{p(1-s)}} \\ &= s^p \frac{1}{p(1-s) - 1} \frac{1}{m^{p(1-s)-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(C - S)^*x\|_p^p &\leq (m+1)^{p(s-1)} \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{k} \right)^p + (m+1)^{p(s-1)} \sum_{n=2}^m \left(\sum_{k=n}^m \frac{1}{k} \right)^p \\ &\quad + s^p \frac{1}{p(1-s) - 1} \frac{1}{m^{p(1-s)-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if we define

$$\begin{aligned} I(m, s) &= (m+1)^{p(s-1)} \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{k}\right)^p, \\ J(m, s) &= (m+1)^{p(s-1)} \sum_{n=2}^m \left(\sum_{k=n}^m \frac{1}{k}\right)^p, \\ K(m, s) &= s^p \frac{1}{p(1-s) - 1} \frac{1}{m^{p(1-s)-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} (p-1)^p &\leq \frac{\|(C-S)^*x\|_p^p}{\|x\|_p^p} \\ &\leq \frac{I(m, s) + J(m, s) + K(m, s)}{m(m+1)^{p(s-1)} + (1-s)^p \left(\frac{m}{m+1}\right)^p \frac{1}{p(1-s)-1} \frac{1}{(m+2)^{p(1-s)-1}}} \\ &= \frac{\left(\frac{m+2}{m+1}\right)^{p(1-s)} \frac{p(1-s)-1}{m+2} \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{k}\right)^p}{\frac{m}{m+2} \left(\frac{m+2}{m+1}\right)^{p(1-s)} (p(1-s) - 1) + (1-s)^p \left(\frac{m}{m+1}\right)^p} \\ &\quad + \frac{\left(\frac{m+2}{m+1}\right)^{p(1-s)} \frac{p(1-s)-1}{m+2} \sum_{n=2}^m \left(\sum_{k=n}^m \frac{1}{k}\right)^p + s^p \left(\frac{m+2}{m}\right)^{p(1-s)-1}}{\frac{m}{m+2} \left(\frac{m+2}{m+1}\right)^{p(1-s)} (p(1-s) - 1) + (1-s)^p \left(\frac{m}{m+1}\right)^p} \\ &= A(m, s) + B(m, s), \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

for all $m \geq 1$ and $0 < \epsilon < 1/p'$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{1}{m+2} \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{k}\right)^p = \frac{1}{m+2} \left(2 + \sum_{k=2}^m \frac{1}{k}\right)^p \leq \frac{1}{m+2} \left(2 + \sum_{k=2}^m \int_{k-1}^k \frac{dx}{x}\right)^p \\ &= \frac{1}{m+2} \left(2 + \sum_{k=2}^m \int_1^m \frac{dx}{x}\right)^p = \frac{1}{m+2} (2 + \ln(m))^p = \frac{\ln^p(e^2 m)}{m+2}, \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

for all $m \geq 1$. Now, since

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln^p(e^2 m)}{m+2} = 0,$$

we have by an application of the squeeze theorem in (51) that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m+2} \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{k}\right)^p = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} A(\infty, s) &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} A(m, s) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left(\frac{m+2}{m+1}\right)^{p(1-s)} \frac{p(1-s)-1}{m+2} \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{k}\right)^p}{\frac{m}{m+2} \left(\frac{m+2}{m+1}\right)^{p(1-s)} (p(1-s) - 1) + (1-s)^p \left(\frac{m}{m+1}\right)^p} \\ &= \frac{(p(1-s) - 1) \cdot 0}{p(1-s) - 1 + (1-s)^p} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for all $s = 1/p' - \epsilon$, where $0 < \epsilon < 1/p'$. On the other hand, if $2 \leq n \leq m$ are natural numbers, then

$$\ln\left(\frac{m+1}{n}\right) \leq \int_n^{m+1} \frac{dx}{x} = \sum_{k=n}^m \int_k^{k+1} \frac{dx}{x} \leq \sum_{k=n}^m \frac{1}{k} \leq \sum_{k=n}^m \int_{k-1}^k \frac{dx}{x} = \int_{n-1}^m \frac{dx}{x} = \ln\left(\frac{m}{n-1}\right).$$

Therefore

$$\frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=2}^m \ln^p\left(\frac{m+1}{n}\right) \leq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=2}^m \left(\sum_{k=n}^m \frac{1}{k}\right)^p \leq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=2}^m \ln^p\left(\frac{m}{n-1}\right),$$

for all $m \geq 2$. Equivalently,

$$\frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \ln^p\left(\frac{m+1}{n}\right) \leq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=2}^m \left(\sum_{k=n}^m \frac{1}{k}\right)^p \leq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \ln^p\left(\frac{m}{n}\right) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=1}^m \ln^p\left(\frac{m}{n}\right),$$

for all $m \geq 2$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\ln^p(m+1)}{m} + \frac{m+1}{m} \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} \ln^p\left(\frac{m+1}{n}\right) &\leq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=2}^m \left(\sum_{k=n}^m \frac{1}{k}\right)^p \\ &\leq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=1}^m \ln^p\left(\frac{m}{n}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

for all $m \geq 2$. Identifying these terms as suitable Riemann sums,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=1}^m \ln^p\left(\frac{m}{n}\right) &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} \ln^p\left(\frac{m+1}{n}\right) = \int_0^1 \ln^p\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty u^p e^{-u} du = \Gamma(p+1), \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

where we have used the change of variable $u = -\ln(t)$ to arrive at the Γ function. Then, applying the squeeze theorem to (52) together with (53), we conclude that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=2}^m \left(\sum_{k=n}^m \frac{1}{k}\right)^p = \Gamma(p+1).$$

Consequently,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m+2} \sum_{n=2}^m \left(\sum_{k=n}^m \frac{1}{k}\right)^p = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m}{(m+2)} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=2}^m \left(\sum_{k=n}^m \frac{1}{k}\right)^p = \Gamma(p+1).$$

Then, using the above limit, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} B(\infty, s) &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} B(m, s) \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left(\frac{m+2}{m+1}\right)^{p(1-s)} \frac{p(1-s)-1}{m+2} \sum_{n=2}^m \left(\sum_{k=n}^m \frac{1}{k}\right)^p + s^p \left(\frac{m+2}{m}\right)^{p(1-s)-1}}{\frac{m}{m+2} \left(\frac{m+2}{m+1}\right)^{p(1-s)} (p(1-s) - 1) + (1-s)^p \left(\frac{m}{m+1}\right)^p} \\ &= \frac{(p(1-s) - 1)\Gamma(p+1) + s^p}{p(1-s) - 1 + (1-s)^p}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $0 < \epsilon < 1/p'$, where $s = 1/p' - \epsilon$. Therefore, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (A(\infty, s) + B(\infty, s)) &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} B(\infty, s) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(p(1-s) - 1)\Gamma(p+1) + s^p}{p(1-s) - 1 + (1-s)^p} \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(p(1/p + \epsilon) - 1)\Gamma(p+1) + (1/p' - \epsilon)^p}{p(1/p + \epsilon) - 1 + (1/p + \epsilon)^p} \\ &= (p/p')^p = (p-1)^p. \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

By taking into account (54) and using the squeeze theorem in (50), we conclude that

$$(p-1)^p \leq \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|(C-S)^*x\|_p^p}{\|x\|_p^p} \leq \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (A(m, s) + B(m, s)) = (p-1)^p,$$

which means that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|(C-S)^*x\|_p^p}{\|x\|_p^p} = (p-1)^p.$$

This proves that the constant $(p-1)$ is sharp in (48).

Now, let us see the optimality of the constant $m_p^{-1/p}$ in (49). By taking into account Lemma 2.2, we set $r = 1/t_p$, where $t_p \in (0, 1/2)$ is such that $f_p(t_p) = m_p$ and f_p is defined as in (15). Note that $r > 2$. Fix an integer $m > 1$. Let us define

$$y(n) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 1 \leq n \leq m, \\ n(n^{-r} - (n+1)^{-r}), & \text{if } n > m. \end{cases}$$

Then, with $C^*y(n) = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{y(k)}{k}$ and $z(n) = |(C-S)^*y(n)|$,

$$C^*y(n) = \begin{cases} (m+1)^{-r}, & \text{if } 1 \leq n \leq m+1, \\ n^{-r}, & \text{if } n > m+1, \end{cases}$$

and

$$z(n) = \begin{cases} (m+1)^{-r}, & \text{if } n \leq m+1, \\ (n-1)^{1-r} - n^{1-r}, & \text{if } n > m+1. \end{cases}$$

Now, if $n \geq m+1$ we get

$$n^{-r} - (n+1)^{-r} = r \int_n^{n+1} t^{-r-1} dt \geq r(n+1)^{-r-1}.$$

Thus,

$$n(n^{-r} - (n+1)^{-r}) \geq rn(n+1)^{-r-1} = r \frac{n}{n+1} (n+1)^{-r} \geq r \frac{m}{m+1} (n+1)^{-r}.$$

As a consequence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|y\|_p^p &= \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} |y(n)|^p \geq r^p \frac{m^p}{(m+1)^p} \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)^{rp}} = r^p \frac{m^p}{(m+1)^p} \sum_{n=m+2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{rp}} \\ &\geq r^p \frac{m^p}{(m+1)^p} \sum_{n=m+2}^{\infty} \int_n^{n+1} \frac{1}{x^{rp}} dx = r^p \frac{m^p}{(m+1)^p} \int_{m+2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^{rp}} dx \\ &= r^p \frac{m^p}{(m+1)^p} \frac{1}{rp-1} (m+2)^{1-rp}. \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

On the other hand, we have that if $n > m+1$, then

$$z(n) = (n-1)^{1-r} - n^{1-r} = (r-1) \int_{n-1}^n t^{-r} dt \leq (r-1)(n-1)^{-r}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=m+2}^{\infty} z(n)^p &\leq (r-1)^p \sum_{n=m+2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n-1)^{rp}} = (r-1)^p \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{rp}} \\ &\leq (r-1)^p \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \int_{n-1}^n \frac{1}{x^{rp}} dx = (r-1)^p \int_m^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^{rp}} dx = \frac{(r-1)^p}{rp-1} m^{1-rp}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\|z\|_p^p \leq (m+1)^{1-rp} + \frac{(r-1)^p}{rp-1} m^{1-rp}. \quad (56)$$

By using (55) and (56), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} m_p^{-1} &\geq \frac{\|y\|_p^p}{\|(C-S)^*y\|_p^p} \geq \frac{r^p \frac{m^p}{(m+1)^p} \frac{1}{rp-1} (m+2)^{1-rp}}{(m+1)^{1-rp} + \frac{(r-1)^p}{rp-1} m^{1-rp}} \\ &= \frac{r^p \left(\frac{m}{m+1}\right)^p}{(rp-1) \left(\frac{m+1}{m+2}\right)^{1-rp} + (r-1)^p \left(\frac{m}{m+2}\right)^{1-rp}} \\ &\rightarrow \frac{r^p}{rp-1 + (r-1)^p} = m_p^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof. \square

Let us now identify the best constant $B(p)$ in (47) in the case of nonnegative sequences. The best constant $A(p)$ in the inequality

$$\|(C^* - I)x\|_p \leq A(p) \|x\|_p,$$

for nonnegative sequences $x \in \ell^p(\mathbb{N})$, is given by

$$A(p) = \begin{cases} M_p^{1/p}, & \text{if } 1 < p \leq 2, \\ p-1, & \text{if } 2 \leq p < \infty. \end{cases}$$

This result is established in [2]. The following result provides us the exact value of $B(p)$ in (47) for nonnegative sequences and $1 < p < \infty$.

Theorem 6.2. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and let x be an nonnegative sequence. If $1 < p \leq 2$, then*

$$(p-1)\|x\|_p \leq \|(C-S)^*x\|_p, \quad (57)$$

and if $2 \leq p < \infty$, then

$$m_p^{1/p} \|x\|_p \leq \|(C-S)^*x\|_p. \quad (58)$$

Furthermore, all the constants in (57) and (58) are the best possible.

Proof. Since the inequalities in (48) and (49) hold for arbitrary functions, they hold, in particular, for all nonnegative functions, thereby establishing (57) and (58). To see that the constants in (57) and (58) are optimal, it suffices to note that the functions used to prove the sharpness of the constants in (48) and (49) were already nonnegative. This completes the proof. \square

We now study $B(p)$ in (47) under the assumption that x is nonnegative and nonincreasing. Concerning the best constant $A(p)$ in the inequality

$$\|(C^* - I)x\|_p \leq A(p) \|x\|_p,$$

which holds for all nonnegative and nonincreasing sequences $x \in \ell^p(\mathbb{N})$, for the range $1 < p < \infty$, it is currently known only for the range $2 \leq p < \infty$. In this case, as shown in [2], we have $A(p) = p - 1$.

We now present the exact value of $B(p)$ in the inequality valid for all nonnegative, nonincreasing sequences, with $1 < p \leq 2$.

Theorem 6.3. *Let $1 < p \leq 2$ and let x be an nonnegative, nonincreasing sequence. Then,*

$$(p - 1)\|x\|_p \leq \|(C - S)^*x\|_p.$$

The constant is the best possible.

Proof. We have seen in Theorem 6.1

$$(p - 1)\|x\|_p \leq \|(C^* - S^*)x\|_p,$$

for all $x \in \ell^p(\mathbb{N})$. In order to prove the optimality of the constant $(p - 1)^{-1}$ in the previous inequality we chose the sequence

$$x(n) = \begin{cases} (m + 1)^{s-1}, & \text{if } 1 \leq n \leq m, \\ n(n^{s-1} - (n + 1)^{s-1}), & \text{if } n > m, \end{cases}$$

where $m \geq 1$ is a natural number and $s = s(\epsilon) = 1/p' - \epsilon$, where $0 < \epsilon < 1/p'$. It is obvious that x is nonnegative. Let us see that x is a nonincreasing sequence. We start with the case $n = m$. So, we have to prove that $x(m) \geq x(m + 1)$.

$$\begin{aligned} x(m) \geq x(m + 1) &\iff (m + 1)^{s-1} \geq (m + 1)((m + 1)^{s-1} - (m + 2)^{s-2}) \\ &\iff (m + 1)(m + 2)^{s-1} \geq m(m + 1)^{s-1} \\ &\iff (m + 1)^{2-s} \geq m(m + 2)^{1-s} \\ &\iff \frac{(m + 1)^2}{m + 2} \geq m \left(\frac{m + 1}{m + 2} \right)^s, \end{aligned}$$

for all $m \geq 1$. Equivalently,

$$\frac{m^2}{m + 1} \geq (m - 1) \left(\frac{m}{m + 1} \right)^s \iff \left(\frac{m}{m + 1} \right)^{1-s} \geq \frac{m - 1}{m},$$

for all $m \geq 2$. But since, for all $0 < a < b < 1$ and $0 < r < 1$, we have that $0 < a < a^r < b^r < 1$, then

$$\left(\frac{m}{m + 1} \right)^{1-s} \geq \left(\frac{m - 1}{m} \right)^{1-s} \geq \frac{m - 1}{m},$$

for all $m \geq 2$, which means that $x(m) \geq x(m + 1)$, for all $m \geq 1$. Now, consider the function $f : (1, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ defined by

$$f(t) = t(t^{s-1} - (t + 1)^{s-1}) = t^s - t(t + 1)^{s-1}.$$

Its derivative is given by

$$\begin{aligned} f'(t) &= st^{s-1} - (t + 1)^{s-1} - (s - 1)t(t + 1)^{s-2} \\ &= (t + 1)^{s-1} \left[s \left(\frac{t}{t + 1} \right)^{s-1} - 1 - (s - 1) \frac{t}{t + 1} \right] \\ &= (1 + t)^{s-1} h(t), \end{aligned}$$

where $h : (1, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$h(t) = s \left(\frac{t}{t+1} \right)^{s-1} - 1 - (s-1) \frac{t}{t+1}.$$

To show that $f'(t) \leq 0$ for all $t > 1$, it suffices to prove that

$$g(z) = sz^{s-1} - 1 - (s-1)z \leq 0, \quad \text{for all } z \in [1/2, 1],$$

where $z = \frac{t}{t+1}$.

Now, observe that the second derivative of g is

$$g''(z) = \frac{d}{dz} \left(\frac{d}{dz} g(z) \right) = \frac{d}{dz} (s(s-1)z^{s-2} - (s-1)) = s(s-1)(s-2)z^{s-3} > 0,$$

for all $z \in (1/2, 1)$, since $s-1 = 1/p' - \epsilon - 1 < 0$. Therefore, g is strictly convex on $(1/2, 1)$. Note that

$$g(1) = s - 1 - (s-1) = 0.$$

If we prove that $g(1/2) \leq 0$, then by convexity we would have

$$g(t) \leq \max\{g(1), g(1/2)\} = 0,$$

for all $t \in [1/2, 1]$.

We now show that $g(1/2) < 0$. Consider the function $u : [0, 1/2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$u(y) = y2^{2-y} - 1 - y.$$

We compute

$$\frac{d^2}{dy^2} u(y) = \frac{d}{dy} \left(\frac{d}{dy} u(y) \right) = \frac{d}{dy} (2^{2-y}(1 - y \ln 2) - 1) = -2^{2-y} \ln 2 (2 - y \ln 2) < 0,$$

for all $y \in (0, 1/2)$. This means that u' is strictly decreasing. Since

$$u'(1/2) = 2^{3/2}(1 - \ln \sqrt{2}) - 1 \approx 0.8482 > 0,$$

we obtain

$$u'(y) > 0,$$

for all $y \in (0, 1/2)$. Hence, u is strictly increasing on $[0, 1/2]$. Now,

$$u(y) \leq u(1/2) = \sqrt{2} - 3/2 < 0,$$

for all $y \in [0, 1/2]$. So,

$$g(1/2) = \frac{1}{2} (s2^{2-s} - 1 - s) = \frac{1}{2} u(s) \leq 0,$$

since $0 < s = 1/p' - \epsilon < 1/p' \leq \frac{1}{2}$, for all $0 < \epsilon < 1/p'$. Therefore, f is nonnegative and nonincreasing on $(1, \infty)$, and hence x is also nonnegative and nonincreasing. \square

7. OPTIMAL LOWER BOUNDS RELATED TO $C - I$

We begin by recalling the inequality (8), due to G. J. O. Jameson, which states that

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\|x\|_2 \leq \|(C - I)x\|_2,$$

for all $x \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$. The main goal of this section is to extend this result, at least, to the range $1 < p \leq 2$. More precisely, we determine the exact value of the best constant $B(p)$ in the inequality

$$B(p)\|x\|_p \leq \|(C - I)x\|_p,$$

valid for all $x \in \ell^p(\mathbb{N})$, with $1 < p \leq 2$.

The following result will be needed before we can state the main theorem of this section.

Proposition 7.1. *Let $1 \leq p \leq 2$, and let $x \in \ell^p(\mathbb{N})$. Then*

$$\|(C - S)^*x\|_p \leq 2^{1/p}\|x\|_p. \quad (59)$$

Moreover, the constant is the best possible.

Proof. Let $1 \leq p \leq 2$. First of all, observe that by considering the sequence $x = e_1$ we have

$$(C - S)^*e_1 = (1, -1, 0, 0, \dots).$$

It follows that

$$\frac{\|(C - S)^*e_1\|_p}{\|e_1\|_p} = 2^{1/p}.$$

This establishes the optimality of the constant in (59).

Now, on the one hand, it is well known (see [12]) that $\|C^*\|_1 = 1$. On the other hand, we trivially have $\|S^*\|_1 = 1$. Therefore, for all $x \in \ell^1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(C - S)^*x\|_1 &= \|C^*x - S^*x\|_1 \leq \|C^*x\|_1 + \|S^*x\|_1 \\ &\leq (\|C^*\|_1 + \|S^*\|_1) \|x\|_1 = 2 \|x\|_1. \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

For the case $p = 2$, it was shown in [14] that

$$\|(C - S)^*x\|_2 \leq \sqrt{2} \|x\|_2, \quad x \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}). \quad (61)$$

Now, let $1 < p < 2$. We have, by taking $\theta = 2(1 - 1/p)$, that

$$\frac{1 - \theta}{1} + \frac{\theta}{2} = 1 - 2\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) = 1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) = \frac{1}{p}.$$

By applying the Riesz-Thorin theorem [5, Chapter 4, Corollary 2.3] and taking into account (60) and (61), we obtain that

$$\|(C - S)^*\|_p \leq \|(C - S)^*\|_1^{1-\theta} \|(C - S)^*\|_2^\theta = 2^{1-\theta} 2^{\theta/2} = 2^{1/p}.$$

□

Remark 7.2. Combining (48) with inequality (59), we obtain

$$(p - 1) \|x\|_p \leq \|(C - S)^*x\|_p \leq 2^{1/p} \|x\|_p$$

for all $x \in \ell^p(\mathbb{N})$ with $1 < p \leq 2$. It is now easy to see that $\|(C - S)^*x\|_p$ is an equivalent norm in $\ell^p(\mathbb{N})$.

We are now in a position to state the main result of this section.

Theorem 7.3. *Let $1 < p \leq 2$, and let x be an arbitrary sequence. Then the following inequality is sharp:*

$$2^{-1/p} \|x\|_p \leq \|(C - I)x\|_p. \quad (62)$$

Proof. Recall the equality (18):

$$(C^* - I)S^*(C - S) = I.$$

Taking the transpose of this identity yields

$$(C - S)^*S(C - I) = I. \quad (63)$$

Now, let x be an arbitrary sequence. If $(C - I)x \notin \ell^p(\mathbb{N})$, then (62) holds trivially. Otherwise, assuming that $(C - I)x \in \ell^p(\mathbb{N})$, it follows that $S(C - I)x \in \ell^p(\mathbb{N})$. Using (63), together with (59) and the fact that $\|S\|_p = 1$, we obtain

$$\|x\|_p = \|(C - S)^*S(C - I)x\|_p \leq \|(C - S)^*\|_p \|S\|_p \|(C - I)x\|_p = 2^{1/p} \|(C - I)x\|_p.$$

It remains to show that the constant $2^{1/p}$ in (62) is optimal. To this end, consider the sequence $x = (1, -1, 0, 0, \dots)$. Then

$$(C - I)x = (0, 1, 0, \dots),$$

and hence

$$\frac{\|x\|_p}{\|(C - I)x\|_p} = 2^{1/p}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Remark 7.4. From (21) and (62), it follows that

$$(p - 1) \|(C - I)x\|_p \leq \|x\|_p \leq 2^{1/p} \|(C - I)x\|_p$$

for all $x \in \ell^p(\mathbb{N})$, $1 < p \leq 2$. It is now easy to see that $\|(C - I)x\|_p$ is an equivalent norm in $\ell^p(\mathbb{N})$.

8. FURTHER COMMENTS

In this section, we summarize in tabular form all known sharp lower bounds for the operators $H - I$, $H^* - I$, $C - S$, $(C - S)^*$, and $C - I$, acting on various cones of $X = L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$ or $X = \ell^p(\mathbb{N})$ for $1 < p < \infty$. The constants established in the present paper are displayed in bold, while the symbol “?” indicates that the constant is currently unknown.

$H - I$	$L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$	$L^p_+(\mathbb{R}^+)$	$L^p_{\text{dec}}(\mathbb{R}^+)$
$1 < p \leq 2$	$\mathbf{M}_p^{-1/p}$	1	$(p - 1)^{-1/p}$
$2 \leq p < \infty$	$(\mathbf{p} - 1)^{-1}$	$(\mathbf{p} - 1)^{-1}$	$(p - 1)^{-1}$

$H^* - I$	$L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$	$L^p_+(\mathbb{R}^+)$	$L^p_{\text{dec}}(\mathbb{R}^+)$
$1 < p \leq 2$	$p - 1$	$p - 1$	$p - 1$
$2 \leq p < \infty$	$\mathbf{m}_p^{1/p}$	$\mathbf{m}_p^{1/p}$	$C_p^{1/p}$

$C - S$	$\ell^p(\mathbb{N})$	$\ell_+^p(\mathbb{N})$	$\ell_{\text{dec}}^p(\mathbb{N})$
$1 < p \leq 2$	$\mathbf{M}_p^{-1/p}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$(p - 1)^{-1/p}$
$2 \leq p < \infty$	$(p - 1)^{-1}$	$(p - 1)^{-1}$	$(p - 1)^{-1}$

$(C - S)^*$	$\ell^p(\mathbb{N})$	$\ell_+^p(\mathbb{N})$	$\ell_{\text{dec}}^p(\mathbb{N})$
$1 < p \leq 2$	$\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{1}$	$p - 1$
$2 \leq p < \infty$	$\mathbf{m}_p^{1/p}$	$\mathbf{m}_p^{1/p}$?

$C - I$	$\ell^p(\mathbb{N})$	$\ell_+^p(\mathbb{N})$	$\ell_{\text{dec}}^p(\mathbb{N})$
$1 < p \leq 2$	$\mathbf{2}^{-1/p}$?	?
$2 \leq p < \infty$?	?	?

Let $1 < p < \infty$. The second and the fourth tables reveal, in particular, that the best constant $B(p)$ in the inequality

$$B(p)\|f\|_p \leq \|(H^* - I)f\|_p, \quad (64)$$

which holds for all f regardless of whether f is an arbitrary or a nonnegative function, coincides with the best constant in

$$B(p)\|x\|_p \leq \|(C - S)^*x\|_p, \quad (65)$$

whether x is an arbitrary or a nonnegative sequence. Furthermore, for $1 < p \leq 2$, the sharp constant $B(p)$ in (64) restricted to nonnegative, nonincreasing functions f remains sharp for the inequality in (65) restricted to nonnegative, nonincreasing sequences x .

Consequently, it is natural to conjecture that this relationship extends to the case where $2 < p < \infty$. Specifically, it is expected that the best possible constant $K(p)$ satisfying

$$K(p)\|x\|_p \leq \|(C - S)^*x\|_p$$

for every nonnegative, nonincreasing sequence x is given by

$$K(p) = C_p^{1/p} = \left(\int_0^1 |\ln(t) + 1|^p dt \right)^{1/p},$$

for all $2 < p < \infty$.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Bañuelos and P. Janakiraman, *L^p -bounds for the Beurling-Ahlfors transform*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **360** (2008), 3603–3612.
- [2] A. Ben Said, S. Boza, and J. Soria, *The norm of Hardy-type oscillation operators in the discrete and continuous settings*. To appear in Analysis and Applications.

- [3] A. Ben Said and G. Sinnamon, *Best bounds for the dual Hardy operator minus identity on decreasing functions*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 205 (2026), 313–325.
- [4] B. Benaïssa, J. E. Nápoles, and B. Bayraktar, *Reverse Hardy inequalities via μ -proportional generalized fractional integral operators*, Bul. Acad. Ştiinţe Repub. Mold. Mat. 107 (2025), 23–32.
- [5] C. Bennett and R. Sharpley, *Interpolation of Operators*, Academic Press, Boston, 1988.
- [6] G. Bennett, *Factorizing the Classical Inequalities*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 120 (1996). Providence, RI.
- [7] G. Bennett, *Lower bounds for matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl., 82 (1986), 81–98.
- [8] S. Boza and J. Soria, *Solution to a conjecture on the norm of the Hardy operator minus the Identity*, J. Funct. Anal., 260 (2011), 1020–1028.
- [9] S. Boza and J. Soria, *Averaging operators on decreasing or positive functions: Equivalence and optimal bounds*, J. Approx. Theory, 237 (2019), 135–152.
- [10] S. Boza and J. Soria, *The norm of the Cesàro’s operator minus identity and related operators acting on decreasing sequences*, J. Approx. Theory, 292 (2023) 105911.
- [11] A. Brown, P. R. Halmos, and A. L. Shields, *Cesàro operators*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), 26 (1965), 125–137.
- [12] G. H. Hardy, J. Littlewood, and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, 2nd Ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, 1967.
- [13] G. J. O. Jameson, *An Equality Underlying Hardy’s Inequality*, Amer. Math. Monthly, 129:6 (2022), 582–586.
- [14] G. J. O. Jameson, *The ℓ_p -norm of $C - I$, where C is the Cesàro operator*, Math. Inequal. Appl. 24 (2021), 551–557.
- [15] N. Kaiblinger, L. Maligranda, and L.-E. Persson, *Norms in weighted L^2 -spaces and Hardy operators*, Function spaces, the fifth conference, Poznań 1998, Lecture Notes in Pure Appl. Math. 213 (2000), 205–216. New York: Marcel Dekker.
- [16] V. I. Kolyada, *Optimal relationships between L^p -norms for the Hardy operator and its dual*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., 193 (2014), 423–430.
- [17] V. I. Kolyada, *On Cesàro and Copson norms of nonnegative sequences*, Ukrainian Math. J., 71 (2019), 241–258.
- [18] N. Kruglyak and E. Setterqvist, *Sharp estimates for the identity minus Hardy operator on the cone of decreasing functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **136** (2008), 2005–2013.
- [19] E. Landau, *A note on a theorem concerning series of positive terms: Extract from a letter from Prof. E. Landau to Prof. I. Schur (communicated by G. H. Hardy)*, J. Lond. Math. Soc., 1 (1926), 38–39.
- [20] R. Lyons, *A lower bound on the Cesàro operator*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 86 (1982), 694.
- [21] P. F. Renaud, *A reversed Hardy inequality*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 34 (1986), 225–232.
- [22] G. Sinnamon, *Norm of the discrete Cesàro operator minus identity*, Math. Inequal. Appl., 25 (2022), 41–48.
- [23] M. Strzelecki, *The L^p -norms of the Beurling-Ahlfors transform on radial functions*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math., 42 (2017), no. 1, 73–93.
- [24] M. Strzelecki, *Hardy’s operator minus identity and power weights*, J. Funct. Anal., 279 (2020), no. 2, 108532.
- [25] J. Xiao, *A Reverse BMO-Hardy Inequality*, Real Anal. Exchange, 25 (1996), no. 2, 673–678.

DEPARTMENT OF ANALYSIS AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, COMPLUTENSE UNIVERSITY OF MADRID, 28040 MADRID, SPAIN.

Email address: achbensa@ucm.es

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, EETAC, POLYTECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF CATALONIA, 08860 CASTELLDEFELS, SPAIN.

Email address: santiago.boza@upc.edu

DEPARTMENT OF ANALYSIS AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, COMPLUTENSE UNIVERSITY OF MADRID, 28040 MADRID, SPAIN AND ICMAT.

Email address: javier.soria@ucm.es