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Summary

Over recent years, Spain has undergone a huge expansion in the number of wind farms, many of which extend across regions crossed by migratory birds that winter in the Iberian Peninsula and Africa. This paper explores the potential impact these structures have on the massive flow of birds along the western Pyrenean flyway. Ringing recoveries of migratory Wood Pigeons Columba palumbus were used in the study to depict the movements of migratory birds and these were then compared to the distribution of wind farms. The main flow of pigeons (50% of ringing recoveries) was concentrated in a belt 50 km wide. Although the wind farms were mainly distributed outside this central belt, they intercepted an adjacent sector where a considerable number of ringed pigeons (30%) were recorded. This means that the two central bands (100 km wide) accounted for around 80% of the total number of Wood Pigeons crossing the region. These results suggest the need for a scrupulous evaluation of the potential impact of wind farms on migratory birds along this flyway, particularly the cumulative effect on populations crossing the region regularly. In view of the rapid expansion of wind farms in northern Spain, enforcement of the application of EU regulations on preventive measures to protect migratory species is urgently needed.

Introduction

The expansion of wind farms throughout Europe to cope with the rising demand for energy in a world constrained by the greenhouse effect has had a series of environmental impacts (e.g. habitat destruction, visual impact, roads, power lines) that need to be evaluated. In the context of this study, the impact of wind farms is a conservation issue, as birds and other flying animals moving too close to the turbines and associated infrastructure run the risk of being disturbed or killed (Percival 2005, Drewitt and Langston 2006, Fox et al. 2006).

Despite methodological difficulties, it is possible to explore the link between certain features of wind farms (e.g. number, distribution and type of turbines) and their impact on local wildlife populations (Fox et al. 2006, Band et al. 2007, Morrison et al. 2007, Steward et al. 2007). However, evaluating the cumulative effect of these structures on migratory birds is more difficult because of the distances flown between breeding grounds and wintering areas. It has therefore been proposed that, rather than focusing on local effects, an attempt should also be made to assess the cumulative impact of wind farms on birds throughout their migratory routes (Fox et al. 2006). This approach implies understanding how birds move along the flyways (Desholm and Kahlert 2005), and identifying areas where they may be particularly exposed to the effects of wind farm structures, such as migratory bottlenecks.

Each autumn, millions of birds migrate from their breeding areas in western Europe towards their wintering grounds in the Iberian Peninsula and Africa. These massive flows of migrant birds become especially concentrated at geographical bottlenecks, such as coastlines and mountain passes (Alerstam 1990). Birds converge over the Atlantic coast of France and reach Spain through the
western Pyrenees, which is the main gateway for migrants entering the Iberian Peninsula (Moreau 1956, Bernis 1966–1971). The importance of this flyway for European migrant birds, which range from the more conspicuous species, such as Wood Pigeons *Columba palumbus*, Black Storks *Ciconia nigra* and Common Cranes *Grus grus* to the many small passerines (Bernis 1966–1971, Fernández-Cruz 1981, Madroño *et al.* 1992, Galarza and Tellería 2003, Urcun 2003) has long been recognised.

The expansion of wind farms in Spain is set to continue. In 2007, there were 530 wind farms capable of producing 14,145 MW. The aim envisaged in the five-year Spanish Plan for Renewable Energies is to reach 20,155 MW in 2010 (Spanish Wind Energy Association, www.aeeolica.org). Not only are these infrastructures occupying increasingly larger areas of the country, many of them are located in areas crossed by the migratory flyway through the western Pyrenees (e.g. Laiolo and Tella 2007), which may create barriers for bird movements. This paper aims to illustrate the potential impact of these structures on birds moving along this migratory flyway by exploring the relationships between the movements of migratory Wood Pigeons and the distribution of wind farms in northern Spain. Along with other migratory birds, several million central European Wood Pigeons cross the western Pyrenees every year to winter in the south-western quarter of the Iberian Peninsula (Purroy 1988, Bea *et al.* 2003).

**Methods**

Ringing recoveries of extra-Iberian migratory Wood Pigeons provided by the Spanish Ringing Scheme (*n* = 165) were used to sample distribution along the Atlantic migratory flyway across the Iberian Peninsula. Wood Pigeons are actively hunted in Spain during the autumn migration and in winter (there are more than one million hunters throughout the country) so it can be assumed that the distribution of ringing recoveries will reflect the true distribution of migratory flyways and wintering areas (Bairlein 2001). The geographical pattern of wind farms, together with the number of turbines and power output per farm (in MW), was obtained from the official website of the Spanish Wind Energy Association (www.aeeolica.org). Both the ringing recoveries and the distribution of wind farms were updated to September 2007.

After the pigeon recoveries and wind farms had been mapped, a series of parallel belts 50 km wide was drawn, following the SW direction taken by migratory pigeons. This made it possible to define a number of migratory belts to compare the intensity of pigeon movements (assessed by the number of ringing recoveries per belt) and the accumulated risk of interferences from wind farms (assessed by the number of wind farms, turbines or installed power per belt). These analyses were performed with ArcGis-ArcMap® 9.1.

**Results**

The Wood Pigeons reached Spain after crossing the western side of the Pyrenees. They flew along a corridor 200–250 km wide located between the highest mountains in the east and the Atlantic shoreline in the west. After entering Spain, they encountered several mountain ranges before reaching the south-western lowlands to winter. Shortly after crossing the Pyrenees, they crossed an area that has one of the highest densities of wind farms in Spain (169 wind farms, 4,838 turbines and 4,190 MW; Table 1, Figure 1).

The main flow of migratory Wood pigeons was concentrated in a belt 50 km wide (Belt C, with 52 out of the 100 recoveries recorded in the region; Table 1). Away from this belt, there was a decrease in the density of recoveries towards the west but not towards the east, where Belt D had 26 recoveries. This means that the two central belts (C and D) accounted for around 80% of the total number of ringing recoveries (Figure 1).

The distribution of wind farms did not overlap significantly with that of migratory pigeons (ringing recoveries vs. wind farms; $\chi^2_3 = 401, P < 0.001$). However, despite the uneven spatial
distribution of birds and wind farms, Belt D, which had the highest density of wind farms, received the second most abundant flow of Wood Pigeons (Table 1). Therefore, this belt appears to be critical with respect to protection of the migratory flow.

**Discussion**

Wood Pigeons have been used as a model species in this study to illustrate the way migratory land birds move along the Pyrenean flyway in northern Spain. Although the use of surrogate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Migratory belt</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of ringing recoveries</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of wind farms</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of turbines</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>1,277</td>
<td>991</td>
<td>1,284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power (MW)</td>
<td>444.4</td>
<td>295.7</td>
<td>370.5</td>
<td>1,139.0</td>
<td>804.3</td>
<td>1,138.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Above. Distribution of extra-Iberian migratory Wood Pigeon ringing recoveries (left) and wind farms (right) in Spain. The study area and the belts outlined to explore potential bird-wind farm interactions are also shown. Below: Distribution of ringing recoveries (left) and wind farms (right) in the study area. Migratory belts, elevations over 1000 m (grey) and administrative units (provinces) are also represented.
species is a matter of some discussion (Caro and ÓDoherty 1999), the results from the study describe the already-known general pattern of bird movements in the western Pyrenean corridor (see Introduction) and support the view that this area may be considered a migratory bottleneck which puts both this particular species and other migratory birds potentially at risk due to the ongoing expansion of wind farms. From a conservation perspective, three main conclusions can be drawn from this information.

The first concerns the concentration of wind farms in a key region for birds moving across the Iberian Peninsula. While the effects of wind farms on migratory land birds are not yet fully understood, it is commonly accepted that these will vary according to the particular location and the species. For instance, Barrios and Rodríguez (2004) and De Lucas et al. (2007) reported that raptors moving across the Strait of Gibraltar (a bottleneck for soaring birds with a high density of wind farms; Figure 1) had a certain ability to detect turbines, and collision rates were low. However, Lekuona and Ursúa (2007) reported that several migratory species (Black Kite Milvus milvus, Black Stork, Northern Lapwing Vanellus vanellus, Song Thrush Turdus philomelos, Common Chaffinch Fringilla coelebs and Common Linnet Carduelis cannabina) face situations of high risk when flying near wind farms in northern Spain (Belt D, Figure 1). In fact, for all species in this zone, both migratory and sedentary, collision rates ranging from four to 64 birds/turbine/year have been reported (Lekuona 2001, Onrubia et al. 2002). This could produce a significant loss of individuals in a sector where many migratory birds move twice a year across several 50-km wide belts occupied by more than 1,000 turbines (Figure 1). The cumulative effect of collisions on rare or endangered species is one of the most recurrent concerns when assessing the negative effect of wind farms on wildlife (Drewitt and Langston 2006, Hüppop et al. 2006).

The second conclusion refers to the regional and local distribution of wind farms and the possibilities of launching preventive measures to protect migratory birds. The fact that the density of wind farms and turbines in Belt C, the most important for migrants (Table 1), is still very low opens up the possibility of restricting new construction in this area, the solution suggested in other European countries to protect migratory flyways (Hüppop et al. 2006). It also offers the option of carrying out a scrupulous assessment of any impact future projects may have on this belt, particularly the potential effects of location, orientation, local topography, weather and wind farm design (Morrison et al. 2007). Although there is a lack of studies on the effects of local topography on the collision rates of migrants in this region (especially the role played by elevation, slope orientation and funnelling), it is known that mountain passes are particularly sensitive areas for concentrations of migratory birds (Alerstam 1990) which are driven to these passes in large numbers by the funnel effect of surrounding mountain slopes. In fact, in the mountains of northern Spain, such passes have traditionally been used by hunters to shoot migrating Wood Pigeons, thrushes and other birds. Unfortunately, some of the passes are already surrounded by wind farms located on the windy ridges of hills and mountains (Figure 1), one of the most frequent locations of wind farms in Spain (Spanish Wind Energy Association; www.aeeolica.org). The effect of these northern Spanish wind farms as barriers to migratory bird flows and collision spots for certain species is not yet understood, although some reports suggest they constitute a disturbance to Wood Pigeons and other migratory birds (Camíña 2002).

The final conclusion is that all potential construction sites in this region should be considered as part of an integral assessment framework (e.g. Exo et al. 2003, Fox et al. 2006). This implies coordination at all levels (provincial, autonomous and central) between different Spanish administrations involved in wind farm concessions in order to prevent the cumulative effects of wind farms on migratory birds. This is all the more important, given that evaluation of the cumulative impacts of multiple wind farms and other developments along migratory flyways is a requirement of EU environmental impact assessment procedures (Directives 85/337/EEC and 97/11/EC) (Fox et al. 2006). It should also be pointed out that under Directive 2001/42/EC national governments of European Union countries are required to undertake strategic environmental assessments of any national wind energy plans that have a potentially adverse impact on wildlife (Fox et al. 2006). Given the international nature of migratory bird populations
crossing northern Spain, the current situation would seem to be highly appropriate for enforcing the application of EU regulations on the protection of migratory species (e.g. Bonn Convention, Directive 79/409/EEC and its amending acts, Directive 92/43/EEC).
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