



María Luisa Villamarín López

Date of birth: 26/11/1975 | Nationality: Spanish | Gender: Female |

mlvillamarin@der.ucm.es

● WORK EXPERIENCE

04/12/2010 – CURRENT – Madrid, Spain

PROFESSOR OR PROCEDURAL LAW – Universidad Complutense de Madrid

● EDUCATION AND TRAINING

Avenida Complutense s/n, Madrid, Spain

PHD PROCEDURAL LAW – School of Law Universidad Complutense de Madrid

● LANGUAGE SKILLS

Mother tongue(s): SPANISH

| | UNDERSTANDING | | SPEAKING | | WRITING |
|---------|---------------|---------|-------------------|--------------------|---------|
| | Listening | Reading | Spoken production | Spoken interaction | |
| ENGLISH | C2 | C2 | C2 | C2 | C2 |
| GERMAN | C1 | C1 | C1 | C1 | C1 |
| ITALIAN | B2 | B2 | A2 | A2 | A2 |
| FRENCH | A2 | A2 | A1 | A1 | A1 |

Levels: A1 and A2: Basic user; B1 and B2: Independent user; C1 and C2: Proficient user

● DIGITAL SKILLS

Microsoft Excel | Microsoft Office | Microsoft Powerpoint | Google Docs | Skype | Google Drive | Zoom

● PUBLICATIONS

El sobreseimiento provisional en el proceso penal

https://www.cerasa.es/libro/el-sobreseimiento-provisional-en-el-proceso-penal_49985/ – 2003

This is the result of my Phd, defended in 2002. The book studies an Spanish judicial resolution taken once the investigation is finished if there are not enough evidence or grounds to open the trial.

La obtención de pruebas en el proceso civil en Europa. Estudio del Reglamento 1206/2001, de 28 mayo

<https://www.abebooks.com/9788478799732/Obtencion-pruebas-proc.civil-euro-Spanish-8478799> – 2005

This books studies the European Regulation 1206/2001, of 28th May, about the obtention of evidence in the European Union.

Ejecución forzosa y circulación de vehículos a motor: el régimen procesal del denominado Auto de cuantía máxima

<https://www.marcialpons.es/libros/ejecucion-forzosa-y-circulacion-de-vehiculos-a-motor/9788483555002/> – 2008

The "auto de cuantía máxima" is a judicial resolution taken in criminal procedures derived from crimes committed in traffic accidents and it could be used as enforcement title in an enforcement proceeding. This book analyses this mechanism and its difficulties in application.

Neurociencia y detección de la verdad y el engaño en el proceso penal

It is going to be published in Portuguese in the following months

<https://www.marcialpons.es/libros/neurociencia-y-deteccion-de-la-verdad-y-del-engaño-en-el-proceso-penal/9788416212545/> – 2014

New techniques that can be used in the criminal procedure to find the truth or eliminate the deceit from the procedure are analysed in this book, in particular the fMRI and the brainfingerprinting. Apart from explaining their functioning, it is also analysed their compliance with fundamental rights such as presumption of innocence or the privilege not to declare.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IZeuObE6RcM>

El juicio verbal y sus especialidades

<https://tienda.wolterskluwer.es/p/los-procedimientos-civiles-ordinarios-y-los-recursos-coleccion-20-anos-lec-2000> – 2019

<https://tienda.wolterskluwer.es/p/los-procedimientos-civiles-ordinarios-y-los-recursos-coleccion-20-anos-lec-2000>

Chapter 16. Mediation in Spain in Hopt and Steffen, Mediation

Pages: 839 to 867

<https://global.oup.com/academic/product/mediation-9780199653485?cc=fr&lang=en&> – 2013

This work deals with the system of Mediation in the new Spanish Law of Mediation, its content and main characteristics.

On minimum standards in consumer ADR, in STURNER, GASCON & CAPONI, The Role of Consumer ADR in the Administration of Justice. New trends in Access to Justice under EU Directive 2013/11.

<https://www.degruyter.com/view/title/509075?language=en> – 2015

Access to civil justice under European Case Law

International Journal of Procedural Law, 7/2017, pp. 130 to 156.

<https://intersentia.com/en/international-journal-of-procedural-law-37762.html> – 2017

This work is about the content and meaning of the right to access in the light of the case law of the European Court of Justice and the European Court of Human Rights.

Harmonisation of the rules on protective measures? The European Account Preservation Order, in GASCON & HESS, The future of the European Law of Civil Procedure

Pages: 111 to 123.

<https://intersentia.com/en/harmonisation-of-civil-procedure-in-europe.html> – 2020

The presumption of innocence in Directive 2016/343/EU of 9 of March

Pages 335 to 355

https://www.era.int/cgi-bin/cms?_SID=bee6d22a95d72a4e44257a5a79c5aad27e0cbd8900747994389868&sprache=en&bereich=artikel&aktion=detail&idartikel=127640

– 2017

In this article the Directive 2016/343 is analysed in detail.

Report on Spain, in Quattrocolo & Ruggeri, Personal Participation in Criminal Proceedings

Pages 421 to 449

<https://www.springer.com/gp/book/9783030011857> – 2019

My work analysed in detail the participatory safeguards provided in the Spanish criminal procedure and their adequacy to the European rules dealing in absentia trials.

Confidentiality of Correspondence with Counsel as a Requirement of a Fair Trial in Spain, in Bachmaier & Thaman, The Right to Counsel and the Protection of Attorney-Client Privilege in Criminal Proceedings

Pages 273 to 291

<https://www.springer.com/gp/book/9783030431228> – 2020

El derecho de los testigos parientes a no declarar en el proceso penal, in Indret

Indret, 2012. 40 páginas

<https://indret.com/el-derecho-de-los-testigos-parientes-a-no-declarar-en-el-proceso-penal/>

With the increasing number of cases in which family members are victims of the crime about which they have no obligation to declare, with increasing frequency the extent of the privilege in favor of the relatives of the accused, recognized in article 416 of the Spanish Criminal Procedural Law (LECrím), is questioned. In this paper, this issue is reconsidered from a different perspective, taking as a starting point the analysis of the rationale of this privilege in order to offer a straight answer to all other questions: its objective and subjective scope, the procedure to be followed and its probative value

Instytucja sedziogo pokoju w Hiszpanii, in Iustitia

In polish. In Iustitia 4/2018, pp. 172 to 180.

<https://www.ksiegarnia.beck.pl/18165-iustitia-kwartalnik-stowarzyszenia-sedziow-polskich-nr-4-34-2018>

This article explains the Spanish regime of law judges called Judges of Peace, explaining their functions and pros and cons of their existence.

Justice of the peace in Spain, in Polski Proces Cywilny

Polski Proces Cywilny 2/2019

<https://www.profinfo.pl/sklep/polski-proces-cywilny,7796,r,2019,nr,2.html> – 2019

This article explains the Spanish institution of the Justice of the Peace and their functions, taking into consideration in particular if they should continue being part of the Judicial Power and exercising jurisdiction even they are lay judges.

Criminal procedure in Spain (with F. Gascón)

In Comparative Criminal Procedure in Europe, Ed. Max Planck Institut & Humblot, pp. 541 to 655.

<https://csl.mpg.de/en/publications/criminal-procedure-in-europe/> – 2008

This chapter deals with the Spanish regime of criminal procedure, explaining not only the different phases of the procedure but also the different agents involved, the principles governing the criminal procedure, the system of appeals and some reflections about what could be improved in our national system.

La callada agonía del derecho a guardar silencio

In La prueba en el proceso penal, Revista de Derecho Procesal, Ed. Rubinzal (Argentina), pp.211-227

<http://www.rubinzal.com.ar/revistas/revista-de-derecho-procesal/3/?menupc=1> – 2009

This articles deals with the current problem of the agony of the right not to incriminate himself since the different countries are trying to force the accused to declare or at least not to be in silence, infringing this essential principle that has governed the criminal procedure for years. This article analysed the question taking into account the case law from the ECHR.

Obtaining evidence in other member States in the European Union: Regulation 1206/2001

In DE LA OLIVA SANTOS, European Criminal Procedure, Sweet & Maxwell/Aranzadi, pp. 305 to 327.
2011

Los estándares mínimos exigibles a los mecanismos alternativos de resolución de litigios de consumo

In ALVAREZ MORENO, Innovación tecnológica, mercado y protección de los consumidores, pp. 317-332.

<https://www.editorialreus.es/libros/innovacion-tecnologica-mercado-y-proteccion-de-los-consumidores/9788429021042/> – 2018

La orden europea de retención de cuentas y sus requisitos de acceso

In GARCIA CASTRILLON, Ejecución de decisiones relativas a deudas monetarias en la UE, pp. 249-269

<https://www.dykinson.com/libros/ejecucion-de-las-decisiones-relativas-a-deudas-monetarias-en-la-union-europea/9788413244402/> – 2020

In this chapter, the content of the Regulation 655/2014 and, in particular, the requisites to request an European account preservation order are examined, taking into consideration the interpretation of these rules done by the ECJ in the recent judgment of 7th November 2019.

La nueva figura del agente encubierto online en la lucha contra la pornografía infantil. Apuntes desde la experiencia de Derecho Comparado

In CEDEÑO HERNAN, Nuevas tecnologías y derechos fundamentales en el proceso, Aranzadi, pp. 161-196

<https://www.thomsonreuters.es/es/tienda/duo-papel-ebook/nuevas-tecnologias-y-derechos-fundamentales-en-el-proceso-duo/p/10009966> – 2017

Nuevas tecnologías y E-justicia en la aplicación del Reglamento 1206/2001, de 28 de mayo, de obtención de pruebas

In SENES MOTILLA, Presente y futuro de la E-justicia en España y en la Unión Europea, pp. 478-488.

<https://www.dykinson.com/libros/presente-y-futuro-de-la-e-justicia-en-espana-y-la-union-europea/9788499035376/> – 2010

This chapter deals with the application of the new technologies and the E-justice to the EU Regulation 1206/2001.

Competencia judicial internacional en supuestos de responsabilidad extra contractual en internet: A propósito de la STJUE Concurrence vs. Samsung y Amazon, de 21 de diciembre de 2016

Cuadernos de Derecho Transnacional, vol. 10, 1/2018, pp. 657-661

<https://e-revistas.uc3m.es/index.php/CDT/article/view/4146> – 2018

This article analyzes the new jurisprudential criterion established in December 2016 by the CJEU in the field of torts on the Internet in connection with an infringement of an exclusive distribution contract. The special nature of the legal relationships at stake served in this case to reject the connection criteria used until then as the accessibility and to set as a special forum the place where the reduction in sales was effectively suffered, thus guaranteeing the fulfillment of the purposes pursued by these European rules as well as reducing the risk of forum shopping.

Asunto Menini vs Banco Popolare: primera aproximación a la Directiva 2013/11 sobre ADR en materia de consumo

Estudios sobre Jurisprudencia Europea, Materiales del III Encuentro Anual del Centro español del EL

https://www.sepin.es/tienda-online/articulo/articulo.aspx?id_articulo=5606 – 2020

https://europeanlawinstitute.eu/fileadmin/user_upload/p_eli/hubs/ELI_Spanish_Hub_3_Programa_Provisional_New.pdf

Abono de indemnización a testigos en los procesos civiles europeos: a propósito del Asunto Werynski, en aplicación del Reglamento 1206/2001

In Unión Europea Aranzadi, n. 10/2011. pp. 17-20.

<https://dialnet.unirioja.es/ejemplar/326559> – 2011

This article analyses the application of the Regulation 1206/2001 in a particular case studied by the ECJ in Werynski.

<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/GA/ALL/?uri=CELEX:62009CJ0283>

La protección del crédito en España a través del futuro proceso monitorio europeo. Estudio de la propuesta de reglamento comunitario

In Problemas actuales del proceso iberoamericano, Actas XX Jornada ib. D. Procesal, pp. 759-771.

La responsabilidad del acreedor en el Reglamento 655/2014, sobre la orden europea de retención de cuentas

Being published in Cuadernos de Derecho Transnacional, octubre 2020, vol. 12, pp. 1468-1480

<https://e-revistas.uc3m.es/index.php/CDT/issue/view/595> – 2020

Regulation 655/2014, which establishes the European Account Preservation Order, has

provided a series of mechanisms to balance the privileged position in which it places cross-border creditors when they request an European Account Retention Order inaudita parte debitoris. In this article,

we address critically the two fundamental instruments that are envisaged for this purpose: first, the provision of security, which, as a general rule, is configured as one of the most important requirements of

these measures (Art. 12); second, the liability regime due to the creditor for the damages derived from

the adoption of the European order (Art. 13), which has been foreseen as a minimum standard and, therefore, has left almost all aspects of this responsibility for the application of national laws.

● **HONOURS AND AWARDS**

2002

Extraordinary PhD award – Universidad Complutense de Madrid

1998

Extraordinary J.D. Award – Universidad Complutense de Madrid

● **CONFERENCES AND SEMINARS**

25/09/2003 – Tribunales colegiados en materia penal, Instituto de la Judicatura Judicial, Mexico

El sobreseimiento y la reapertura del proceso penal

The content of this conference was the explanation of the Spanish institution of dismissal and the reopen of the criminal procedure

24/10/2006 – XX Jornadas Iberoamericanas de Derecho Procesal, Málaga

La protección del crédito en España a través del futuro proceso monitorio europeo

26/09/2008 – III Congreso Hispano luso de Derechos del seguro, Madrid

La exacción de la responsabilidad civil derivada de accidentes de circulación en el marco del proceso penal tras la introducción de la oferta y la respuesta motivada

10/10/2008 – Seminarios Internacionales Complutense, Universidad Complutense de Madrid

La garantía constitucional del derecho a guardar silencio

03/11/2008 – School of Law, Universidad de Gerono

La callada agonía del derecho al silencio

The quiet agony of the privilege against self incrimination

05/06/2009 – International Association of Procedural Law Conference, Toronto, Canada

Court appointed evidence in Spanish Civil procedure (in English)

11/11/2011 – Law School of the Universidad Complutense de Madrid

El portal de la E-justicia de la Unión Europea

14/12/2011 – Congreso sobre Protección Jurídica de los Menores, Universidad de La Rioja

Hacia una justicia más cercana a los menores: una visión europea

22/11/2013 – International Seminar on The Role of Consumers ADR in the Administration of Justice

Minimum standards in Consumer ADR (in English)

25/04/2013 – School of Law of the Universidad Carlos III de Madrid

El polígrafo en el proceso penal español

It deals with the possible application of the polygraph in the Spanish criminal procedure.

21/03/2014 – Universidad de Salamanca

La búsqueda de la verdad en el proceso penal a través de las nuevas tecnologías: a propósito del polígrafo cerebral o P300

17/10/2016 – FIDE and Universidad Carlos III de Madrid

El test P300

It was a conference on the new techniques of neuroscience applied to the criminal procedure, in particular the technique called brainfingerprinting or P300.

16/09/2016 – Ilustre Colegio de Abogados de Madrid (Bar Association of Madrid)

La orden europea de retención de cuentas

I taught this conference on the European Account Preservation Order for Lawyers in the frame of the European Action Grant Training for Lawyers. I repeated the Conference also the 13th January 2017 and the 31st of March 2017.

03/02/2017 – Ilustre Colegio de Abogados de Madrid (Bar Association of Madrid)

Competencia internacional, reconocimiento y ejecución de resoluciones extranjeras en la Unión Europea

This conference was about the system of international jurisdiction in the Regulation 1215/2012. I repeated it the 31st of March 2017.

26/06/2017 – Consejo General del Poder Judicial (General Council of the Judicial Power)

Cuestiones prácticas discutidas sobre el nuevo juicio verbal

This conference was about the new regulation of the oral procedure, passed in Spain in 2015.

29/09/2017 – Instituto de Estudios Europeos de la Universidad de Valladolid (Institute for European Studies)

Garantías procesales de investigados y acusados

This conference was about the new Directive on presumption of innocence and its implication in the procedural guarantees of the accused person.

23/04/2018 – Varsow (Poland)

Judges of Peace in Spain (in English)

This conference was given within the frame of a Conference entitled "Participation of citizens in the judicial system" to explain how the Spanish system of Law judges (called Jueces de Paz) works in our country, with the pros and cons of this system.

09/05/2018 – Facultad de Derecho Universidad Complutense of Madrid

Asunto Menini y Rampanelli, primera aproximación del TJUE a la Directiva 2013/11 sobre ADR en materia de consumo

This was a short conference about this judgement of the ECJ about the application of the Directive 2013/11. It was part of the III Annual Congress on European Case Law organised by the Spanish Centre of the European Law Institute

11/05/2018 – Facultad de Derecho Universidad Complutense de Madrid

Los estándares mínimos exigibles a los mecanismos alternativos de resolución de litigios de consumo

This conference was part of an International Congress on Technological Innovation, market and consumer protection. My paper was about the minimum standards that should be followed in the alternative mechanisms provided to solve consumers conflicts.

05/06/2018 – Universidad de Gerona

Neurociencia y búsqueda de la verdad

This conference was about the use of neuroscience in the search for the truth in criminal proceedings from a philosophical point of view due that it was planned within the frame of the Workshop "Witness evidence " in the Congress of Evidence.

25/06/2018 – Facultad de Derecho de la Universidad Complutense de Madrid

La orden europea de retención de cuentas

This conference on the EAPO (European Account Preservation Order) was part of a Congress on the Circulation and Enforcement of resolutions within the European Union

19/07/2018 – Max Planck Institut Luxemburg

The European Account Preservation Order: how far has gone the harmonisation? (in English)

This conference was about the European Account Preservation Order, provided in the Regulation 655/2014, and in particular I studied if this Law has harmonised enough or not this subject. It was part of an International Seminar entitled "Harmonisation of civil procedure in the European Union: how far can we go?"

28/09/2018 – Santos (Brasil)

Neurociencia y proceso penal

This conference about the use of neuroscience in the criminal procedure was given within the IV Criminal Congress of the Prosecutors of the State of Sao Paolo

21/06/2019 – Facultad de Derecho Universidad Complutense de Madrid

El derecho a no declarar de las víctimas de violencia de género a la luz de las doctrina reciente del Tribunal Supremo

This paper was about the changes in the case law of the Supreme Court about the regime of the privilege not to declare recognised in art. 416 of the Spanish Criminal Procedural Law . It was part of the II Congress Spanish-Brasilian of Criminal Procedure

24/05/2019 – Real Academia de Jurisprudencia y Legislación (Royal Academy of Jurisprudence and Legislation)

Sirve el Reglamento sobre la orden europea de retención de cuentas como base para la armonización de la tutela cautelar civil en Europa?

It was a conference in the frame of the Spanish-French Seminar on Civil Justice. My paper was about the Regulation 655/2014 on the European Account Preservation Order.

05/07/2019 – Ilustre Colegio de Abogados de Madrid (Bar Association of Madrid)

Reglamento Bruselas Ibis

This seminar was about the Regulation 1215/2012.

<https://www.eulawtrain.eu/news.html>

20/09/2019 – Colegio de Abogados de Munich (Bar Association of Munich)

Regulation Brussels I bis (in English)

This was a seminar on International Jurisdiction provided in Regulation 1215/2012.

<https://www.eulawtrain.eu/news.html>

El Reglamento 2201/2003 (Bruselas II bis)

This online seminar was about the Regulation 2201/2003, on matrimonial matters.

<https://www.eulawtrain.eu/news.html>

● **PROJECTS**

2000 – 2003

Aspectos procesales de la criminalidad organizada

It dealt about the procedural aspects of organised crimes. Funding Institution: Spanish Ministry of Education and Science

2004 – 2008

Instrumentos para la cooperación judicial penal en la Unión Europea

It dealt with the international cooperational instruments within the European Union. Funded by the Spanish Ministry of Education and Science. Main researcher: Fernando Gascón Inchausti

2005

La orden europea de detención y entrega

It dealt with the new European arrest warrant. Funding institution: Comunidad de Madrid.

2005 – 2008

Integración Europea y armonización de las garantías fundamentales en el proceso penal

It dealt with the European integration and harmonisation of the fundamental guarantees within the criminal procedure. Main researcher: Lorena Bachmaier Winter. Funding institution: Spanish Ministry of Education and Science.

2005 – 2015

Problemas actuales de la justicia y el proceso

It dealt with current difficulties in the system of Justice in Spain. Funded by the UCM.

2009 – 2012

El proceso penal en España: propuestas para su reforma

Several proposals to improve the Spanish criminal procedure were studied during this project. Funding institution: Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation.

2010 – 2011

El Derecho Procesal Civil Europeo y la implantación de la e-justicia en la Unión Europea

This project was an European Action Grant, coordinated by Prof. De la Oliva Santos. Its content was the European Civil Procedure and its instruments and the implementation of the Ejustice in the European Union. Amount received: 451.000 euros.

2013 – 2015

Nuevas tecnologías y derechos fundamentales: posibilidad y límites en el proceso

This project deals with the new technologies and fundamental rights, its possibilities and limits in the criminal procedure

2015 – 2018

European Civil Procedure for Lawyers

This Action Grant, coordinated by Elena D'Alessandro (University of Turin), deals with some important European instruments in the field of the civil procedure, such as the Regulation 1215/2012.

2016 – 2018

La armonización del proceso civil en la Unión Europea

This project, coordinated by Prof. Gascón Inchausti, deals with the harmonisation of the civil procedure in the European Union.

2018 – 2020

Law training in European Civil Procedure

This Action Grant, coordinated by Elena D'Alessandro, deals with Law training for lawyers in the European Civil Procedure

2012 – 2015

El proceso civil en la jurisprudencia del Tribunal Europeo de Derechos Humanos: bases para la armonización del Derecho Procesal Civil en Europa

This project dealt with the case law of the ECHR on the civil procedure and its function to try to harmonize it at an European level.

Hacia un proceso civil convergente con Europa. Hitos presentes y retos futuros.

This project, funded by the Spanish Ministry of Education, dealt with the possibility of harmonise the principal civil procedures and instruments at a national level taking into consideration the European regulation and case law.

● **NETWORKS AND MEMBERSHIPS**

Fellow researcher

University of California Los Angeles (UCLA)

Fellow researcher in the Translational Program of Criminal Justice, led by Prof. Maximo Langer

<https://law.ucla.edu/academics/centers/transnational-program-criminal-justice>

● **ORGANISATIONAL SKILLS**

Member of the Permanent Commission for the organisation and management of the PhD Program in the Law School of the Universidad Complutense de Madrid

Ongoing from 2013

Representant of the Spanish School of Prosecutors in the European Judicial Network (EJTN)

From January 2017 to September 2019. I was collaborating in the Group on Methodologies.

Project leader

I was the leader of the Project of Learning Innovation entitled "Instrumentos y materiales de apoyo para la docencia del Derecho Procesal", financiado por la Universidad Complutense de Madrid para el curso 2008/2009.

Director of the I and II International Spanish-Brasilian Congress on Criminal Procedure

I was the director of the two editions of this International Congress: the first, from the 28th May to the 1st June of 2018; the second, from the 17th to the 21st of June 2019. There was about 50 participants, most of them Brasilian Prosecutors.

<https://www.ucm.es/derechoprocesalyderechopenal/ii-congreso-internacional-hispano-brasileno>

Person in charge of the web page of the Procedural Law Institute of the School of Law of the Universidad Complutense de Madrid

I am in charge of update the web page of our Institute.

<https://www.ucm.es/derechoprocesalyderechopenal/>

● DRIVING LICENCE

Driving Licence: B1

● COMMUNICATION AND INTERPERSONAL SKILLS

Award as excellent teacher in the UCM (Course 2018/2019)

<https://derecho.ucm.es/premios-excelencia->

● RESEARCH STAYS IN FOREIGN INSTITUTIONS

01/07/1999 – 30/08/1999

Institut für Bürgerlichesrecht und Zivilprozessrecht, University of Munich (Germany)

I was writing my PhD and I was working for this purpose in the library of the Institut with Prof. Rimmelspacher.

05/07/2000 – 24/08/2000

Max-Planck Institut für Ausländisches und Internationales Strafrecht, Freiburg i.Br (Germany)

I was working in the MPI for my PhD.

02/07/2001 – 31/08/2001

Max-Planck Institut für Ausländisches und Internationales Strafrecht, Freiburg i.Br (Germany)

I was preparing my PhD.

15/07/2002 – 31/08/2002

Max-Planck Institut für Ausländisches und Internationales Strafrecht, Freiburg i.Br (Germany)

I had already presented my PhD so I was preparing the publication of my work.

02/08/2014 – 26/08/2014

Max-Planck Institut für Ausländisches und Internationales Zivilprozessrecht, Hamburg (Germany)

I was writing my book on the Regulation 1206/2001.

28/01/2005 – 11/02/2005

Max-Planck Institut für Ausländisches und Internationales Zivilprozessrecht, Hamburg (Germany)

I was finishing my publication on Regulation 1206/2001.

06/02/2006 – 10/02/2006

Max-Planck Institut für Ausländisches und Internationales Zivilprozessrecht, Hamburg (Germany)

01/02/2008 – 15/02/2008

Max-Planck Institut für Ausländisches und Internationales Zivilprozessrecht, Hamburg (Germany)

04/08/2008 – 27/08/2008

Max-Planck Institut für Ausländisches und Internationales Strafrecht, Freiburg i.Br (Germany)

01/08/2009 – 31/08/2009

School of Law, Harvard University

I was researching on the privilege against self incrimination thanks to a grant given by the Real Colegio Complutense in Harvard.

28/07/2010 – 13/08/2010

Max-Planck Institut für Ausländisches und Internationales Strafrecht, Freiburg i.Br (Germany)

I was studying the presumption of innocence in Europe and the right to be silent and not to declare against oneself.

02/01/2016 – 25/01/2016

FRA (Fundamental Rights Agency)

I was researching in the FRA the scope of the access of justice in Europe because I was writing an essay about it. During my stay I could help to correct and complete the handbook that was about to be published in the FRA about access to justice and participate in their meetings.

01/07/2016 – 31/08/2016

School of Law, University of California Los Angeles (USA)

I was researching, by the direction of Prof. Langer, on the presumption of innocence in the USA, where there is a profuse doctrine about this subject, because I was studying and writing about the European Directive on Presumption of Innocence.

17/07/2017 – 11/08/2017

Institute for European and Comparative Law, University of Oxford

I continued studying the presumption of innocence and I finished there my essay on the Directive 2016/343.

28/05/2019 – 30/08/2019

Institut für Ausländisches und internationales Privatrecht, University of Freiburg (Germany)

I was researching, led by Prof. Von Hein, the Regulation 655/2014, on the European Account Preservation Order to prepare my book on that subject. In particular I was looking for the German literature.