# ANIMAL GENETICS Immunogenetics, Molecular Genetics and Functional Genomics

doi: 10.1111/age.12867

# Aggressive behavior in cattle is associated with a polymorphism in the *MAOA* gene promoter

# P. G. Eusebi\*<sup>,†</sup> (b), N. Sevane\* (b), O. Cortés\*, E. Contreras\*, J. Cañon\* and S. Dunner\*

\*Departamento de Producción Animal, Facultad de Veterinaria, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Avenida Puerta de Hierro, s/n, 28040, Madrid, Spain. <sup>†</sup>VELOGEN.SL., Servicio de Genética, Facultad de Veterinaria, Universidad Complutense, Avenida Puerta de Hierro, s/n, 28040, Madrid, Spain.

#### Summary

Molecular mechanisms underlying aggressive behavior are primitive and similar among the subphylum Vertebrata. In humans, a primary goal in the study of aggression is to determine the neurobehavioral molecular factors triggering violence. Although several species have been used to study agonistic responses, researchers are limited by the difficulty of artificially inducing aggression in animals not selected for it. Conversely, the Lidia cattle breed has been selected since the eighteenth century to display agonistic responses based on traits such as aggressiveness, ferocity and mobility, all of them showing significant heritability values. This intensive selection may have driven shifts in specific allele frequencies. In a previous analysis across the autosomes, we revealed long-term selection regions including genes involved in behavioral development. In the present study, we focus on mapping recent signatures of selection associated with aggressiveness at chromosome X, by comparing Lidia cattle samples with two non-specialized Spanish breeds showing tamed behavior. The most significant markers peaked around the monoamine oxidase A (MAOA) gene, and thus the associations of three functionally important regions located near the promoter of this gene were further investigated. A polymorphism consisting of a variable number of tandem repeats of the nucleotide 'C' (BTX:105,462,494) and displaying lower number of repetitions in the Lidia breed when compared with the tamed breeds was detected. In silico analyses predicted that the g.105,462,494delsinsC variant may code for the Sp1 binding motif, one of the major transcription factors controlling the core promoter and expression of the MAOA gene in humans.

**Keywords** behavior genetics, *Bos taurus*, Lidia cattle breed, polymorphisms, selection signatures

# Introduction

Aggressiveness is a primitive yet highly conserved animal behavior, and as such, the molecular mechanisms underlying aggression are expected to be similar and common among the subphylum Vertebrata (Nelson & Chiavegatto 2001). A traditional prerequisite for domestication has been to breed for docility and discard aggressive responses (Belyaev *et al.* 1985). Consequently, livestock breeders and caretakers have sought behaviors based on friendly responses to humans in order to avoid aversive handling,

Address for correspondence

P. G. Eusebi, Departamento de Producción Animal, Facultad de Veterinaria, Universidad Complutense, Avenida Puerta de Hierro, s/n, 28040 Madrid, Spain. E-mail: paulig01@ucm.es

Accepted for publication 18 September 2019

which is a risk to their safety and may have negative effects on economically important traits (Belyaev *et al.* 1985; Fordyce *et al.* 1988), such as milk yield, milk protein and fat contents in dairy cattle (Breuer *et al.* 2000) or daily weight gain in beef cattle (Fordyce & Goddard 1984).

In humans, studies on the molecular basis underlying aggression are pivotal to determine the neurobehavioral factors triggering violence. Aggression forms are highly prevalent at both the intrapersonal (suicide) and the interpersonal (homicide) level, and show a clear genetic component with heritabilities near to 50% (Miles & Carey 1997; de Boer *et al.* 2003). According to the World Health Organization report (Krug *et al.* 2002), each year an estimated 1.6 million people die as a direct result of injuries resulting from violence, with aggressive acts being a serious and costly public health problem worldwide. A large number of preclinical studies in various animal species have shed significant light on the genetic background of human

aggressiveness (de Boer et al. 2003); however, the molecular mechanisms involved in its initiation and progression are still unknown. Initially, murine species were the model of choice for studies on aggression. However, most strains of laboratory mice (Mus musculus) are bred to be docile and, consequently, they must be put into artificial situations to promote aggression (Nelson & Chiavegatto 2001). Other wt strains of various species have also been artificially developed to investigate the genetics of behavior, such as the successful experimental breeding program of silver foxes developed in 1956. This generated both a population that responds to humans in a friendly/tamed manner and a strain displaying aggressive responses to humans (Belyaev et al. 1985; Trut 1999, 2001). As for cattle, some traditional breeds that have retained primitive behavioral features, known as primitive breeds (Upadhyay et al. 2017), have been selected to develop agonistic-aggressive behavior, for example the Lidia bovine breed in Spain, along with the Italian Valdostana and the Swiss Herens. Lidia individuals have been classified and selected since the eighteenth century according to their aggression and fighting ability for use in bullfighting events (Eusebi et al. 2018a). Breeders use a set of traits registered on a categorical scale, including aggressiveness, ferocity and mobility as the main genetic parameters, all of them showing significant heritability values that range from 0.20 to 0.36 (Silva et al. 2006; Menendez-Buxadera et al. 2017).

Recently, the availability of genomic tools such as SNP panels (Bovine HapMap Consortium 2009) enable the identification of selection sweeps in populations under intense selection for a particular trait (Pritchard et al. 2010). Also, various software programs are available, such as SELESTIM (Vitalis et al. 2013) and BAYESCAN (Foll & Gaggiotti 2008), both widely used and implemented to detect signatures of long-term positive selection using algorithms based on allele frequency differences among populations. In a previous analysis of the same sample set we identified two genomic regions in chromosomes BTA3 and BTA8 showing signals of selection and including several genes involved in behavioral traits (Eusebi et al. 2018b). However, similarly to most studies on selective sweeps, these analyses focused only on autosomes because of the unique analytical challenges that the X chromosome presents (Nature Medicine 2017).

Taking into account that the X chromosome includes several polygenic regions associated with variations in behavior in different species (Brunner *et al.* 1993; Cases *et al.* 1995; Sabol *et al.* 1998; Craig & Halton 2009; Pavlov *et al.* 2012), we sought signals in the bovine chromosome X (BTX) driven by recent human selection for agonistic responses. We used SNP array data from the BTX of individuals belonging to the aggressive Lidia population and two tamed Spanish breeds, Asturiana de los Valles (RAV) and the Morenas Gallegas racial group (MG; including the Vianesa, Frieiresa and Limiá breeds), in which aggressiveness is not a desirable behavior and thus has been selected against. The selection signatures were analyzed through the population-extended haplotype homozygosity (XP-EHH) method (Sabeti *et al.* 2007). A genomic region under positive selection was identified close to the *MAOA* gene, widely studied for its association with aggressiveness in humans and other species (Sabol *et al.* 1998; Craig 2000; Popova *et al.* 2001; Karere *et al.* 2009). Thus, the association of three functionally important regions located near the promoter region of this gene with the different behavioral responses displayed by aggressive and tamed cattle breeds was further investigated.

# Materials and methods

## Samples

For the selection signatures scan, a total of 303 cattle blood samples were collected in Magic Buffer tubes (Biogen Diagnostica, Spain) and maintained at 15 °C until use, with the conservation buffer guaranteeing unlimited DNA integrity (Dunner & Cañón 2006). Genomic DNA was extracted using a standard phenol/chloroform method (Sambrook *et al.* 1989).

Lidia cattle samples were classified into three different classes of aggressiveness: (i) 100 individuals belonging to Spanish lineages that display extreme aggressive behavior (Spa+); (ii) 65 showing intermediate aggressiveness (Spa-); and (iii) 48 individuals from Mexico (Mex) demonstrating mild aggressive responses. The three Lidia groups were defined according to the fragmentation of the racial group into small and isolated populations named lineages or 'encastes' (Cañón *et al.* 2008), in which differences in the three main behavioral characteristics traditionally scored in the breed – aggressiveness, ferocity and mobility – can be detected (Silva *et al.* 2006; Cañón *et al.* 2008). Concordantly, the lineages with higher, mild and lower behavior scores also showed the highest genetic differentiation among the Lidia breed lineages (Eusebi *et al.* 2018b).

As a control group, for the selection signatures scan, the tamed RAV breed (n = 60) and the MG racial group (n = 30) were analyzed. For the MAOA sequencing, RAV, MG, and Lidia samples were analized, together with samples belonging to beef cattle breeds used worldwide, such as Limousin and Charolais, and also three Iberian breeds (Avileña, Retinta and Rubia Gallega) never selected for production traits and, may be considered as the most representative modern autochthonous Iberian cattle breeds (Table 1).

Population genetic relationships among the different cattle breeds included into the analysis can be observed from their projection on the two main axes obtained after performing a PCA using genotype data from the Illumina SNP Beadchip (Fig. S1). Figure S1 shows the dispersion of the tamed cattle breeds (a), although they are grouped together when the Lidia breed is included in the PCA (b).

#### Identification of selection signatures

All samples were genotyped with the 50K SNP BeadChip (Http://www.illumina.com). The SNPs with a MAF below 1% and call rates less than 90% were removed from the dataset using PLINK v.1.90 (Purcell et al. 2007). All missing data were also pruned from the merged data set leaving ~400 SNPs in BTX for downstream analyses. Haplotype reconstruction was performed with BEAGLE v.5.0 (Browning et al. 2018). The XP-EHH method (Sabeti et al. 2007) was used as implemented in the software SELSCAN v.1.2.0 (Szpiech & Hernandez 2014) to identify recent selective sweeps by comparing the three Lidia groups (Spa+, Spa- and Mex) with the tamed RAV and MG groups. This estimation is based on cross-population comparisons to identify alleles that have been swept near to fixation within a population (Pickrell et al. 2009). We used 750 kb spanning windows and the XP-EHH scores were standardized across the whole genome. The scores exceeding 5% of the standardized distribution were identified as potential locations for positive selection signatures in each Lidia group, using the criteria of at least two adjacent significant SNPs present in both pair-wise comparisons – Lidia and the two tamed groups (RAV and MG).

#### Gene annotation

Information on the candidate genes included in regions under selection was extracted from the NCBI Bovine Genome database ( ftp://ftp.ncbi.nih.gov/genomes/Bos\_ta urus/mapview/seq\_gene.md.gz), and as annotation of the bovine genome is still incomplete, BioMart from Ensembl Archive release 90 ( www.ensembl.org/biomart) was used to determine the orthologous human gene ID for each candidate gene.

#### MAOA sequencing design

Three genomic regions within the promoter of the *MAOA* gene were amplified in the aggressive (n = 31) and tamed groups (n = 41). The three selected regions are located close

 $\label{eq:table_table_table_table} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{Table 1} & \textbf{Breeds included in the aggressive and tamed groups} \\ \textbf{sequenced for the } \textit{MAOA gene} \end{array}$ 

| Group      | Breed name              | Sample size |
|------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| Aggressive | Spa+                    | 15          |
|            | Spa—                    | 12          |
|            | Mex                     | 4           |
|            | Total                   | 31          |
| Tamed      | Asturiana de los Valles | 11          |
|            | Morenas Gallega         | 7           |
|            | Retinta                 | 4           |
|            | Rubia Gallega           | 4           |
|            | Avileña                 | 3           |
|            | Limousine               | 5           |
|            | Charolaise              | 7           |
|            | Total                   | 41          |

to or within a putative regulatory region that is highly conserved and GC rich (Fig. 1), containing different simple tandem repeats.

The GenBank NCBI genome ID 189361(Bos\_taurus\_UMD\_3.1.1) was used for primer design. Table S1 provides the primer sequences, fragment sizes and annealing temperatures for the three amplified regions. Extracted DNA (10 ng) was added to the reaction mixture consisting of 0.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.4 mM Taq polymerase, 0.2 mM dNTPs and 0.5 pmol of each primer. The three amplicons were obtained after an initial 4 min cycle of 94 °C followed by 34 cycles of PCR (94 °C for 15 s, 57 °C for 50 s and 72 °C for 50 s) and a final 10 min incubation at 72 °C. The amplicons were sequenced using Big Dye TERMINATOR version 3.1 (Life Technologies, Madrid, Spain) in the ABI PRISM 3500 automated sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA).

Sequence assembly and identification of genomic polymorphisms were performed using the BIOEDIT v7.0.5 software (Hall 2005). Simple repeat elements in the *MAOA* promoter sequences were predicted with the TANDEM REPEAT FINDER program (Benson 1999) and the putative functional characterizations of genetic polymorphisms identified in the promoter region of the *MAOA* gene was investigated using the web-based software SIGNAL SCAN (Prestridge 1991). Table 1 shows the animals sampled – only Lidia, RAV and MG cattle were initially genotyped with the 50K SNP BeadChip and the rest of the samples were used for validation of the g.105,462,494delsinsC variant.

#### **Results and discussion**

We used the XP-EHH approach (Sabeti *et al.* 2007) to disentangle the differences in BTX that can be explained by the recent differential selection for aggressiveness in the Lidia population when compared with the tamed RAV and MG breeds. Chromosome X differs from autosomes in terms of gene divergence, patterns of gene expression and rates of gene movement between chromosomes (Sabol *et al.* 1998). In cattle, the X chromosome is ~148 Mb in size and accounts for 1.7% of the whole bovine genome.

#### Selection signatures analysis

A region under selection in BTX was retrieved for each Lidia group (Table 2), all of them partially overlapping from BTX 97,384,518 to 116,407,814 bp (Fig. 2), and considered as a joint-in region in downstream analyses. The joint-in region under selection is a polygenic area containing numerous genes, some of them previously identified as playing a key role in behavioral features, such as: *MAOA* (BTX: 105 380 191–105 445 070), encoding the enzyme mono-amine oxidase A, which is the primary enzyme in the degradation route for synaptic serotonin and norepinephrine during neurodevelopment (Sabol *et al.* 1998); ubiquitin



Figure 1 Overall genomic structure and strategy for sequencing the bovine MAOA gene (available at https://genome.ucsc.edu/cgi-bin/hgGatewa y). In brackets is given the position of each of the three target regions, previously reported for displaying simple tandem repeats: (1) CpG\_Island; (2) GT\_fragment; and (3) Far\_fragment.

specific peptidase 9 X-linked (*USP9X*, BTX: 107 853 417–107 862 594), involved in X-linked intellectual disability (Homan *et al.* 2014); mediator complex subunit 14 (*MED14*, BTX: 108 241 528–108 303 280), a co-activator of a transcription factor SP1, whose overexpression increases *MAOA* promoter activity (Chen *et al.* 2006); ATPase H+ transporting accessory protein 2 (*ATP6AP2*, BTX: 108 396 795–108 424 453), playing an important role in cognitive function processes and brain development (Ramser *et al.* 2005); and tetraspanin 7 (*TSPAN7*, BTX: 110 055 861–110 188 380), associated with X-linked cognitive disability and neuropsychiatric diseases (Bassani *et al.* 2012). Thus, this region gathers pivotal genes associated with neuronal processes and pathways implicated in the modulation of offensive aggression (Craig & Halton 2009).

The remaining genes located within the joint-in region are presented in Table S2, most of them associated with different metabolic functions that may be related to reproductive traits. The mammalian X chromosome contains an atypical high proportion of two classes of genes, those implicated in mental performance and those associated mainly with reproduction-related traits (Graves & Delbridge 2001), as reflected in the associated joint-in region detected here (Table S2).

In contrast to recombination hotspots, which are associated with low LD (Hermisson & Pennings 2005), the large length of the selective sweep detected here (9.4 Mb) may be associated with strong LD across the target region. The Manhattan plot presented in Fig. 2 shows SNPs under selection within the Lidia groups along the X chromosome. A high overlap proportion is observed across groups as well as a clustering pattern of markers around specific regions, leaving silent gaps along the chromosome.

These silent regions may be partially due to constant selection, which gives rise to regions with reduced genetic diversity and low recombination, concentrating signals around gene-rich regions (Pritchard *et al.* 2010), coupled with the low recombination rate of chromosome X when compared with autosomes (Schaffner 2004). Similarly, Ma *et al.* (2014) attributed the absence of selection signals in some regions as a result of X-inactivation to sex-specific dosage compensation.

#### MAOA gene sequencing

MAOA has been identified as an important gene involved in pathological aggression, which includes a broad spectrum of psychiatric conditions such as dementia, manic depression, schizophrenia and addictive behaviors in humans, and offensive aggression in murine models (Sabol et al. 1998; Craig & Halton 2009). Thus, we selected this candidate gene to further explore the detected genomic signals given that: (i) this gene is located within the markers with higher XP-EHH scores in all Lidia groups, exceeding 95% of the standardized distribution (Fig. 2); and (ii) a functional variation within this widely studied gene has been associated with aggression and behavioral alteration in humans (Sabol et al. 1998). Several studies have associated a VNTR in the promoter region of the human MAOA gene (Lawson et al. 2003; Wendland et al. 2006; Alia-Klein et al. 2008), located 1137 bp upstream of the start codon, with aggression and mental illnesses, including depression, antisocial behavior and panic syndrome in humans. The different repetition numbers of the VNTR polymorphism are shown to affect the synthesis of the catabolic enzyme MAOA in the brain, where this gene is mainly expressed (Nelson & Chiavegatto 2001). Accordingly, several genetic polymorphisms were detected in intronic regions of the canine MAOA between different breed groups, the coding and promoter regions remaining conserved (Sacco et al. 2017),

Table 2 Genomic regions under selection in BTX per Lidia group

| Group  | No. of SNPs | BTAX position           | Region length (Mb) |
|--|-------------|-------------------------|--------------------|
| Spanish Lidia – extremely aggressive (Spa+)      | 4           | 107 043 386–116 407 814 | 9.36               |
| Spanish Lidia – intermediately aggressive (Spa–) | 2           | 97 384 518–107 052 079  | 9.67               |
| Mexico – mildly aggressive (Mex)                 | 6           | 107 040 858–113 052 079 | 6.30               |

Threshold is set at the 95% of the standardized distribution.



**Figure 2** Plot of the SNPs under selection in the different Lidia groups – aggressive (Spa+), intermediate (Spa–) and mild (Mex) – located in BTX: 95 000 000–120 000 000. The black, red and blue dotted lines stand for the XP-EHH scores exceeding the 90, 95 and 99% upper quartiles of the standardized distribution respectively.

although these polymorphisms still lack validation studies on their association with aggressive behavior in the dog.

In cattle, little is known about the genetics underpinning aggressive behavior. Recently, an analysis using a panel of SNPs in autosomic chromosomes in the Charolais breed revealed some candidate polymorphisms associated with temperament-related traits through their effect on dopamine- and serotonin-related genes, such as proopiomelanocortin (POMC), neuropeptide Y (NPY) and the solute carrier family 18, member 2 (SLC18A2) (Garza-Brenner et al. 2017). Regarding the MAOA gene in cattle, several polymorphisms located in different exons were reported in another study comparing two commercial breeds that display different handling behaviors (Lühken et al. 2010). The functional impact of the proteins affected by these polymorphisms was evaluated in silico in the same work, but no significant association was detected between these and the related scores of cattle behavior (Lühken et al. 2010). However, research on the possible effect of variants in the promoter region of the MAOA gene using specific

cattle breeds selected for aggressiveness (i.e. the Lidia breed) has not been conducted so far.

We focused on three sequences in the promoter region of the MAOA gene (Fig. 1), which are key in the regulation of gene expression (Sabol et al. 1998). From the three sequences analyzed, the (2) GT\_fragment and (3) Far\_fragment did not render any variation, but a different pattern of cytosine (C) repetitions was observed between the Lidia and the tamed groups (P < 0.0001) in the fragment (1), which corresponds to a CpG\_Island, named g.105,462,494delsinsC. Most Lidia individuals displayed a low number of repetitions (9 and 10 C; P < 0.001; Fig. 3). In contrast, the tamed group showed a noticeable variation in the distribution of 10 and 11 C repetitions (P < 0.001). The number of 9C repetitions observed in the tamed group belongs exclusively to a couple of individuals of the Limousine breed (Fig. 3). The presence of this low number of Cs in Limousines may be expected since, despite being considered tamed, this beef breed is known by breeders and farmers for its difficulty



**Figure 3** Distribution of the variation in the number of g.105,462,494delsinsC repetitions across the two groups of cattle populations.

in handling and is reported as being behaviorally agitated (Grandin 1993).

A further analysis to predict candidate transcription factor binding sites in silico (Pickrell et al. 2009) reveals that the g.105,462,494delsinsC variant may code for the functionally important Sp1 transcription factor binding site, and can also generate a new site for the CACCC-box binding factor. In addition, the joint-in genomic region also pinpointed MED14, another co-activator of Sp1. Sp1 binding motifs constitute the major transcription factors controlling the core promoter and, hence, MAOA expression in humans (Zhu et al. 1994; Chen 2004). Our understanding of the regulatory elements of transcription in mammalian genomes is, however, far from comprehensive and no general rules have been proposed to account for the functional consequences of regulatory mutations (Sinnett et al. 2006). However, the variation in the number of Cs at g.105,462,494delsinsC when comparing aggressive and tamed cattle and its potential functional importance for the transcription of Sp1 and MAOA gene expression may indicate a possible influence on cattle behavior.

In summary, using SNP array data from BTX, a genomic region under recent positive selection has been identified in the Lidia cattle breed containing genes associated with aggressive behavior. Within this candidate region, the most significant markers were located near to the *MAOA* gene, where further analyses have revealed a genetic polymorphism in the form and means of a cytosine expansion (g.105,462,494delsinsC). This polymorphism is located in a CpG island of the gene promoter and displays a lower number of repetitions in the Lidia breed when compared with tamed Spanish local breeds. The exact functional implication in agonistic behavior of this expansion polymorphism is still to be determined.

# Acknowledgements

The authors wish to express their thanks to breeders' associations who kindly provided the biological samples used in this study.

#### **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### Data availability statement

The dataset of 303 bovines genotyped using the Illumina 50K BeadChip is available in the Figshare public repository (https://figshare.com/s/a63a54b3943a9bfed6b6). For the *MAOA* gene variants the nucleotide sequences accession codes go from MN367149 to MN367220.

#### References

- Accounting for sex in the genome. *Nature Medicine* 23, 1243 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.4445
- Alia-Klein N., Goldstein R.Z., Kriplani A. et al. (2008) Brain monoamine oxidase A activity predicts trait aggression. The Journal of Neuroscience 28, 5099–104.
- Bassani S., Cingolani L.A., Valnegri P., Folci A., Zapata J., Gianfelice A., Sala C., Goda Y. & Passafaro M. (2012) The X-linked intellectual disability protein TSPAN7 regulates excitatory synapse development and AMPAR trafficking. *Neuron* 73, 1143–58.
- Belyaev D.K., Plyusnina I.Z. & Trut L.N. (1985) Domestication in the silver fox (*Vulpes fulvus* Desm): changes in physiological boundaries of the sensitive period of primary socialization. *Applied Animal Behavior Sciences* 13, 359–70.
- Benson G. (1999) Tandem repeats finder: a program to analyze DNA sequences. Nucleic Acids Research 27, 573–80.

- de Boer S.F., van der Vegt B.J. & Koolhaas J.M. (2003) Individual variation in aggression of feral rodent strains: a standard for the genetics of aggression and violence? *Behavior Genetics* **33**, 485–501.
- Bovine HapMap Consortium. (2009). Genome-wide survey of SNP variation uncovers the genetic structure of cattle breeds. *Science* **324**, 528–32.
- Breuer K., Hemsworth P.H., Barnett J.L., Matthews L.R. & Coleman G.J. (2000) Behavioural response to humans and the productivity of commercial dairy cows. *Applied Animal Behavior Sciences* 66, 273–88.
- Browning B.L., Zhou Y. & Browning S.R. (2018) A one-penny imputed genome from next generation reference panels. *The American Journal of Human Genetics* **103**, 338–48.
- Brunner H.G., Nelen M., Breakefield X.O., Ropers H.H. & van Oost B.A. (1993) Abnormal behavior associated with a point mutation in the structural gene for monoamine oxidase A. *Science* 262, 578–80.
- Cañón J., Tupac-Yupanqui I., García-Atance M.A., Cortés O., García D., Fernández J. & Dunner S. (2008) Genetic variation within the Lidia bovine breed. *Animal Genetics* **39**, 439–45.
- Cases O., Seif I., Grimsby J. *et al.* (1995) Aggressive behavior and altered amounts of brain serotonin and norepinephrine in mice lacking MAOA. *Science* **268**, 1763–6.
- Chen K. (2004) Organization of MAO A and MAO B promoters and regulation of gene expression. *Neurotoxicology* **25**, 31–6.
- Chen W., Rogatsky I. & Garabedian M.J. (2006) MED14 and MED1 differentially regulate target-specific gene activation by the glucocorticoid receptor. *Molecular Endocrinology* **20**, 560–72.
- Craig I.W. (2000) The importance of stress and genetic variation in human aggression. *BioEssays* 29, 227–36.
- Craig I.W. & Halton K.E. (2009) Genetics of human aggressive behaviour. *Human Genetics* **126**, 101–13.
- Dunner S. & Cañón J. (2006). Solution for the indefinite maintenance of nucleic acids in the cell of origin thereof. Patent WO 2006/040376.
- Eusebi P.G., Gardyn O.C., Boxberger S.D. & Ferreras J.C. (2018a) Genetic diversity analysis of the Mexican Lidia bovine breed population and its relation with the Spanish population by using a subset of SNPs under low gametic disequilibrium. *Revista Mexicana de Ciencias Pecuarias* 9, 121–34.
- Eusebi P.G., Cortés O., Carleos C., Dunner S. & Cañon J. (2018b) Detection of selection signatures for agonistic behaviour in cattle. *Journal of Animal Breeding and Genetics* 135, 170–7.
- Foll M. & Gaggiotti O.E. (2008) A genome scan method to identify selected loci appropriate for both dominant and codominant markers: a Bayesian perspective. *Genetics* **180**, 977–93.
- Fordyce G. & Goddard M.E. (1984) Maternal influence on the temperament of *Bos indicus* cross cows. *Proceedings of the Australian Society of Animal Production* **15**, 345–8.
- Fordyce G., Dodt R.M. & Wythes J.R. (1988) Cattle temperaments in extensive beef herds in northern Queensland. 1. Factors affecting temperament. *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture* 28, 683–7.
- Garza-Brenner E., Sifuentes-Rincón A.M., Randel R.D., Paredes-Sánchez F.A., Parra-Bracamonte G.M., Vera W.A., Rodríguez-Almeida F.A. & Cabrera A.S. (2017) Association of SNPs in dopamine and serotonin pathway genes and their interacting

genes with temperament traits in Charolais cows. *Journal of Applied Genetics* **58**, 363–71.

- Grandin T. (1993) Behavioral agitation during handling of cattle is persistent over time. *Applied Animal Behavioral Sciences* **36**, 1–9.
- Graves J.A.M. & Delbridge M.L. (2001) The X—a sexy chromosome. BioEssays, 23, 1091–4.
- Hall T. (2005) Bioedit v 7.0. 5. Ibis Therapeutics, a division of Isis Pharmaceuticals, Carlsbad.
- Hermisson J. & Pennings P.-S. (2005) Soft sweeps: molecular population genetics of adaptation from standing genetic variation. *Genetics* 169, 2335–52.
- Homan C.C., Kumar R., Nguyen L.S. *et al.* (2014) Mutations in USP9X are associated with X-linked intellectual disability and disrupt neuronal cell migration and growth. *The American Journal* of Human Genetics 94, 470–8.
- Karere G.M., Kinnally E.L., Sanchez J.N., Famula T.R., Lyons L.A. & Capitanio J.P. (2009) What is an "adverse" environment? Interactions of rearing experiences and MAOA genotype in rhesus monkeys *Biological Psychiatry* 65, 770–7.
- Krug E.G., Mercy J.A., Dahlberg L.L. & Zwi A.B. (2002) The world report on violence and health. *Lancet* **360**, 1083–8.
- Lawson D.C., Turic D., Langley K., Pay H.M., Govan C.F., Norton N., Hamshere M.L., Owen M.J., Donovan M.C.O. & Thapar A. (2003) Association analysis of monoamine oxidase A and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *American Journal of Medical Genetics Part B. Neuropsychiatric Genetics* 116, 84–9.
- Lühken G., Glenske K., Brandt H. & Erhardt G. (2010) Genetic variation in monoamine oxidase A and analysis of association with behaviour traits in beef cattle. *Journal of Animal Breeding and Genetics* **127**, 411–8.
- Ma Y., Zhang H., Zhang Q. & Ding X. (2014) Identification of selection footprints on the X chromosome in pig. *PLoS ONE*, 9, e94911.
- Menendez-Buxadera A., Cortés O. & Cañon J. (2017) Genetic (co)variance and plasticity of behavioural traits in Lidia bovine breed. *Italian Journal of Animal Science* 16, 208–26.
- Miles D.R. & Carey G. (1997) Genetic and environmental architecture on human aggression. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 72, 207.
- Nelson R.J. & Chiavegatto S. (2001) Molecular basis of aggression. Trends in Neurosciences 24, 713–9.
- Pavlov K.A., Chistiakov D.A. & Chekhonin V.P. (2012) Genetic determinants of aggression and impulsivity in humans. *Journal of Applied Genetics* 53, 61–82.
- Pickrell J.K., Coop G., Novembre J. *et al.* (2009) Signals of recent positive selection in a worldwide sample of human populations. *Genome Research* **19**, 826–37.
- Popova N.K., Skrinskaya Y.A., Amstislavskaya T.G., Vishnivetskaya G.B., Seif I. & de Meier E. (2001) Behavioral characteristics of mice with genetic knockout of monoamine oxidase type A. *Neuroscience and Behavioral Physiology* **31**, 597–602.
- Prestridge D.S. (1991) SIGNAL SCAN: a computer program that scans DNA sequences for eukaryotic transcriptional elements. *Bioinformatics* 7, 203–6.
- Pritchard J.K., Pickrell J.K. & Coop G. (2010) The genetics of human adaptation: hard sweeps, soft sweeps, and polygenic adaptation. *Current Biology* 20, R208–15.

- Purcell S., Neale B., Todd-Brown K. et al. (2007) PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. The American Journal of Human Genetics 81, 559–75.
- Ramser J., Abidi F.E., Burckle C.A. *et al.* (2005) A unique exonic splice enhancer mutation in a family with X-linked mental retardation and epilepsy points to a novel role of the renin receptor. *Human Molecular Genetics* **14**, 1019–27.
- Sabeti P.C., Varilly P., Fry B. *et al.* (2007) Genome-wide detection and characterization of positive selection in human populations. *Nature* **449**, 913.
- Sabol S.Z., Hu S. & Hamer D.A. (1998) Functional polymorphism in the monoamine oxidase A gene promoter. *Human Genetics* 103, 273–9.
- Sacco J., Ruplin A., Skonieczny P. & Ohman M. (2017) Polymorphisms in the canine monoamine oxidase a (MAOA) gene: identification and variation among five broad dog breed groups. *Canine Genetics and Epidemiology* **4**, 1.
- Sambrook J., Fritsch E.F. & Maniatis T. (1989) Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual, 2nd edn. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- Schaffner S.F. (2004). The X chromosome in population genetics. *Nature Reviews Genetics* **5**, 43.
- Silva B., Gonzalo A. & Cañon J. (2006) Genetic parameters of aggressiveness, ferocity and mobility in the fighting bull breed. *Animal Research* 55, 65–70.
- Sinnett D., Beaulieu P., Bélanger H., Lefebvre J.F., Langlois S., Théberge M.C., Drouin S., Zotti C., Hudson T.J. & Labuda D. (2006) Detection and characterization of DNA variants in the promoter regions of hundreds of human disease candidate genes. *Genomics* 87, 704–10.
- Szpiech Z.A. & Hernandez R.D. (2014) Selscan: an efficient multithreaded program to perform EHH-based scans for positive selection. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **31**, 2824–7.

- Trut L.N. (1999) Early canid domestication: the farm-fox experiment. Animal Science 87, 160–9.
- Trut L.N. (2001) Experimental studies of early canid domestication. In: *The Genetics of the Dog* (Ed. by J. Ruvinsky & A. Sampson), pp. 15–43. CABI, London.
- Upadhyay M.R., Chen W., Lenstra J.A., Crooijmans R.P.M.A. (2017) Genetic origin, admixture and population history of aurochs (Bosprimigenius) and primitive European cattle. *Heredity* 118, 169.
- Vitalis R., Gautier M., Dawson K.J. & Beaumont M.A. (2013) Detecting and measuring selection from gene frequency data. *Genetics* **196**, 799–817.
- Wendland J.R., Lesch K.P., Newman T.K., Timme A., Gachot-Neveu H., Thierry B. & Suomi S.J. (2006) Differential functional variability of serotonin transporter and monoamine oxidase a genes in macaque species displaying contrasting levels of aggression-related behavior. *Behavior Genetics* 36, 163–72.
- Zhu Q.S., Chen K. & Shih J.C. (1994) Bidirectional promoter of human monoamine oxidase A (MAO A) controlled by transcription factor Sp1. *Journal of Neuroscience* 14, 7393–403.

## Supporting information

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

 Table S1 Information on the amplified MAOA promoter regions.

 Table S2
 Additional genes located within the joint-in region under selection.

Figure S1 PCA using data from the SNP chip showing the dispersion of the tamed (a) and aggressive (b) cattle breeds.