



**Exposure to Chinese imports and
local labor market outcomes.
An Analysis for Spanish provinces**

Vicente Donoso

Víctor Martín

Asier Minondo

WP 06/14

Abstract

In the period 1999-2007 Spanish imports from China multiplied by six, making that Asian country the fourth largest supplier to the Spanish economy. In this paper, we analyse whether this massive increase in imports impacted on the labour markets of Spanish provinces to differing degrees, due to differences in their initial productive specialization. Our results show that Spanish provinces with a higher exposure to Chinese imports experienced larger drops in manufacturing employment as a share of the working-age population. However, this reduction was compensated for by increases in non-manufacturing employment.

Keywords: imports, China, Spain, employment, manufactures, provinces.

JEL Classification: F16, J23

© Vicente Donoso, Victor Martín y Asier Minondo.

Vicente Donoso (Departamento de Economía Aplicada II, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Campus de Somosaguas, 28223 Pozuelo de Alarcón - Spain; Tel.: +34 91 3943150; E-mail: vdonoso@ccee.ucm.es)

Víctor Martín (Universidad Rey Juan Carlos; Paseo Artilleros s/n. 28032 Vicálvaro - Spain; Tel.: +34 91 4887800; E-mail: victor.martin@urjc.es)

Asier Minondo* (Deusto Business School, Universidad de Deusto, Camino de Mundaiz, 50; 20012 San Sebastián - Spain; Tel.: +34 943 326600; E-mail: aminondo@deusto.es)

Acknowledgements: The authors acknowledge financial support from the Complutense Institute for International Studies (ICEI) of the Complutense University of Madrid, the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (MINECO ECO2010-21643 and ECO2011-27619, co-financed with FEDER, and HAR2010-18544), and from the Basque Government Department of Education, Language policy and Culture. We also thank Patricia Canto, Francisco Requena, and participants at the XV Encuentro de Economía Aplicada in A Coruña for valuable suggestions.



ICEI Instituto Complutense
de Estudios Internacionales

Index

1. Introduction	7
2. Imports from China and the evolution of manufacturing employment in Spain	9
3. Empirical analysis	11
3.1 Data and measurement	11
3.2 Import exposure and manufacturing employment	13
3.3. Sensitivity and robustness analyses	20
3.4 Alternative measures of trade exposure	21
3.5 Import exposure and aggregate labour market outcomes	26
4. Conclusions	29

1. Introduction

The emergence of China as a major trader is one of the most salient features of the current globalization process. In the period 1999-2007, the share of Chinese exports in total world merchandise exports multiplied by a factor of 2.6 (from 3.4% to 8.7%). Export growth was particularly intense for manufactures, where the share increased from 4% to 12%.¹ Spain has not been alien to this process. During the period 1999-2007, China's share in Spanish imports rose from 2.6% to 6.5%, and at the end of the period, China was Spain's fourth most important supplier, behind Germany, France and Italy. In the case of manufactures, the share of Chinese imports grew from 2.9% to 7.7%.

Since the early 1990s, scholars have been pointing out that imports from developing countries in general, and from China in particular, might have disruptive effects on developed countries' labour markets (Wood, 1994). Due to a higher relative endowment in unskilled labour, developing countries have a comparative advantage in unskilled-labour-intensive goods. Moreover, the fragmentation of production processes allows these countries to specialise in certain stages of production, such as assembly tasks, which make intensive use of unskilled-labour (Grossman and Rossi-Hansberg, 2007). Due to their lower costs, imports from developing countries might lead to a drop in the production of unskilled-labour-intensive manufactures, or manufacturing stages, in developed countries, reducing the demand for unskilled labour in those countries.

During the 1990s, with a few exceptions (Wood, 1995), most scholars concluded that the negative impact of developing countries' imports on developed countries' labour markets was tiny, due to the low volume of these imports (Krugman, 1995). However, the subsequent massive increase in imports from developing countries from the mid-1990s onward, mostly explained by the emergence of China as a major trading partner, calls for a re-assessment of the impact of these trade flows on

developed countries' labour markets (Krugman, 2008).

This re-assessment should also include a geographical dimension. Previous studies on the impact of competition from developing countries on high-income countries' labour markets were conducted at the country-level and did not analyse whether this impact could vary across regions. As regions differ in their productive specialisation, omission of the geographical dimension might be relevant. In particular, regions specialised in products also imported from China might suffer a larger negative impact on employment than regions specialised in products that do not compete with Chinese imports. Moreover, considering that workers might not move easily across regions, differences in the impact of Chinese imports might lead to differences in regional labour market outcomes that can persist in the medium term.

This paper analyses, using recent data, the impact of Chinese imports on the demand for labour at the regional level, taking Spain as a case study. Following the methodology developed by Autor et al. (2013), we assess whether Spanish provinces specialised in goods where the increase in Chinese imports was higher experienced a larger decline in manufacturing employment than Spanish provinces specialised in goods where the increase in Chinese imports was smaller. Applying the methodology developed by Autor et al. (2013) to the Spanish case we make two contributions to the literature. First, comparing the results reported by Autor et al. (2013) for the US with those obtained in this paper, we can test whether the negative impact of import competition from China on the share of manufacturing labour is larger in rigid markets, such as Spain, where demand shocks are absorbed mainly through quantities, than in more flexible labour markets, such as the US, where demand shocks are also absorbed by factor prices (Jimeno and Bentolila, 2008). Second, Spain is not as endowed with highly skilled labour as the US, leading to a more labour-intensive, and particularly unskilled-labour-intensive, productive specialisation (Minondo, 1999). Hence, the opening to trade with China might have a larger impact on the demand for labour in Spain than in the US.

1 Figures have been calculated from the World Trade Organization and World Bank databases, available at www.wto.org and www.worldbank.org, respectively.

Our results show that Spanish provinces specialised in industries in which imports from China grew more experienced a larger decline in manufacturing employment. In particular, according to our estimates, an increase in 1,000 US dollars in Chinese imports per worker is associated with a decline of manufacturing employment of approximately two percentage points of the working-age population. Results are robust to omitted variables that might influence changes in imports from China and the demand for labour. Results are also robust to the possibility that firms anticipate the increase in imports from China. Moreover, we find that the negative effect of import exposure on manufacturing employment is compensated for by an increase of employment in other, non-manufacturing sectors. We do not find a significant association between exposure to imports from China, either with unemployment or with participation in the labour market. These results differ from the findings in Autor et al. (2013). First, the estimated impact of import exposure on manufacturing employment is larger in Spain, a fact that could be explained by the higher rigidities of the Spanish labour market and a more labour-intensive productive specialisation in Spain. Second, Autor et al. (2013) find that import shock to US local labour markets increased the number of unemployed and non-participating individuals, while employment in sectors outside manufacturing remained unaffected. In Spain, we find that the import shock was absorbed by an increase in employment in non-manufacturing sectors. This outcome can be explained by the large expansion of the construction sector during the period of analysis.

This paper is related to previous papers that have analysed the impact of trade with developing countries on developed countries' labour markets. As mentioned above, during the 1990s a large number of studies, using different methodologies, analysed the effects of total trade with developing countries on employment and wages of unskilled and skilled workers in developed countries (Krugman and Lawrence, 1994; Wood, 1995; Leamer, 1998). For the Spanish case, using a factor content of trade methodology,

Minondo (1999) showed that trade with developed and developing countries was responsible for a reduction in labour demand, especially for unskilled workers, who represented between 14% and 21% of manufacturing employment.

Later research focused on the effect of a particular type of trade, the offshoring of production stages from developed to developing countries, on the high-wage countries' labour markets. Offshoring of production stages in manufacturing has a sizeable negative effect on the relative demand for unskilled workers in the US (Feenstra and Hanson, 1996 and 1999). Papers on offshoring of services also find that the impacts on labour switching, unemployment, and earnings are not small (Liu and Trefler; 2011). For Spain, Minondo and Rubert (2006) show that offshoring to developing countries is correlated with an increase in demand for skills in manufacturing.²

Other papers use firm-level data to analyse the impact of trade with low-wage countries on firm survival and on manufacturing employment in high-wage countries. Bernard et al. (2006) find that US manufacturing plant survival and growth are negatively associated with exposure to low-wage countries' imports. Harrison and McMillan (2011) find that, in general, offshoring to low-wage countries substitutes for domestic employment in US manufacturing firms. Papers that match firm and worker data show that offshoring tends to increase high-skilled wages and decrease low-skilled wages. Moreover, low-skilled workers suffer more from the displacement effects of offshoring (Hummels et al., 2011). Finally, as explained before, our paper draws heavily on Autor et al. (2013), who use a novel methodology to assess the impact of imports from China on US local labour markets. They find that imports have a large impact on unemployment, labour force participation, and government transfers.

The rest of the paper is organised as follows. Section 2 presents some stylised facts on the evolution of Spanish imports from China, and

2 Cadarso *et al.* (2008) find that outsourcing to Eastern and Central European countries reduced employment in Spanish industries characterized by medium-high technology.

on the evolution of manufacturing employment across Spanish provinces. Section 3 explains how the import-exposure indicator is calculated, presents the database, and describes the results from the regression analyses. Section 4 concludes.


2. Imports from China and the evolution of manufacturing employment in Spain

Figure 1 presents the evolution of Spanish imports from China in both absolute and relative terms. As shown in the figure, during the period 1999-2007, the rise of Chinese imports was impressive. In 1999 imports from China amounted to 3.9 billion US dollars; by 2007, this amount had multiplied by more than six, reaching 25 billion US dollars. We can observe that the increase of Chinese imports accelerated from 2001, the year in which China became a member of the World Trade Organization (WTO). Between 2001 and 2007, growth rates were always at double-digit levels; moreover, in two years, 2004 and 2007, growth rates were higher than 40%. The increase in imports from China is also important in relative

terms. As shown in the figure, in 1999 imports from China represented 2.6% of all Spanish imports; by 2007, this share had multiplied almost threefold, rising to 6.5%. The increase in the China's share in Spanish imports is even higher if we focus on manufactures, where it rose from 2.9% to 7.7% during the period 1999-2007. The bulk of import growth from China has been concentrated in three industries: machinery and electrical equipment (35%), metals and other manufactures (26%), and textiles, apparel, and footwear (22%).


Figure 2 shows the evolution of manufacturing employment in Spain as a share of total working-age population, and as a share of the occupied population during the period 1999-2007. From 2001 onward we observe a steady decline in the share of manufacturing employment in total occupied population, dropping from 19% in 2001 to 15% in 2007. This decline coincides with the surge of manufacturing imports from China. However, we can also see that manufacturing employment slightly decreased as a share of the working-age population, from 10.5% in 1995 to 10.2% in 2007. These differences are explained

Figure 1. Spanish imports from China, 1999-2007
(million US dollars and % of total imports)



Source: UN Comtrade database.

Figure 2. Manufacturing employment in Spain, 1999-2007
(% of total employment and working-age population)



Source: UN Comtrade database.

by the large increase in the share of the occupied population in the working-age population during the period of analysis.

However, the aggregate evolution of manufacturing employment hides substantial differences across Spanish provinces. Figure 3 compares industrial employment as a share of working-age population across Spanish provinces in 1999 and in 2007. We can see first that there are large differences across provinces in the share of manufacturing employment, ranging from Almería, where manufacturing employment is low (5%), to Alava, where the share reached almost 20% in 2007. We also observe that there are large

differences in the evolution of manufacturing employment across provinces. There are 27 provinces where manufacturing employment falls as percentage of working-age population; among these, we should highlight Alicante and Palencia, where the drop is more than 6 percentage points. In contrast, there are 23 provinces where the share rises, including Orense and Teruel, where the share of manufacturing employment increases by 5 percentage points.

The aim of our empirical investigation is to assess whether the differences in the evolution of the share of manufacturing employment across provinces is associated with the increase in imports

The scatter plot displays the relationship between the manufacturing employment share in 1999 (X-axis) and 2007 (Y-axis) for various Spanish regions. The X-axis ranges from 0 to 40, and the Y-axis ranges from 0 to 40. A solid diagonal line represents the 45-degree line (y=x). Data points are plotted for various regions, with labels for those that are clearly visible. The regions are generally clustered around the 45-degree line, indicating a strong positive correlation between the manufacturing employment share in 1999 and 2007.

Region	Manufacturing employment share 1999 (X)	Manufacturing employment share 2007 (Y)
Zamora	7.5	13.0
Lugo	8.5	12.5
Salamanca	10.5	11.5
Badajoz	9.5	10.0
Madrid	15.5	10.0
Castile and León	14.5	9.5
Extremadura	13.5	12.0
Valencia	23.5	19.5
Castilla-La Mancha	24.5	19.5
La Rioja	25.5	20.5
Navarra	29.5	25.0
Basque Country	33.5	26.0
Catalonia	34.5	26.0
Andalusia	35.5	26.0
Galicia	36.5	26.0
Castile and León	37.5	26.0
Basque Country	38.5	26.0
Catalonia	39.5	26.0
Andalusia	40.5	26.0
Galicia	41.5	26.0
Castile and León	42.5	26.0
Basque Country	43.5	26.0
Catalonia	44.5	26.0
Andalusia	45.5	26.0
Galicia	46.5	26.0
Castile and León	47.5	26.0
Basque Country	48.5	26.0
Catalonia	49.5	26.0
Andalusia	50.5	26.0
Galicia	51.5	26.0
Castile and León	52.5	26.0
Basque Country	53.5	26.0
Catalonia	54.5	26.0
Andalusia	55.5	26.0
Galicia	56.5	26.0
Castile and León	57.5	26.0
Basque Country	58.5	26.0
Catalonia	59.5	26.0
Andalusia	60.5	26.0
Galicia	61.5	26.0
Castile and León	62.5	26.0
Basque Country	63.5	26.0
Catalonia	64.5	26.0
Andalusia	65.5	26.0
Galicia	66.5	26.0
Castile and León	67.5	26.0
Basque Country	68.5	26.0
Catalonia	69.5	26.0
Andalusia	70.5	26.0
Galicia	71.5	26.0
Castile and León	72.5	26.0
Basque Country	73.5	26.0
Catalonia	74.5	26.0
Andalusia	75.5	26.0
Galicia	76.5	26.0
Castile and León	77.5	26.0
Basque Country	78.5	26.0
Catalonia	79.5	26.0
Andalusia	80.5	26.0
Galicia	81.5	26.0
Castile and León	82.5	26.0
Basque Country	83.5	26.0
Catalonia	84.5	26.0
Andalusia	85.5	26.0
Galicia	86.5	26.0
Castile and León	87.5	26.0
Basque Country	88.5	26.0
Catalonia	89.5	26.0
Andalusia	90.5	26.0
Galicia	91.5	26.0
Castile and León	92.5	26.0
Basque Country	93.5	26.0
Catalonia	94.5	26.0
Andalusia	95.5	26.0
Galicia	96.5	26.0
Castile and León	97.5	26.0
Basque Country	98.5	26.0
Catalonia	99.5	26.0
Andalusia	100.5	26.0
Galicia	101.5	26.0
Castile and León	102.5	26.0
Basque Country	103.5	26.0
Catalonia	104.5	26.0
Andalusia	105.5	26.0
Galicia	106.5	26.0
Castile and León	107.5	26.0
Basque Country	108.5	26.0
Catalonia	109.5	26.0
Andalusia	110.5	26.0
Galicia	111.5	26.0
Castile and León	112.5	26.0
Basque Country	113.5	26.0
Catalonia	114.5	26.0
Andalusia	115.5	26.0
Galicia	116.5	26.0
Castile and León	117.5	26.0
Basque Country	118.5	26.0
Catalonia	119.5	26.0
Andalusia	120.5	26.0
Galicia	121.5	26.0
Castile and León	122.5	26.0
Basque Country	123.5	26.0
Catalonia	124.5	26.0
Andalusia	125.5	26.0
Galicia	126.5	26.0
Castile and León	127.5	26.0
Basque Country	128.5	26.0
Catalonia	129.5	26.0
Andalusia	130.5	26.0
Galicia	131.5	26.0
Castile and León	132.5	26.0
Basque Country	133.5	26.0
Catalonia	134.5	26.0
Andalusia	135.5	26.0
Galicia	136.5	26.0
Castile and León	137.5	26.0
Basque Country	138.5	26.0
Catalonia	139.5	26.0
Andalusia	140.5	26.0
Galicia	141.5	26.0
Castile and León	142.5	26.0
Basque Country	143.5	26.0
Catalonia	144.5	26.0

competition exposure index. Analytically, the import competition exposure index for region i at time t is defined as,

$$\Delta IPW_{it} = \sum_j \frac{E_{ij}}{E_c} \quad (1)$$

where (E_{ijt}/E_{cjt}) is the start of period (year t) regions' share of country employment in industry j , E_{it} is start of period total employment in region i , and DM_{cjt} is the observed change in country imports from China in industry j between the start and the end of the period. The first component, (E_{ijt}/E_{cjt}) , proxies the share of demand that is served by regional producers. The second component, DM_{cjt} , proxies the increase of China's export supply capacity in industry j . This measure of local labour market exposure to import competition is the average change in Chinese imports per worker in a region, weighting each industry by its share in the country's total employment.

We have selected provinces as the geographical unit of analysis, because they adequately

3. Empirical analysis

3.1 Data and measurement

To analyse the impact of Chinese import competition on a regional labour-market outcomes, Autor et al. (2013) develop a model for a small open economy. In this model there is a tradable sector and a non-tradable sector. The tradable sector is composed by various industries; in each industry, firms supply a different variety and compete monopolistically. The model also incorporates differences in industry labour productivity across industries. The model shows that demand for labour in the small open economy declines the larger the increase in China's export supply capacity, and the larger the share of domestic demand served by regional producers. These two variables are captured in an import

Figure 4. Exposure to Chinese import competition in Spain, 1999-2007.





Figure 5. Partial regression plot between import exposure and change in manufacturing employment in Spain, OLS, 1999-2007 (full sample).



delimit the boundaries of local labour markets in Spain. Moreover, recent research by the OECD has identified metropolitan areas in Spain as those areas where labour linkages are very high (OECD, 2012). These areas are built clustering urban municipalities with high levels of commuting flows. The majority of the metropolitan areas identified by the OECD correspond to provincial capitals.³

We use data on Spanish and UE-14 imports at the 3-digit HS product level from the UN Comtrade Database, for the years 1995, 1999, 2003 and 2007. To concord with employment data, trade data was transformed to the Statistical Classification of Economic Activities in the European Community, rev. 1.1 (NACE rev. 1.1). Data on labour markets for Spanish regions comes from the Survey of the Working Population (EPA) published by the Spanish National Institute of Statistics (INE), for the second quarter of the years 1995, 1999, 2003 and 2007.

To calculate the import exposure measure, $DIPW_{it}$, the EPA provides data on employment by region and by economic activity sector at the 3-digit level from the National Classification Activities - 1993 (CNAE-93 and CNAE-93 rev. 1), which is equivalent to the NACE classification. For illustrative purposes, Figure 4 provides a visual impression of the exposure to Chinese import competition in Spain, where provinces are classified into four groups according to the quartiles of the import exposure measure in 1999-2007. Most provinces in the upper quartile are concentrated in the northeastern part of Spain. It must also be noted that the import exposure variable presents a considerable variation across Spanish provinces. While the 25th percentile amounts to an increase of 545 US dollars per worker in Chinese imports, the 75th percentile is almost three times larger, with an increase of 1,492 US dollars per worker during the period 1999-2007.

3.2 Import exposure and manufacturing employment

As a first step in our econometric analysis of the impact of Chinese import competition

³ See also López-Bazo et al. (2005).

exposure on Spanish manufacturing employment, Figure 5 shows the relationship between changes in manufacturing employment within provinces as a share of working-age population (ages 16 through 64) and import exposure during the period 1999-2007. The plotted regression model controls for the share of manufacturing employment in 1999 and weights provinces according to their start-of-period share in the national population. The prevalence of data points where change in manufacturing employment controlling for its share on total employment is high (low) and import exposure is low (high) supports a negative relationship between import exposure and change in manufacturing employment within provinces. Moreover, the concentration of points near zero indicates that most observations are unlikely to be outliers. The coefficient estimate of import exposure is negative and significant at the 5% level, indicating that for the full sample period (1999-2007) a rise of 1,000 US dollars per worker in a given province's exposure to Chinese imports corresponds to a decline in manufacturing employment of 1.2 percentage points of working-age population.

To further analyse the relationship between Chinese import exposure and Spanish manufacturing employment, we fit models of the following form using the full sample of 50 Spanish provinces⁴

$$\Delta E_{mit} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \Delta IPW_{it} + X'_{it} \beta_2 + u_{it} \quad (2)$$

where ΔE_{mit} is the four-year change in the manufacturing employment share of the working-age population in province i , and X_{it} is a vector of control variables for the start of a four-year period labour force and demographic composition which might affect manufacturing employment. All models are estimated using the available data for two four-year periods: 1999-2003 and 2003-2007.

Table 1 presents the detailed estimates of model (2). To control for spatial correlation and/or he-

⁴ Spain is divided into 52 provinces. Due to their special circumstances and the lack of data for several variables, we exclude from the sample the two Spanish provinces located in Africa (Ceuta and Melilla).

Table 1. Import exposure and change in manufacturing employment in Spain, OLS, 1999-2003 and 2003-2007

Dependent variable: change in manufacturing employment as a share of working-age population (%)

Independent variable	OLS, Import exposure: ΔIPW			
	Spec. A	Spec. B	Spec. C	Spec. D
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Import Exposure	-1.3217*** (0.2320)	-1.7158*** (0.3415)	-1.6692*** (0.3570)	-1.6504*** (0.4139)
Manufacturing empl.	-	0.0275 (0.0192)	0.0323 (0.0206)	-0.0005 (0.300)
Working-age population	-	-0.0618*** (0.0190)	-0.0473* (0.0258)	-0.0509* (0.0294)
College-educated	-	-	0.0109 (0.0146)	0.0052 (0.0184)
Foreign-nationality	-	-	-0.0254 (0.0385)	-0.0305 (0.0419)
Women	-	-	-0.1201 (0.0755)	-0.1292 (0.0962)
Young	-	-	-0.0462 (0.0664)	-0.0487 (0.0649)
Construction empl.	-	-	0.0725** (0.0313)	0.0571* (0.0326)
Housing price	-	-	-0.0110** (0.0049)	-0.0091* (0.0050)
ICT	-	-	-	0.5192 (0.3435)
R&D expenditure	-	-	-	0.6730 (2.0870)
K-L ratio	-	-	-	-0.0534 (0.0464)
Patents	-	-	-	-0.5523 (1.8995)
R ²	0.40	0.48	0.53	0.55
F statistic	21.86	21.86	25.92	474.65
(p-value)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)

Notes: N = 100 (50 provinces x 2 time periods). All regressions include a constant and a dummy for the 2003-2007 period. Standard errors in parentheses. Statistical significance is indicated by *** at 1%, ** at 5% and * at 10%. Models are weighted by period average province share of national population. Standard errors are clustered on Spanish CCAA.

terogeneity, standard errors are clustered on Spanish autonomous communities (NUTS-2). In each case we report the parameter estimates and their corresponding robust standard deviation in parentheses, the resulting R², and the value of the F statistic for the null hypothesis that all estimated coefficients are zero. Columns (1) through (4) show the estimation results for different sets of control variables. When we estimate the model without additional dependent variables (column 1, specification A) the effect on manufacturing employment from import exposure is negative and statistically significant at the 1% level.⁵ The point

⁵ As the dependent variable and the independent variable are first differences, the estimation results are equivalent to the estimates of a regression where the dependent variable and the independent variable are measured in levels, and province-level fixed effects are introduced.

estimate indicates that a rise of 1,000 US dollars per worker in a province's exposure to Chinese imports during a four-year period is associated with a decline in manufacturing employment of approximately 1.3 percentage points of the working-age population.⁶ To ensure that this observed negative relation captures the real effect of exposure to increasing import competition from China, and not just a common trend in the evolution of regional manufacturing employment and Chinese imports, we conduct a falsification exercise regressing past changes in manufacturing employment on future import exposure. Using data for two four-year periods (1995-1999 and 1999-2003) the estimated coefficient for future

⁶ The regression coefficient is similar to the one obtained for the full sample period in Figure 5.

import exposure is 0.45 with a t statistic of 1.57, providing no evidence of reverse causality.

In the second column we add two controls: the share of manufacturing in a province's start-of-four-year period employment and the growth rate of the working-age population (specification B). The inclusion of the share-of-manufacturing employment variable has a twofold aim. First, it allows us to concentrate on differences on import exposure arising from differential specialization in import-intensive industries within provinces, rather than on differences due to differential concentration of employment in manufacturing versus non-manufacturing activities. Second, we address the possibility that the import-exposure variable may in part reflect the overall trend decline in the manufacturing employment share in Spain, rather than differences across manufacturing industries in their exposure to rising Chinese competition. The growth rate of the working-age population was included as an explanatory variable to control for changes in manufacturing employment as a result of changes in the working-age population size itself. The parameter estimate for this later variable is significant and negative, implying that a 1% higher growth rate in the working-age population is associated to a differential manufacturing decline of 0.06% over a four-year period. The coefficient estimate for the import-exposure variable remains negative and highly significant, and increases in magnitude from 1.3 to 1.7.

Column (3) augments the regression model with six additional controls (specification C); the start-of-four-year period share of working-age population with a college education, the share of working-age population with foreign nationality⁷, the share of women in the working-age population, the share of youth in the working-age population⁸, and the share of construction employment and the four-year growth rate of house prices.⁹ These last two variables are included to account for

province differences in the relative importance of the construction sector, and in the impact of the housing bubble, respectively. Apart from these two variables, none of the added controls have a significant effect on manufacturing employment change. The coefficient estimate for construction employment is positive and significant at the 5% level. This result indicates that the increase in manufacturing employment was higher in provinces where the relative importance of construction employment was larger, probably due to a larger demand for both intermediate goods used as inputs in the construction sector and final manufactured goods.¹⁰ On the other hand, the coefficient estimate for the growth of house prices is negative and significant at the 5% level. A possible explanation for this negative relationship between manufacturing employment and the growth rate of house prices would be that in those provinces where the impact of the housing bubble was greater, workers moved toward the construction sector and other construction-related service sectors, probably attracted by higher wage growth.¹¹ This specification yields a significant and slightly lower coefficient estimate for the import exposure effect than the regression model in column (2).

In column (4) we add several variables to capture technological progress and capital intensity in the Spanish provinces manufacturing sector (specification D). The first variable is the weight of information and communication technologies (ICT) within the sector. The second variable is the share of research and development expenditure (R&D expenditure) over net operating income. The third variable is the number of patents in force (Patents), and the fourth variable the capital to labour ratio (K-L ratio). Since data for these four variables are available only at the national level and at the 2-digit manufacturing

10 During the period 1999-2007, the construction sector demand for inputs from the manufacturing sector accounted for around one third of the construction sector's total demand for inputs, and 10% of total production in the manufacturing sector (Source: Spanish input-output table 1999-2007).

11 The mean annual growth rate of the real mean wage along the period 1999-2007 was 2.0% in the construction sector, 1.2% in the manufacturing sector, and 1.3% in the overall economy (Source: Spanish Tax Agency and Spanish National Institute of Statistics).

7 All individuals with nationality in high-income countries (World Bank classification) are not included as foreign nationality population.

8 Working age population between the ages 16 and 24.

9 The house price data was obtained from the Spanish Ministry of Public Works.

activity level, we follow the same procedure as for the import competition exposure measure to construct the indicators of technological progress and capital intensity.¹² Thus, for each province, the indicator is calculated as the weighted mean of the four-year period change per worker of the corresponding variable, using provincial shares in national industries employment as weights. These added controls leave the main results unaffected. The coefficient on import exposure remains significant at the 1% level and practically identical to that obtained in column (3).

Overall, results show that the effect of exposure to Chinese imports on manufacturing employment remains highly significant for different sets of control variables. However, two important concerns must be pointed out regarding this observed relationship. On the one hand, a simultaneity bias could exist to the degree that, in the import competition measure, anticipated imports from China affect contemporaneous employment. On the other hand, estimation results reported in Table 1 could be biased due to endogeneity of the import exposure variable, since demand shocks can influence industry imports. Both biases would lead us to underestimate the real impact of exposure to import competition from China on manufacturing employment. In order to overcome these two problems, and following Autor et al. (2013), we modify the import exposure variable as follows,

$$\Delta IPWO_{it} = \sum_j \frac{E_{ijt}}{E_{cjt}} \frac{\Delta M_{ojt}}{E_{it}} \quad (3)$$

$$\Delta IPWOL_{it} = \sum_j \frac{E_{ijt-1}}{E_{cjt-1}} \frac{\Delta M_{ojt}}{E_{it-1}} \quad (4)$$

In equation (3), to control for endogeneity, we substitute Spain's imports from China (DM_{cjt}) for other high-income markets imports from China (DM_{ojt}). We use countries belonging to the EU-15 (but excluding Spain) as the group of other high-income markets.¹³ The empirical literature does not

find a significant correlation between EU demand shocks and Spanish demand shocks. (Bayoumi and Eichengreen, 1992; Funke, 1997; Frenkel and Nickel, 2002). Hence, the change in imports from China by EU-14 countries can be considered a good instrument of the change of imports from China by Spain. Additionally, in equation (4) we use employment levels by industry and province from the previous time period (t-1) rather than start-of-period employment levels (t) to mitigate the potential simultaneity bias.

For illustrative purposes, Figure 6 plots the two-stage estimation procedure which addresses the endogeneity and simultaneity biases, for the full sample period (1999-2007). The regression model controls for the share of manufacturing employment in 1999 and weights provinces according to their start-of-period share in the national population. The first graph in Figure 6 (first-stage regression) shows the large predictive power of the EU-14 imports as instrument for changes in Spanish imports from China. The second graph (second-stage regression) shows the effect of the instrumented import exposure on manufacturing employment. The estimated coefficient for this relationship is -2.30, with a t statistic of -6.13.

In Table 2 we replicate the estimations from Table 1 with the new two import exposure variables. All models are estimated with instrumental variables (IV) where $DIPWO_{it}$ (columns 1-4) and $DIPWOL_{it}$ (columns 5-8) are used as instruments for the original import exposure variable ($DIPW_{it}$). Parameter estimates and robust standard deviation in parentheses are reported in each case. We also present parameter and robust standard deviation estimates from the first stage regression, as well as the weak identification test (KP) proposed by Kleibergen and Paap (2006). The highly significant coefficient for the instrument and the value of the KP statistic support the instrument validity in all IV regressions.

For all models in Table 2, the parameter estimate of the exposure to import competition is negative and statistically significant at the 1% level. As expected the estimated coefficients are higher in

12 Data for ICT, R&D expenditure, Patents, and K-L ratio were obtained, respectively, from EU KLEMS, the OECD STAN database, the Spanish Office of Patents and Trademarks (OEPM), and the Spanish National Institute of Statistics.
13 We refer to these countries as EU-14 throughout the paper.

Figure 6. Partial regression plot between import exposure and change in manufacturing employment in Spain, IV (2SLS), 1999-2007 (full sample).




Table 2. Import exposure and change in manufacturing employment in Spain, IV (2SLS), 1999-2003 and 2003-2007

Dependent variable: change in manufacturing employment as a share of working-age population (%)

Independent variable	IV (2SLS), Import exposure: $\Delta IPWO$				IV (2SLS), Import exposure: $\Delta IPWOL$			
	Spec. A	Spec. B	Spec. C	Spec. D	Spec. A	Spec. B	Spec. C	Spec. D
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Import Exposure	-1.6127*** (0.2513)	-2.2711*** (0.4136)	-2.0603*** (0.3218)	-2.0704*** (0.3541)	-1.6905*** (0.3511)	-2.3184*** (0.5828)	-2.0113*** (0.3792)	-2.0545*** (0.4407)
Manufacturing empl.	-	0.0504** (0.0242)	0.0462** (0.0188)	0.0108 (0.0325)	-	0.0523* (0.0308)	0.0444** (0.0213)	-0.0049 (0.0284)
Working-age pop.	-	-0.0605*** (0.0192)	-0.0409* (0.0234)	-0.0454* (0.0263)	-	-0.0604*** (0.0193)	-0.0417* (0.0240)	-0.0527** (0.0262)
College-educated	-	-	0.0066 (0.0131)	0.0021 (0.0163)	-	-	0.0072 (0.0140)	-0.0003 (0.0162)
Foreign-nationality	-	-	-0.0328 (0.0405)	-0.0364 (0.0419)	-	-	-0.0319 (0.0402)	-0.0400 (0.0445)
Women	-	-	-0.0887 (0.0759)	-0.1024 (0.0937)	-	-	-0.0926 (0.0768)	-0.0949 (0.0907)
Young	-	-	-0.0754 (0.0644)	-0.0750 (0.0580)	-	-	-0.0717 (0.0630)	-0.0630 (0.0584)
Construction empl.	-	-	0.0724*** (0.0295)	0.0575* (0.0302)	-	-	0.0724*** (0.0293)	0.0474 (0.0297)
Housing price	-	-	-0.0110** (0.0048)	-0.0095* (0.0049)	-	-	-0.0110** (0.0048)	-0.0081 (0.0054)
ICT	-	-	-	0.5471 (0.3481)	-	-	-	0.6964*** (0.1998)
R&D expenditure	-	-	-	0.1054 (1.7372)	-	-	-	1.9169 (1.7462)
K-L ratio	-	-	-	-0.0540 (0.0453)	-	-	-	-0.0558* (0.0335)
Patents	-	-	-	-1.1361 (1.8545)	-	-	-	-2.3549 (2.6871)
R ²	0.39	0.47	0.53	0.55	0.38	0.46	0.53	0.56
F statistic	32.36	29.28	50.43	322.12	23.88	21.42	42.68	343.43
(p-value)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)
First-stage estimates								
Import Exposure (UE)	0.0992*** (0.0067)	0.0944*** (0.0129)	0.1046*** (0.0063)	0.1056*** (0.0054)	0.0782*** (0.0106)	0.0639*** (0.0148)	0.0738*** (0.0080)	0.0704*** (0.0079)
R ²	0.91	0.92	0.94	0.94	0.83	0.86	0.90	0.90
KP statistic	4.40	7.93	7.58	7.28	4.43	8.08	7.61	6.46
(p-value)	(0.03)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.03)	(0.00)	(0.01)	(0.01)

Notes: N = 100 (50 provinces x 2 time periods). All regressions include a constant and a dummy for the 2003-2007 period. Standard errors in parentheses. Statistical significance is indicated by *** at 1%, ** at 5% and * at 10%. Models are weighted by period average province share of national population. Standard errors are clustered on Spanish CCAA.

magnitude than the corresponding estimates from Table 1. However, the parameter estimates for the import exposure variable are rather similar when using either $DIPWO_{it}$ or $DIPWOL_{it}$ as instruments, so that the difference between OLS and IV estimates is largely associated with the correction for endogeneity, whilst the simultaneity bias is quite low. To confirm this result, we run a regression with the full set of controls (specification D), using lagged employment to apportion the change

in Spanish imports per worker from China. The estimated coefficient on import exposure is -1.54, similar in magnitude to the OLS estimate (-1.65).

The control variable estimates slightly differ from those obtained with OLS (Column 8). The coefficient on working-age population growth remains significant and negative. However, the coefficients on the share of construction employment and the growth rate of housing prices

are of the same sign and similar in magnitude to those from OLS, but only marginally significant.¹⁴ The coefficient on the weight of the information and communication technologies (ICT) is now significant at the 1% level and, opposite to what we expected, its sign is positive. The positive sign implies that a larger increase in the weight of ICT within the manufacturing sector is associated with a higher increase in the share of manufacturing employment over the working-age population. This positive relation could only occur insofar as the new jobs created (due to the need for technical staff to maintain and manage the new technologies) compensates for job loss where new technologies directly replace human workers. Also, it could be argued that those manufacturing sectors where the weight of ICT has increased more are less susceptible to certain adverse shocks (i.e. increasing competition from China or other developing countries). The coefficient on capital intensity is negative and significant at the 10% level, indicating that a larger increase in the capital to labour ratio is associated with a lower increase in manufacturing employment.

Our baseline specification (column 8, Table 2) implies that a rise of 1,000 US dollars per worker in a province's exposure to Chinese imports during a four-year period is associated with a decline in manufacturing employment of 2.05 percentage points of working-age population. The mean increase on weighted Chinese imports per worker in Spain through 1999-2003 and 2003-2007 was about 198 US dollars and 808 US dollars per worker, respectively. Thus, the increase in the exposure to Chinese imports implies a reduction of the share of manufacturing employment of 0.41 percentage points along the 1999-2003 period, and of 1.66 percentage points along the 2003-2007 period. Applying these values to the Spanish EPA data¹⁵ and taking into consideration that only about half of the observed variation in the exposure to Chinese imports can be attributed

to the exogenous supply-driven component¹⁶, we calculate that the increasing competition from China caused a differential manufacturing employment of 51,000 workers between 1999 and 2003, and of 281,000 workers between 2003 and 2007. These results are in line with those reported in Minondo (1999). Using a factor content of trade methodology, this author concludes that the increase in Spanish manufacturing trade with low-wage countries up to the year 1995 reduced the demand for manufacturing employment by 404,000 workers. This figure is slightly larger than the 332,000 that we report as the negative demand shock due to the increase in import competition from China in the period 1999-2007.

In comparison to the results obtained by Autor et al. (2013), the effect on manufacturing employment of the rising import competition from China is much larger in Spain than in the US. In their benchmark specification, the authors find that a rise of 1,000 US dollars per worker in a US commuting zone's exposure to Chinese imports during a ten-year period is associated with a decline in manufacturing employment of 0.596 percentage points of working-age population. For the period 1990-2000, they calculate that the increasing import competition from China resulted in a reduction of 548,000 workers, and a reduction of 982,000 workers between 2000 and 2007. A plausible explanation for these observed differences would be the fact that Spain is characterized by a more rigid labour market than the US, and thus demand shocks are absorbed mainly through quantities (Jimeno and Bentolila, 1998). In addition to that, productive specialization is more (unskilled) labour intensive in Spain than in the US (Minondo, 1999). Hence, the increase in import competition from China might have a larger negative impact on manufacturing employment than in the US.

14 The probability values for the t statistics are now 0.11 and 0.13, respectively.

15 The share of manufacturing employment over the working-age population was 10.4% in 1999, 10.7% in 2003, and 10.1% in 2007. The number of manufacturing workers was 2,762,000 in 1999, 3,016,000 in 2003, and 3,037,000 in 2007. These data correspond to the second quarter of the corresponding year.

16 Autor et al. (2013, Theory Appendix) perform a decomposition to calculate the share of the variance in imports per worker that stems from the exogenous supply-driven component. They obtain that a 48% of the observed variation in rising Chinese import exposure is due to the supply-driven component, with the remainder attributed to demand factors.

Table 3. Summary statistics from extreme bound analysis, OLS and IV (2SLS)

CORE VARIABLES	Mean	Average σ	Average t -statistic	Low β_l	High β_h	LEB	UEB
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
OLS: ΔIPW	-1.6457	0.3874	-4.2970	-1.8564	-1.4795	-2.7134	-0.5806
IV (2SLS): $\Delta IPWO$	-2.1415	0.3661	-5.9846	-2.5709	-1.8190	-3.5315	-0.9998
IV (2SLS): $\Delta IPWOL$	-2.1454	0.4815	-4.6127	-2.7364	-1.7294	-4.1226	-0.7539

Notes: LEB = lower extreme bound, UEB = upper extreme bound. The low β_l is the estimated coefficient from the regression with the lower extreme bound. The high β_h is the estimated coefficient from the regression with the upper extreme bound.

Table 4. Import exposure and change in manufacturing employment in Spain, IV (2SLS), 1999-2003 and 2003-2007

Dependent variable: change in manufacturing employment as a share of working-age population (%)

Instrument: imports from China to OECD

Independent variable	IV (2SLS), Import exposure: $\Delta IPWO$				IV (2SLS), Import exposure: $\Delta IPWOL$			
	Spec. A	Spec. B	Spec. C	Spec. D	Spec. A	Spec. B	Spec. C	Spec. D
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Import Exposure	-2.9182** (1.1621)	-1.9683*** (0.5857)	-1.8274*** (0.6355)	-1.9897*** (0.6140)	-3.9435*** (3.7931)	-1.9257*** (0.6863)	-2.2896*** (0.6522)	-2.4314*** (0.7502)
R ²	0.08	0.48	0.54	0.55	0.01	0.48	0.52	0.54
F statistic	14.78	19.81	28.32	322.12	5.99	19.93	56.20	91.07
(p-value)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.01)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)
First-stage estimates								
Import Exposure (UE)	-0.1335 (0.1006)	-0.2322*** (0.0129)	-0.2598*** (0.0536)	-0.2706*** (0.0570)	-0.0592 (0.0927)	-0.1944** (0.0690)	-0.2350*** (0.0587)	-0.2356*** (0.0634)
R ²	0.45	0.76	0.82	0.85	0.43	0.75	0.83	0.85
KP statistic	1.30	3.82	4.69	4.47	0.40	3.92	4.80	4.42
(p-value)	(0.25)	(0.05)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.53)	(0.05)	(0.03)	(0.03)

Notes: N = 100 (50 provinces x 2 time periods). All regressions include a constant and a dummy for the 2003-2007 period. Standard errors in parentheses. Statistical significance is indicated by *** at 1%, ** at 5% and * at 10%. Models are weighted by period average province share of national population. Standard errors are clustered on Spanish CCAA.

3.3. Sensitivity and robustness analyses

A first issue of concern for the estimated negative relationship between import competition from China and manufacturing employment in Spain is whether the relation is robust to different specifications of the model. Following the literature on extreme bound analysis¹⁷, we run several regressions to assess the sensitivity of the estimated coefficient on import exposure to different sets of control variables. Thus, we divide our variables into two groups. The first group contains variables that always appear in the regression (core variables): import exposure, share of manufacturing employment, working-age population growth, and the year dummy. The second, denoted by control group, contains the remaining variables. The change in

¹⁷ See Levine and Renelt (1992).

manufacturing employment is then regressed on the full set of core variables and on all the possible combinations of control variables. For each model j we estimate, β_{1j} , and a standard deviation, σ_{1j} , for the import exposure variable. The lower extreme bound is defined as the lowest value of $\beta_{1j} - 2\sigma_{1j}$, and the upper extreme bound is defined to be the largest value of $\beta_{1j} + 2\sigma_{1j}$. The summary statistics from this analysis are presented in Table 3. The import exposure variable is quite robust since its coefficient remains significant and of the same sign at the extreme bounds. At the lower and upper bound, the coefficient is -1.83 and -1.51, respectively, with a t statistic of -4.12 and -3.25 for the OLS estimation; -2.51 and -1.89, respectively, with a t statistic of -6.04 and -4.24 for the IV (2SLS) estimation, when $DIPWO_{it}$ is used as instrument;

and -2.74 and -1.92, respectively, with a t statistics of -3.95 and -3.30, when $DIPWOL_{it}$ is used as instrument.

A second issue of concern is related to the instrument used in the paper to control for endogeneity. We use imports from China to countries belonging to the EU-15 other than Spain as an instrument for imports from China to Spain. As mentioned above, the empirical literature points out that the business cycle in Spain is not correlated with the business cycle in EU-14 countries and, hence, the EU-14 countries' imports from China can be considered a good instrument for Spanish imports from China. In any case, to analyse the robustness of our results to the use of alternative instruments, we replicate the estimation reported in Table 2 using imports from China to high-income OECD countries other than the EU as instrument. The results are reported in Table 4.¹⁸ When we estimate the model with no controls, except for year dummies (columns 1 and 5), imports from China from OECD countries other than EU countries does not appear to be a valid instrument: in the first stage regression the coefficient for import exposure is not statistically significant, and the KP test yields a very low statistic. Nevertheless, when we add more controls the coefficient in the first-stage regression becomes highly significant, and the KP statistic increases. When we use the full set of controls (column 4 and 8) the parameter estimates on import exposure are fairly similar to those obtained when imports from China to the EU-14 is used as instrument.

Third, the interest of our study is motivated by the large increase of imports from China. However, during the period of analysis, the increase in exposure to other countries' imports might have also played an important role in the decline in the share of manufacturing employment. This may be especially true for countries from Central and Eastern Europe (CEE), since the share of manufacturing imports from CEE countries increased by more than 2.3% between 1999 and 2007 (from 1.4% to 3.7%).¹⁹ Table 5 compares

¹⁸ To save space, we report only the estimated results for the import exposure variable.

¹⁹ Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Hungary, Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland, Romania, Slovakia, and Slovenia.

the effect of Chinese import competition to the effect of CEE countries' import competition²⁰. For comparative purposes, column (1) presents again the effect of import competition from China on Spanish manufacturing employment. In column (2) we replicate the estimations replacing imports from China by imports from the CEE countries. The coefficient on import exposure is only significant when we instrument imports from the CEECs to Spain with imports from the CEECs to the UE-14 (IV, instrument $DIPWO$). When we further control for simultaneity bias using lagged employment, the coefficient is positive but statistically not significant (IV, $DIPWOL$). In columns (3) and (4) we include both exposure to Chinese imports and exposure to CEE countries' imports. It can be appreciated that the coefficient on the latter is not statistically significant in any case, while the former is negative and highly significant in all cases. Thus, we conclude that increasing imports from the CEECs did not have a significant effect on Spanish manufacturing employment along the period 1999-2007. This result is reasonable, as the increase in imports from China is concentrated in more labour-intensive industries (textiles, apparel, and the assembly of TV, radio and electronic apparatus) than the increase in imports from CEE countries (transport equipment).

3.4 Alternative measures of trade exposure

Following Autor et al. (2013), this section considers three alternative measures of trade exposure for Spanish provinces to further check the robustness of our previous results. First, import competition from China not only displaces Spanish sales by producers in the national market but also may affect their sales in foreign markets. If this latter effect is large, our initial estimate of the impact of import exposure on manufacturing employment would be biased downward. Therefore, we replace the change in imports per worker from China as defined in equations (1), (3) and (4) with the change in imports per worker incorporating imports in other non-Spanish markets (EU-14). To calculate the total exposure (domestic and international exposure) of Spanish

²⁰ Data for CEECs imports to Spain by industry are obtained from the UN Comtrade database.

Table 5. Import exposure from different exporting countries and change in manufacturing employment in Spain, OLS and IV (2SLS), 1999-2003 and 2003-2007.

Dependent variable: change in manufacturing employment as a share of working-age population (%)

Estimation procedure	China	CEECs	China & CEECs	
	(1)	(2)	China	CEECs
OLS: ΔIPW Import Exposure	-1.6504*** (0.4139)	-1.7236 (1.1057)	-1.5305*** (0.4036)	-0.5487 (0.9365)
R ²	0.55	0.47	0.55	0.55
F statistic (p-value)	474.65 (0.00)	1,146.57 (0.00)	2,897.10 (0.00)	2,897.10 (0.00)
IV (2SLS): $\Delta IPWO$ Import Exposure	-2.0704*** (0.3541)	-2.0233** (1.0439)	-2.3556*** (0.7215)	0.8352 (1.5668)
R ²	0.55	0.47	0.52	0.52
F statistic (p-value)	322.12 (0.00)	927.84 (0.00)	112.93 (0.00)	112.93 (0.00)
<i>First-stage estimates</i> Import Exposure	0.1056*** (0.0054)	0.0761*** (0.0065)	0.0983*** (0.0087)	0.0745*** (0.0081)
R ²	0.94	0.95	0.94	0.95
KP statistic (p-value)	7.28 (0.01)	5.00 (0.02)	6.15 (0.00)	6.15 (0.00)
IV (2SLS): $\Delta IPWOL$ Import Exposure	-2.0545*** (0.4407)	0.2726 (1.4725)	-2.8978*** (1.1082)	2.7662 (2.1210)
R ²	0.56	0.45	0.47	0.47
KP statistic (p-value)	343.43 (0.00)	67.80 (0.00)	76.45 (0.00)	76.45 (0.00)
<i>First-stage estimates</i> Import Exposure	0.0704*** (0.0079)	0.0443*** (0.0120)	0.0707*** (0.0068)	0.0375*** (0.0110)
R ²	0.90	0.85	0.90	0.86
KP statistic (p-value)	6.46 (0.01)	6.89 (0.01)	5.36 (0.02)	5.36 (0.02)

Notes: N = 100 (50 provinces x 2 time periods). All regressions include a constant and a dummy for the 2003-2007 period. Standard errors in parentheses. Statistical significance is indicated by *** at 1%, ** at 5% and * at 10%. Models are weighted by period average province share of national population. Standard errors are clustered on Spanish CCAA. All regressions include the full set of controls (specification D).

province i to import competition from China, equation (1) is modified as follows,

$$\Delta TIPW_{it} = \sum_j \frac{E_{ijt}}{E_{cjt}} \left(\frac{\Delta M_{cjt} + \sum_{o \neq c} \frac{X_{ojt}}{X_{jt}} \Delta M_{ojt}}{E_{it}} \right) \quad (5)$$

where DM_{ojt} is the change in imports from China to the EU-14 countries in industry j and X_{ojt}/X_{jt} is the initial share of Spanish exports to EU countries over Spanish total exports in industry

j .²¹ Including international exposure to import competition from China induces an increase in the mean change in imports from China of 37% and of 26% for the periods 1999-2003 and 2003-2007, respectively. Results for total import exposure on manufacturing employment are reported in Table 6, column (2). We present the results from OLS and IV (2SLS) estimations. For the IV (2SLS) estimations, we instrument total import exposure

²¹ Data for Spanish exports by industry are obtained from the UN Comtrade database.

Table 6. Import exposure from different exporting countries and change in manufacturing employment in Spain, OLS and IV (2SLS), 1999-2003 and 2003-2007.

Estimation procedure	Domestic exposure (1)	Domestic and international exposure (2)	Net of intermediate goods exposure (3)	Net import exposure (4)
<i>OLS: ΔIPW</i>				
Import Exposure	-1.6504*** (0.4139)	-1.4321*** (0.3175)	-3.0231*** (0.6855)	-1.6806*** (0.4141)
R ²	0.55	0.56	0.55	0.55
F statistic (p-value)	474.65 (0.00)	1,148.36 (0.00)	89.37 (0.00)	1,632.09 (0.00)
<i>IV (2SLS): $\Delta IPWO$</i>				
Import Exposure	-2.0704*** (0.3541)	-1.7266*** (0.2912)	-3.3037*** (0.5572)	-2.2549 (0.3837)
R ²	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.54
F statistic (p-value)	322.12 (0.00)	309.14 (0.00)	160.81 (0.00)	276.87 (0.00)
<i>First-stage estimates</i>				
Import Exposure	0.1056*** (0.0054)	0.1248*** (0.0058)	0.1043*** (0.0052)	0.1057*** (0.0068)
R ²	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.91
KP statistic (p-value)	7.28 (0.01)	7.36 (0.01)	7.66 (0.00)	7.56 (0.00)
<i>IV (2SLS): $\Delta IPWOL$</i>				
Import Exposure	-2.0545*** (0.4407)	-1.7259*** (0.3631)	-3.5235*** (0.6112)	-2.1386*** (0.4679)
R ²	0.56	0.58	0.57	0.56
KP statistic (p-value)	343.43 (0.00)	430.22 (0.00)	353.40 (0.00)	494.01 (0.00)
<i>First-stage estimates</i>				
Import Exposure	0.0704*** (0.0079)	0.0827*** (0.0094)	0.0707*** (0.0069)	0.0710*** (0.0090)
R ²	0.90	0.91	0.88	0.88
KP statistic (p-value)	6.46 (0.01)	6.41 (0.01)	6.58 (0.01)	6.45 (0.00)

Notes: N = 100 (50 provinces x 2 time periods). All regressions include a constant and a dummy for the 2003-2007 period. Standard errors in parentheses. Statistical significance is indicated by *** at 1%, ** at 5% and * at 10%. Models are weighted by period average province share of national population. Standard errors are clustered on Spanish CCAA. All regressions include the full set of controls (specification D).

in an identical manner as in equations (3) and (4). The coefficient on domestic plus international import exposure is negative and significant at the 1% level, and contrary to our expectations, lower in magnitude than the reported coefficient on domestic exposure in column (1). Nevertheless, the decrease is rather small with a change of less than one standard deviation (0.2 and 0.3 points for the OLS and IV estimations, respectively).

Second, the initial import exposure variable includes both final goods and intermediate goods. If higher exposure to Chinese imports increases the variety of inputs that can be used by Spanish firms, their productivity may increase along with their demand for labour. In such a case, the increase of intermediate goods imported from China may partially offset the impact of import competition in final goods on manufacturing employment. To focus on the effect of increasing import competition in final goods, we replace the change in imports per worker by the change in total imports per worker less imports of intermediate inputs per worker. Hence, the variable for a province's import exposure net of intermediate goods is,

$$\Delta FIPW_{it} = \sum_j \frac{E_{ijt}}{E_{cjt}} \frac{\Delta(M_{cjt} - M_{lajt})}{E_{it}} \quad (6)$$

where M_{lajt} denotes imports of intermediate goods from China to Spain in industry j . Imported intermediate goods by industry were obtained combining trade data with the Spanish input-output table for years 1999, 2003 and 2007. In this case, the mean change in imports from China falls by 42% and 55% for the periods 1999-2003 and 2003-2007, respectively. As presented in column (3), the coefficient for net of intermediate goods exposure is highly significant and negative, and far larger in magnitude than the reported estimates in column (1). When the import exposure net of intermediate inputs is instrumented to mitigate both the simultaneity and endogeneity biases (IV, instrument DIPWOL), the magnitude of the estimated coefficient on import exposure rises by more than three standard deviations (from 2.05 to 3.52). Opposite to the US case (Autor et al., 2013, section 7), and although the net impact of import

competition from China on Spanish manufacturing employment is negative, it seems that Spanish manufacturing firms have taken benefit from the larger variety of inputs, originating a higher labour demand due to increased productivity. More precisely, the reported differences on the estimated coefficient on import exposure imply that a rise of 1,000 US dollars per worker in a province's exposure to Chinese imports during a four-year period is associated with a differential increase in manufacturing employment of approximately 1.5 percentage points of working-age population.

Finally, to incorporate Spanish exports to China, we construct a new variable, net imports from China, by subtracting the weighted change in Spanish exports per worker (X_{cjt}) to the weighted change in Spanish imports per worker by industry,

$$\Delta NIPW_{it} = \sum_j \frac{E_{ijt}}{E_{cjt}} \frac{\Delta M_{cjt}}{E_{it}} - \sum_j \frac{E_{ijt}}{E_{cjt}} \frac{\Delta X_{cjt}}{E_{it}} \quad (7)$$

The resulting mean change in net imports per worker is a 28%, and 10% lower than the mean change in imports per worker for the periods 1999-2003 and 2003-2007, respectively. The estimation results for net import exposure are presented in column (4) of Table 6. Again, for the IV (2SLS) estimations we instrument net import exposure as in equations (3) and (4).²² It can be appreciated that using net imports from China does not practically alter the initial impact of import exposure. This result is not surprising if we account for the fact that exports to China are much smaller than imports from China. In 1999 and 2007, Spanish manufacturing exports to China amounted to 0.4 and 2.3 billion US dollars, respectively, while manufacturing imports from China amounted to 3.8 and 25 billion US dollars, respectively. In relative terms, the increase in exports is much lower than the increase in imports. Between 1999 and 2007, the share of manufacturing exports to China over total manufacturing exports increased by 0.6% (from 0.4% to 1.0%), while the share of manufacturing imports from China increased by almost 5%.

²² Data on EU-14 exports to China are obtained from the UN Comtrade database.

Table 7. Import exposure and working-age population growth, IV (2SLS), 1999-2003 and 2003-2007.

Dependent variable: change in log working-age population.

Independent variable	IV (2SLS), Import exposure: $\Delta IPWOL$			
	Spec. A	Spec. B	Spec. C	Spec. D
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Import Exposure	0.0123 (0.0210)	0.0548** (0.0224)	0.0192 (0.0189)	0.0143 (0.0169)
Manufacturing empl.	-	-0.0032*** (0.0012)	-0.0005 (0.0008)	-0.0019** (0.0008)
College-educated	-	-	0.0006 (0.0007)	0.0004 (0.0007)
Foreign-nationality	-	-	0.0104*** (0.0017)	0.0104*** (0.0020)
Women	-	-	-0.0013 (0.0029)	-0.0013 (0.0030)
Young	-	-	0.0042 (0.0046)	0.0045 (0.0049)
Construction empl.	-	-	0.0042*** (0.0016)	0.0040*** (0.0014)
Housing price	-	-	0.0008*** (0.0003)	0.0008*** (0.003)
ICT	-	-	-	0.0228** (0.0099)
R&D expenditure	-	-	-	-0.0781 (0.0851)
K-L ratio	-	-	-	-0.0036** (0.0014)
Patents	-	-	-	-0.0504 (0.0807)
R ²	0.01	0.01	0.58	0.61
F statistic (p-value)	2.91 (0.08)	3.91 (0.03)	24.69 (0.00)	24.91 (0.00)
First-stage estimates				
Import Exposure (UE)	0.0782*** (0.0106)	0.0610*** (0.0140)	0.0752*** (0.0086)	0.0715*** (0.0080)
R ²	0.83	0.85	0.90	0.90
KP statistic (p-value)	4.43 (0.03)	7.85 (0.00)	7.75 (0.00)	6.44 (0.01)

Notes: N = 100 (50 provinces x 2 time periods). All regressions include a constant and a dummy for the 2003-2007 period. Standard errors in parentheses. Statistical significance is indicated by *** at 1%, ** at 5% and * at 10%. Models are weighted by period average province share of national population. Standard errors are clustered on Spanish CCAA.

3.5 Import exposure and aggregate labour market outcomes

The last step in our analysis is to determine whether import shocks to manufacturing employment indirectly affected broader labour market outcomes. First, we study whether these trade shocks induced a reallocation of workers across provinces. Should large flows of workers move among provinces as a response to import shocks to the manufacturing sector, the effects on local labour market outcomes, other than manufacturing employment, will be practically negligible.

Table 7 presents the results from several specifications where the dependent variable is the change in log working-age population. As in previous estimations, we include several controls; however, for this analysis we exclude the growth rate of the working-age population. Results are obtained through IV (2SLS) estimations, where we control for both endogeneity and simultaneity biases. The estimated coefficient on import exposure is only significant when the model includes only the share of manufacturing employment in total employment, along with year dummies, as a control (specification B). In the rest of the estimations, the coefficient for import exposure is not statistically significant. So, we conclude that import shocks to local manufacturing did not lead to substantial changes in working-age populations within Spanish provinces. This lack of a significant effect of growing import competition from China on worker flows is consistent with the low inter-regional mobility in Spain.²³

As long as workers do not reallocate across provinces as a response to trade shocks, the negative effect on manufacturing employment of Chinese import competition must have some impact on either non-manufacturing employment, unemployment, or population not included in the labour force. The results for the estimated effect of import exposure on these three labour market outcomes are shown in Table 8, for the four different specifications related to the variables included in the set of controls. In all cases, the dependent variable is the change in the log

²³ See for example Bentolila (1997).

population counts of the corresponding variable. In column (1) we also report the estimation results for the log change in manufacturing employment. We find that a rise of 1,000 US dollars per worker in a province's exposure to Chinese imports during a four-year period is associated with a decline in manufacturing employment of 0.08 log points. Also noteworthy is that we find a significant effect of import competition exposure only on non-manufacturing employment. The positive sign of the estimated coefficient and its magnitude implies that the negative effect of import exposure on manufacturing employment is compensated for by an increase of employment in other, non-manufacturing sectors within provinces. We do not find a significant association between exposure to imports from China and either unemployment or participation in the labour market. This result differs substantially to the findings in Autor et al. (2013), where the authors establish that import shock to US local labour markets increased the number of unemployed and non-participating individuals, while employment in sectors outside manufacturing remained unaffected. Plausibly, these differences may be explained by the particular evolution of the Spanish economy during the period of analysis, as compared not only to the US but to the rest of countries in the EU-15 group. Along the period 1999-2007, the mean annual growth rate of real GDP was 3.7%, almost 1% higher than in the US (2.8%) and 1.3% above the EU-15 (2.4%). As a consequence, employment growth was particularly high in Spain²⁴, primarily due to the large expansion of the construction sector, in which employment increased at an annual rate of about 7.7%. In this context, where labour demand from the construction sector and probably from the construction-related services sector was quite large, the null impact of increasing import competition on unemployment and non-participation in the labour force is reasonably justified.

Lastly, in Table 9 we analyse the effect of import exposure on province wage levels. Data on average real wages by provinces was obtained by deflating the average nominal wages from

²⁴ The mean annual growth rate along the period was 4.2% (1.2% in the US and 1.5% in the EU-15).

**Table 8. Import exposure and market labour outcomes, IV (2SLS),
1999-2003 and 2003-2007.
Dependent variable: change in log population counts.**

	Manufacturing	Non-manufacturing	Unemployment	Not in the labour force
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Specification A Import exposure	-0.1357*** (0.0364)	0.0317 (0.0294)	0.0144 (0.0656)	-0.0255 (0.0214)
R ²	0.23	0.01	0.01	0.01
F statistic (p-value)	6.83 (0.00)	1.63 (0.23)	0.07 (0.93)	0.98 (0.40)
Specification B Import exposure	-0.1773** (0.0891)	0.0375* (0.0229)	-0.0780 (0.1070)	-0.0296 (0.0590)
R ²	0.22	0.47	0.13	0.23
F statistic (p-value)	14.24 (0.00)	27.54 (0.00)	5.63 (0.01)	25.25 (0.00)
Specification C Import exposure	-0.1027*** (0.0305)	0.0546*** (0.0204)	-0.1668 (0.1195)	0.0288 (0.0314)
R ²	0.35	0.64	0.22	0.37
F statistic (p-value)	58.75 (0.00)	31.71 (0.00)	6.00 (0.00)	52.64 (0.00)
Specification D Import exposure	-0.0829** (0.0361)	0.0538** (0.0227)	-0.1377 (0.1136)	0.0180 (0.0271)
R ²	0.39	0.65	0.24	0.37
F statistic (p-value)	519.59 (0.00)	73.78 (0.00)	78.07 (0.00)	75.28 (0.00)

Notes: N = 100 (50 provinces x 2 time periods). All regressions include a constant and a dummy for the 2003-2007 period. Standard errors in parentheses. Statistical significance is indicated by *** at 1%, ** at 5% and * at 10%. Models are weighted by period average province share of national population. Standard errors are clustered on Spanish CCAA.

the Spanish Tax Agency by the corresponding Consumer Price Index. Since wage data is not available at a sufficiently large disaggregation level by sector, wages for the manufacturing sector were proxied by those from the industry sector.²⁵

²⁵ Apart from manufacturing, the industry sector includes mining and quarrying, recycling, and electricity, gas, and water supply activities. Plausibly, the bias effect should be negligible since the manufacturing activities represent 94% of employment in the industry sector.

year dummies are the only control variables, we find a significant negative effect of import exposure on average wages within provinces, in both the manufacturing and non-manufacturing sectors. Nevertheless, the coefficient on import exposure becomes non-significant if we include additional explanatory variables for the change in log average wages. Since the impact of import competition on local prices of non-traded goods may move in the

Table 9. Import exposure and wage changes, IV (2SLS), 1999-2003 and 2003-2007

Dependent variable: change in log mean wage.

	Manufacturing	Non-manufacturing	All sectors
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Specification A Import exposure	-0.0304** (0.0140)	-0.0357*** (0.0129)	-0.0401*** (0.0114)
R ²	0.12	0.35	0.30
F statistic	7.55	23.22	16.62
(p-value)	(0.01)	(0.00)	(0.00)
Specification B Import exposure	-0.0409 (0.0326)	-0.0362** (0.0183)	-0.0412 (0.0201)
R ²	0.11	0.35	0.29
F statistic	18.57	16.04	9.64
(p-value)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)
Specification C Import exposure	0.0029 (0.0151)	-0.0131 (0.0103)	-0.0129 (0.0090)
R ²	0.38	0.52	0.53
F statistic	106.18	19.90	16.30
(p-value)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)
Specification D Import exposure	0.0103 (0.0135)	-0.0155 (0.0098)	-0.0123 (0.0089)
R ²	0.41	0.55	0.55
F statistic	94.37	109.25	269.15
(p-value)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)

Notes: N = 50. Standard errors in parentheses. Statistical significance is indicated by *** at 1%, ** at 5% and * at 10%. Models are weighted by period average province share of national population. Standard errors are clustered on Spanish CCAA.

same direction as the impact on nominal wages, preventing us from finding any significant effect of import exposure on real wages²⁶, we also analysed the effect of increasing import competition from China to the change in log average nominal wages. Again, we did not find any robust significant effect.²

Given the negative impact of increasing exposure to import competition from China on

26 See notes 13 and 39 in Autor et al. (2013).

27 Results are available upon request to the authors.

manufacturing employment, the fact that manufacturing wages seem not to be influenced by import competition indicates that manufacturing wages are rigid, at least downwards, coinciding with previous empirical evidence on wage rigidity in Spain.²⁸ For non-manufacturing sectors, wages may be negatively affected by import exposure owing to a larger supply of workers coming from manufacturing. The fact that non-manu-

28 See for example Arapaia and Pichelman (2007), Holden and Wulfsberg (2008), Bentolila et al. (2010)

facturing wages are also not influenced by import competition could be explained by wage rigidities as well. However, as mentioned above, the negative effect of the increase of supply on wages may have been compensated for by the increase in demand for labour in construction and construction-related services.

4. Conclusions

During the period 1999-2007, Spanish imports from China increased at an annual growth rate of 26%, making the Asian country Spain's fourth most important supplier. This huge increase in import competition from China should have had large effects on the Spanish labour market. To identify the effect of import competition from China, we analysed differences in the evolution of Spanish local labour markets. Applying the methodology recently developed by Autor et al. (2013), we analysed whether local labour markets specialised in industries where the Chinese import surge has been large experienced a concurrent large decline in manufacturing employment. Apart from providing new evidence on the impact of import competition from China in the labour markets of developed countries, this paper contributes to the literature analysing the case of a European country characterised by a more rigid labour market and more labour-intensive productive specialisation than the US.

Our results show that during the period 1999-2007, a higher increase in the exposure to imports from China is associated with larger declines in manufacturing employment. In particular, a 1,000 US dollar increase in imports from China per worker reduces the share of manufacturing employment in the working-age population by 2.05 percentage points. This result is robust to omitted variables and simultaneity. In relative terms, and in comparison to the results in Autor et al. (2013), our findings suggest that the impact of increasing import competition from China is larger in Spain than in the US. This result is in line with the fact that Spain is characterised by a more rigid labour market than the US, so that demand shocks are absorbed mainly through quantities, while in more flexible labour markets

demand shocks may also be absorbed by factor prices. In fact, although Autor et al. (2013) find that import shocks to the US cause a decline in wages that is primarily observed outside the manufacturing sector, we are unable to establish the presence of a robust significant impact of import competition on wages in Spain, either in manufacturing or in non-manufacturing sectors. This result is also in line with the fact that Spain has a more labour-intensive productive specialization than the US.

As Spanish provinces have local labour market characteristics, we analysed how the reduction in manufacturing employment is transmitted to the local labour market. We found that the reduction in manufacturing employment is compensated for by an increase in non-manufacturing employment. Contrary to the results in Autor et al. (2013), where import exposure seems to increase both the number of unemployed workers and labour force non-participants, we do not find a significant association between exposure to imports from China and either unemployment or participation in the labour market. Our view on these differences is that the large employment growth experienced by the Spanish economy along the period 1999-2007, owing basically to the expansion of the construction sector, was able to absorb the labour demand shock in manufacturing induced by the high increase in import competition from China.

References

- ARPAIA, A. and PICHELMAN, K. (2007) Nominal and real rigidity in EU countries, *European Economy Economic Papers* 281.
- AUTOR, D.H., DORN, D. and HANSON, G.H. (2013) The China Syndrome: Local Labor Market Effects of Import Competition in the United States, *American Economic Review* 103, 2121-2168.
- BAYOUMI, T. and EICHENGREEN, B. (1992) Shocking aspects of European Monetary unification, NBER Working Paper N° 3949, National Bureau of Economic Research, Cambridge, Ma..
- BERNARD, A.B., JENSEN, J.B. and SCHOTT, P.K. (2006) Survival of the best fit: Exposure to low-wage countries and the (uneven) growth of U.S. manufacturing plants, *Journal of International Economics* 68, 219-237.
- BENTOLILA, S. (1997) Sticky Labor in Spanish Regions, *European Economic Review* 41, 591-598.
- BENTOLILA, S., IZQUIERDO, M., and JIMENO, J. F. (2010) Negociación Colectiva: La gran reforma pendiente, *Papeles de Economía Española* 124, 176-192.
- CADARSO, M.A., GÓMEZ, N., LÓPEZ, L.A. and TOBARRA, M.A. (2008) The EU enlargement and the impact of outsourcing on industrial employment in Spain, 1993-2003, *Structural Change and Economics Dynamics* 19, 95-108.
- FEENSTRA, R.C. and HANSON, G.H. (1996) Globalization, Outsourcing, and Wage Inequality, *American Economic Review* 86, 240-245.
- FEENSTRA, R.C. and HANSON, G.H. (1999) The Impact of Outsourcing and High-Technology Capital on Wages: Estimates for the U.S., 1972-1990, *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 114, 907-940.
- FRENKEL, M. and NICKEL, CH. (2002) How Symmetric are the Shocks and the Shock Adjustment Dynamics Between the Euro Area and Central and Eastern European Countries?, IMF Working Paper WP/02/222, International Monetary Fund, Washington.
- FUNKE, M. (1997) The Nature of Shocks in Europe and Germany, *Economica* 64, 461-469.
- GROSSMAN, G.M. and ROSSI-HANSBERG, E. (2008) Trading Tasks: A Simple Theory of Offshoring, *The American Economic Review* 98, 1978-1997.
- HARRISON, A. and MCMILLAN, M. (2011) Offshoring Jobs? Multinationals and U.S. Manufacturing Employment, *The Review of Economics and Statistics* 93, 857-875.
- HOLDEN, S. and WULFSBERG, F. (2008) Downward nominal wage rigidity in the OECD, *The B.E. Journal of Macroeconomics (Advances)* 8, Article 15.
- HUMMELS, D., JORGESNSEN, R., MUNCH, J. and XIANG, C. (2011) The Wage and Employment Effects of Outsourcing: Evidence from Danish Matched Worker-Firm Data, NBER Working Paper 17496, National Bureau of Economic Research, Cambridge, MA.
- JIMENO, J.F. and BENTOLILA, S. (1998) Regional unemployment persistence (Spain, 1976-1994), *Labour Economics* 5, 25-51.
- KLEIBERGEN, F., and PAAP, R. (2006) Generalized reduced rank tests using the singular value decomposition, *Journal of Econometrics* 127, 97-126.
- KRUGMAN, P.R. (1995) Growing World Trade: Causes and Consequences, *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity* 1, 327-377.

- KRUGMAN, P.R. (2008) Trade and Wages, Reconsidered, *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity* 2, 103-137.
- KRUGMAN, P.R. and LAWRENCE, R.Z. (1994) Trade, Jobs and Wages, *Scientific American* April, 22-27.
- LEAMER, E.E. (1998) In search of Stolper-Samuleson linkages between international trade and lower wages, in COLLINS, S. (Eds) *Imports, Exports and the American Worker*, Brookings Institution Press, Washington DC.
- LEVINE, R. and RENELT, D. (1992) A Sensitivity Analysis of Cross-Country Growth Regressions, *The American Economic Review* 82, 942-963.
- LIU, R. and TREFLER, D. (2011) A Sorted Tale of Globalization: White Collar Jobs and the Rise of Service Offshoring, NBER Working Paper 17559, National Bureau of Economic Research, Cambridge, MA.
- LÓPEZ-BAZO, E., DEL BARRIO, T. and ARTIS, M. (2005). Geographical distribution of unemployment in Spain, *Regional Studies* 39, 305-318.
- MINONDO, A. (1999) The Labour Market Impact of Trade in Middle-Income Countries: A Factor Content Analysis of Spain, *The World Economy* 22, 1095-1117.
- MINONDO, A. and RUBERT, G. (2006) The Effect of Outsourcing on the Demand for skills in the Spanish Manufacturing Industry, *Applied Economic Letters* 13, 599-604.
- OECD (2012) *Redefining Urban: A New Way to Measure Metropolitan Areas*, OECD, Paris.
- WOOD, A. (1994) *North-South Trade, Employment and Inequality. Changing Fortunes in a Skill Driven World*, IDS Development Studies Series, Clarendon Press, Oxford.
- WOOD, A (1995) How Trade Hurt Unskilled Workers, *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 9, 57-80.

Últimos títulos publicados

WORKING PAPERS

- WP05/14** Donoso, Vicente; Martín, Víctor; Minondo, Asier: *Import competition from China and un employment. An analysis using Spanish workers' micro-data.*
- WP04/14** Stupariu, Patricia; Vilariño, Ángel: *Retos y carencias de la regulación financiera internacional.*
- WP03/14** García, Antonio; Molero, José; Rama, Ruth: Foreign MNEs and domestic innovative capabilities: are there conditions for reverse spillovers in the spanish industry
- WP 02/14** Sosvilla Rivero, Simón; Ramos Herrera, María del Carmen: On the forecast accuracy and consistency of exchange rate expectations: The Spanish PwC Survey
- WP01/14** Kropacheva, Anna; Molero, José: Russian technological specialization in terms of world's innovation changes during 1994-2008. Comparison with countries of BRIC and European Innovation-driven economies.
- WP 07/13** Sanchís, Raúl G.: Extended theory about the allocation of the time. Description and application to the increase in the retirement age policies.
- WP 06/13** Morales-Zumaquero, Amalia; Sosvilla-Rivero, Simón: Real exchange rate volatility, financial crises and nominal exchange regimes.
- WP 05/13** Álvarez, Isabel; Labra, Romilio: Identifying the role of natural resources in knowledge-based strategies of development.
- WP 04/13** Alonso Gallo, Nuria; Trillo del Pozo, David: La respuesta de la regulación prudencial a la 29 crisis: Basilea II.
- WP 05/13** Sosvilla-Rivero, Simón; Ramos-Herrera, María del Carmen: On the forecast and consistency of exchange rate expectations: The Spanish PwC Survey.
- WP 04/12** Sosvilla-Rivero, Simón; Morales-Zumaquero, Amalia: *Real exchange rate volatility, financial crises and nominal exchange regimes.*
- WP 03/13** Revuelta, Julio; Alonso, Fernando: *Presencia de las multilatinas en Europa. Tipología y estrategia empresarial.*
- WP 02/13** Nicolau Ibarra, Ignacio: *Evolución de la cooperación española en El Salvador.*
- WP 01/13** Monedero, Juan Carlos; Jerez, Ariel; Ramos, Alfredo; Fernández, Jose Luis: *Participación ciudadana y Democracia. Una revisión de las mejores experiencias Iberoamericanas.*
- WP 05/12** Sanchís, Raúl G.: *Trying to escape the Malaise State in the future. A macroeconomic design to hinder another Great Recession which risks the Welfare State.*
- WP 04/12** Basave Kunhardt, J., *Flujos de IED mexicana hacia Europa y presencia de grandes multinacionales mexicanas en España. Evidencia empírica y reflexiones teóricas.*
- WP 03/12** Luengo Escalonilla, F., Gracia Santos, M., Vicent Valverde, L., *Productividad y Posicionamiento Estructural en la industria de bienes de equipo española.*
- WP 02/12** Alonso (dir.), José A.; Castillo, Alberto; García, Héctor; Ospina, Shirley; Aguirre, Pablo; Millán, Natalia; Santander, Guillermo: *Estimación de la ayuda española a la infancia: una propuesta metodológica.*

- WP 01/12** Alonso (dir.), José A.; Aguirre, Pablo; Castillo, Alberto: *La cooperación al desarrollo y la infancia. Apuntes estratégicos para el caso de España.*
- WP 09/11** Torrecillas, Celia; Fischer, Bruno B.: *Technological Attraction of FDI flows in Knowledge-Intensive Services: a Regional Innovation System Perspective for Spain.*
- WP 08/11** Gómez-Puig, Marta; Sosvilla-Rivero, Simón: *Causality and contagion in peripheral emu public debt markets: a dynamic approach.*
- WP 07/11** Sosvilla-Rivero, Simón; Ramos-Herrera, María del Carmen: *The US Dollar-Euro exchange rate and US-EMU bond yield differentials: A Causality Analysis.*
- WP 06/11** Sosvilla-Rivero, Simón; Morales-Zumaquero, Amalia: *Volatility in EMU sovereign bond yields: Permanent and transitory components.*
- WP 05/11** Castellacci, Fulvio; Natera, José Miguel: *A new panel dataset for cross-country analyses of national systems, growth and development (CANa).*
- WP 04/11** Álvarez, Isabel; Marín, Raquel; Santos-Arteaga, Francisco J.: *FDI entry modes, development and technological spillovers.*
- WP 03/11** Luengo Escalonilla, Fernando: *Industria de bienes de equipo: Inserción comercial y cambio estructural.*
- WP 02/11** Álvarez Peralta, Ignacio; Luengo Escalonilla, Fernando: *Competitividad y costes laborales en la UE: más allá de las apariencias.*
- WP 01/11** Fischer, Bruno B; Molero, José: *Towards a Taxonomy of Firms Engaged in International R&D Cooperation Programs: The Case of Spain in Eureka.*
- WP 09/10** Éltető, Andrea: *Foreign direct investment in Central and East European Countries and Spain – a short overview.*
- WP 08/10** Alonso, José Antonio; Garcimartín, Carlos: *El impacto de la ayuda internacional en la calidad de las instituciones.*
- WP 07/10** Vázquez, Guillermo: *Convergencia real en Centroamérica: evidencia empírica para el período 1990-2005.*
- WP 06/10** P. Jože; Kostevc, Damijan, Črt; Rojec, Matija: *Does a foreign subsidiary's network status affect its innovation activity? Evidence from post-socialist economies.*
- WP 05/10** Garcimartín, Carlos; Rivas Luis; García Martínez, Pilar: *On the role of relative prices and capital flows in balance-of-payments constrained growth: the experiences of Portugal and Spain in the euro area.*
- WP 04/10** Álvarez, Ignacio; Luengo, Fernando: *Financiarización, empleo y salario en la UE: el impacto de las nuevas estrategias empresariales.*
- WP 03/10** Sass, Magdolna: *Foreign direct investments and relocations in business services – what are the locational factors? The case of Hungary.*
- WP 02/10** Santos-Arteaga, Francisco J.: *Bank Runs Without Sunspots.*
- WP 01/10** Donoso, Vicente; Martín, Víctor: *La sostenibilidad del déficit exterior de España.*
- WP 14/09** Dobado, Rafael; García, Héctor: *Neither so low nor so short! Wages and heights in eighteenth and early nineteenth centuries colonial Hispanic America.*
- WP 13/09** Alonso, José Antonio: *Colonisation, formal and informal institutions, and development.*

- WP 12/09** Álvarez, Francisco: *Opportunity cost of CO2 emission reductions: developing vs. developed economies.*
- WP 11/09** J. André, Francisco: *Los Biocombustibles. El Estado de la cuestión.*
- WP 10/09** Luengo, Fernando: *Las deslocalizaciones internacionales. Una visión desde la economía crítica.*
- WP 09/09** Dobado, Rafael; Guerrero, David: *The Integration of Western Hemisphere Grain Markets in the Eighteenth Century: Early Progress and Decline of Globalization.*
- WP 08/09** Álvarez, Isabel; Marín, Raquel; Maldonado, Georgina: *Internal and external factors of competitiveness in the middle-income countries.*
- WP 07/09** Minondo, Asier: *Especialización productiva y crecimiento en los países de renta media.*
- WP 06/09** Martín, Víctor; Donoso, Vicente: *Selección de mercados prioritarios para los Países de Renta Media.*
- WP 05/09** Donoso, Vicente; Martín, Víctor: *Exportaciones y crecimiento económico: estudios empíricos.*
- WP 04/09** Minondo, Asier; Requena, Francisco: *¿Qué explica las diferencias en el crecimiento de las exportaciones entre los países de renta media?*
- WP 03/09** Alonso, José Antonio; Garcimartín, Carlos: *The Determinants of Institutional Quality. More on the Debate.*
- WP 02/09** Granda, Inés; Fonfría, Antonio: *Technology and economic inequality effects on international trade.*
- WP 01/09** Molero, José; Portela, Javier y Álvarez Isabel: *Innovative MNEs' Subsidiaries in different domestic environments.*
- WP 08/08** Boege, Volker; Brown, Anne; Clements, Kevin y Nolan Anna: *¿Qué es lo "fallido"? ¿Los Estados del Sur, o la investigación y las políticas de Occidente? Un estudio sobre órdenes políticos híbridos y los Estados emergentes.*
- WP 07/08** Medialdea García, Bibiana; Álvarez Peralta, Nacho: *Liberalización financiera internacional, inversores institucionales y gobierno corporativo de la empresa.*
- WP 06/08** Álvarez, Isabel; Marín, Raquel: *FDI and world heterogeneities: The role of absorptive capacities.*
- WP 05/08** Molero, José; García, Antonio: *Factors affecting innovation revisited.*
- WP 04/08** Tezanos Vázquez, Sergio: *The Spanish pattern of aid giving.*
- WP 03/08** Fernández, Esther; Pérez, Rafaela; Ruiz, Jesús: *Double Dividend in an Endogenous Growth Model with Pollution and Abatement.*
- WP 02/08** Álvarez, Francisco; Camiña, Ester: *Moral hazard and tradeable pollution emission permits.*
- WP 01/08** Cerdá Tena, Emilio; Quiroga Gómez, Sonia: *Cost-loss decision models with risk aversion.*
- WP 05/07** Palazuelos, Enrique; García, Clara: *La transición energética en China.*
- WP 04/07** Palazuelos, Enrique: *Dinámica macroeconómica de Estados Unidos: ¿Transición entre dos recesiones?*
- WP 03/07** Angulo, Gloria: *Opinión pública, participación ciudadana y política de cooperación en España.*
- WP 02/07** Luengo, Fernando; Álvarez, Ignacio: *Integración comercial y dinámica económica: España*

ante el reto de la ampliación.

- WP 01/07** Álvarez, Isabel; Magaña, Gerardo: *ICT and Cross-Country Comparisons: A proposal of a new composite index.*
- WP 05/06** Schünemann, Julia: *Cooperación interregional e interregionalismo: una aproximación social-constructivista.*
- WP 04/06** Kruijt, Dirk: *América Latina. Democracia, pobreza y violencia: Viejos y nuevos actores.*
- WP 03/06** Donoso, Vicente; Martín, Víctor: *Exportaciones y crecimiento en España (1980-2004): Cointegración y simulación de Montecarlo.*
- WP 02/06** García Sánchez, Antonio; Molero, José: *Innovación en servicios en la UE: Una aproximación a la densidad de innovación y la importancia económica de los innovadores a partir de los datos agregados de la CIS3.*
- WP 01/06** Briscoe, Ivan: *Debt crises, political change and the state in the developing world.*
- WP 06/05** Palazuelos, Enrique: *Fases del crecimiento económico de los países de la Unión Europea–15.*
- WP 05/05** Leyra, Begoña: *Trabajo infantil femenino: Las niñas en las calles de la Ciudad de México.*
- WP 04/05** Álvarez, Isabel; Fonfría, Antonio; Marín Raquel: *The role of networking in the competitiveness profile of Spanish firms.*
- WP 03/05** Kausch, Kristina; Barreñada, Isaías: *Alliance of Civilizations. International Security and Cosmopolitan Democracy.*
- WP 02/05** Sastre, Luis: *An alternative model for the trade balance of countries with open economies: the Spanish case.*
- WP 01/05** Díaz de la Guardia, Carlos; Molero, José; Valadez, Patricia: *International competitiveness in services in some European countries: Basic facts and a preliminary attempt of interpretation.*
- WP 03/04** Angulo, Gloria: *La opinión pública española y la ayuda al desarrollo.*
- WP 02/04** Freres, Christian; Mold, Andrew: *European Union trade policy and the poor. Towards improving the poverty impact of the GSP in Latin America.*
- WP 01/04** Álvarez, Isabel; Molero, José: *Technology and the generation of international knowledge spillovers. An application to Spanish manufacturing firms.*

POLICY PAPERS

- PP 01/11** Monedero J.C., Democracia y Estado en América Latina: *Por una imprudente reinversión de la política.*
- PP 02/10** Alonso, José Antonio; Garcimartín, Carlos; Ruiz Huerta, Jesús; Díaz Sarralde, Santiago: *Strengthening the fiscal capacity of developing countries and supporting the international fight against tax evasion.*
- PP 02/10** Alonso, José Antonio; Garcimartín, Carlos; Ruiz Huerta, Jesús; Díaz Sarralde, Santiago: *Fortalecimiento de la capacidad fiscal de los países en desarrollo y apoyo a la lucha internacional contra la evasión fiscal.*
- PP 01/10** Molero, José: *Factores críticos de la innovación tecnológica en la economía española.*
- PP 03/09** Ferguson, Lucy: *Analysing the Gender Dimensions of Tourism as a Development Strategy.*
- PP 02/09** Carrasco Gallego, José Antonio: *La Ronda de Doha y los países de renta media.*

- PP 01/09** Rodríguez Blanco, Eugenia: *Género, Cultura y Desarrollo: Límites y oportunidades para el cambio cultural pro-igualdad de género en Mozambique.*
- PP 04/08** Tezanos, Sergio: *Políticas públicas de apoyo a la investigación para el desarrollo. Los casos de Canadá, Holanda y Reino Unido.*
- PP 03/08** Mattioli, Natalia *Including Disability into Development Cooperation. Analysis of Initiatives by National and International Donors.*
- PP 02/08** Elizondo, Luis: *Espacio para Respirar: El humanitarismo en Afganistán (2001-2008).*
- PP 01/08** Caramés Boada, Albert: *Desarme como vínculo entre seguridad y desarrollo. La reintegración comunitaria en los programas de Desarme, desmovilización y reintegración (DDR) de combatientes en Haití.*
- PP 03/07** Guimón, José: *Government strategies to attract R&D-intensive FDI.*
- PP 02/07** Czaplińska, Agata: *Building public support for development cooperation.*
- PP 01/07** Martínez, Ignacio: *La cooperación de las ONGD españolas en Perú: hacia una acción más estratégica.*
- PP 02/06** Ruiz Sandoval, Erika: *Latinoamericanos con destino a Europa: Migración, remesas y codesarrollo como temas emergentes en la relación UE-AL.*
- PP 01/06** Freres, Christian; Sanahuja, José Antonio: *Hacia una nueva estrategia en las relaciones Unión Europea – América Latina.*
- PP 04/05** Manalo, Rosario; Reyes, Melanie: *The MDGs: Boon or bane for gender equality and women's rights?*
- PP 03/05** Fernández, Rafael: *Irlanda y Finlandia: dos modelos de especialización en tecnologías avanzadas.*
- PP 02/05** Alonso, José Antonio; Garcimartín, Carlos: *Apertura comercial y estrategia de desarrollo.*
- PP 01/05** Lorente, Maite: *Diálogos entre culturas: una reflexión sobre feminismo, género, desarrollo y mujeres indígenas kichwas.*
- PP 02/04** Álvarez, Isabel: *La política europea de I+D: Situación actual y perspectivas.*
- PP 01/04** Alonso, José Antonio; Lozano, Liliana; Prialé, María Ángela: *La cooperación cultural española: Más allá de la promoción exterior.*

DOCUMENTOS DE TRABAJO “EL VALOR ECONÓMICO DEL ESPAÑOL”

- DT 16/11** Fernández Vítors, David: *El papel del español en las relaciones y foros internacionales: Los casos de la Unión Europea y las Naciones Unidas.*
- DT 15/11** Rupérez Javier: *El Español en las Relaciones Internacionales.*
- DT 14/10** Antonio Alonso, José; Gutiérrez, Rodolfo: *Lengua y emigración: España y el español en las migraciones internacionales.*
- DT 13/08** de Diego Álvarez, Dorotea; Rodrigues-Silveira, Rodrigo; Carrera Troyano Miguel: *Estrategias para el Desarrollo del Cluster de Enseñanza de Español en Salamanca.*

DT 12/08	Quirós Romero, Cipriano: <i>Lengua e internacionalización: El papel de la lengua en la internacionalización de las operadoras de telecomunicaciones.</i>
DT 11/08	Girón, Francisco Javier; Cañada, Agustín: <i>La contribución de la lengua española al PIB y al empleo: una aproximación macroeconómica.</i>
DT 10/08	Jiménez, Juan Carlos; Narbona, Aranzazu: <i>El español en el comercio internacional.</i>
DT 09/07	Carrera, Miguel; Ogonowski, Michał: <i>El valor económico del español: España ante el espejo de Polonia.</i>
DT 08/07	Rojo, Guillermo: <i>El español en la red.</i>
DT 07/07	Carrera, Miguel; Bonete, Rafael; Muñoz de Bustillo, Rafael: <i>El programa ERASMUS en el marco del valor económico de la Enseñanza del Español como Lengua Extranjera.</i>
DT 06/07	Criado, María Jesús: <i>Inmigración y población latina en los Estados Unidos: un perfil socio-demográfico.</i>
DT 05/07	Gutiérrez, Rodolfo: <i>Lengua, migraciones y mercado de trabajo.</i>
DT 04/07	Quirós Romero, Cipriano; Crespo Galán, Jorge: <i>Sociedad de la Información y presencia del español en Internet.</i>
DT 03/06	Moreno Fernández, Francisco; Otero Roth, Jaime: <i>Demografía de la lengua española.</i>
DT 02/06	Alonso, José Antonio: <i>Naturaleza económica de la lengua.</i>
DT 01/06	Jiménez, Juan Carlos: <i>La Economía de la lengua: una visión de conjunto.</i>