

## EXTERNAL REVIEWERS

The journal *Amaltea* holds a reviewer database which includes their first names, surnames, institutional affiliations, e-mail addresses, specialist subjects, native language, specialist language, languages in which they can assess articles and the name of the person who recommended them to the editorial team.

All reviewers are doctors.

The reviewers have no connection to the editorial team of the journal.

They are chosen *ad hoc* to review each manuscript.

Their identity is known only to the Editor, the Editorial Board, and the Coordinator of each Issue.

Two different reviewers read each article.

They send the Editor an anonymous, reasoned vote for or against the publication of each article, judging exclusively by the criteria of scientific relevance, originality, methodology, bibliography and style.

Documents supporting their decision will include:

A report (maximum 100 words) based on the criteria listed above.

Assessment of the quality of an article as per the following parameters (marked with an "X"):

Quality	Excellent	Good	Adequate	Poor	Publication		
					Yes	No	Modifications
Criteria							
Relevance							
Originality							
Methodology							
Bibliography							
Style							

Should changes be required, the reviewers will indicate these in detail

The reviewers must refuse to carry out their evaluation if they are, through any means, aware of the identity of the author(s), or if due to moral or personal reasons they find themselves unable to read or judge the suitability of an article fairly.

Reviewers must respect the confidentiality of the manuscript both before and after reading it.

Due to ethical and legal considerations reviewers may not use the material for their own purposes prior to publication, nor subsequently if the article is not published.

The list of external reviewers should be made public (please find it [here](#)).